LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE

Wednesday 24 June 2015 at 7.00 pm

MINUTES

PRESENT: Councillor Paul McGlone, Councillor Andrew Wilson and Councillor Jane Edbrooke

APOLOGIES:

ALSO PRESENT:

1 DECLARATION OF PECUNIARY INTERESTS

None were declared.

2 LICENSING APPLICATIONS FOR THE GRANT / REVIEW / TRANSFER OF A PREMISES LICENCE

2a TULSE HILL MINI MARKET, 146 NORWOOD ROAD, LONDON, SE27 9AZ

Presentation by the Licensing Officer:

The Sub-Committee was informed that this was an application for a review of the current premises licence. The Sub-Committee’s attention was drawn to chapters 2, 3, 8, 9, 10 and 15 of the Statutory Guidance, and to Sections 1, 5, 8, 11, 16 and 19 of the Statement of Licensing Policy and appendices 6, 8, 9 as the ones particularly relevant to this application. The options available to the Sub-Committee were set out in paragraph 6.2 of the report on page 10 of the agenda papers.

The Licensing Officer confirmed:

- This was an application for a new premises licence submitted by Abraham Tesfai.
• The licence originally applied for was a twenty-four hour licence. The application could be found on page thirteen of the agenda papers.

• The application received eight representations. These were from the Noise Team, Licensing Team, Public Health, Crime Reduction Team and four residents.

• The representations were based on public nuisance, crime and disorder and the protection of children from harm.

• The applicant had changed his application to reduce his hours so that alcohol would be sold Monday-Sunday 07:00 – 00:00 to for consumption off the premises as outlined on page nine of the agenda papers.

• The applicant had agreed to proposed conditions suggested by various parties.

**Presentation by the Applicant**

The applicant, Abraham Tesfai, the applicant informed the Sub-Committee that:

• He appreciated the concerns of those who had made representations, including representations made by responsible authorities.

• He had reduced the terminal hour and had accepted the proposed conditions from various parties.

• CCTV would be installed, there would be no sale of alcoholic drinks beyond 6.5% abv and no individual cans of alcohol would be sold.

• He had applied for an alcohol licence as several local residents would enter his premises and suggested that he supplied alcohol.

• He would ask the Sub-Committee to grant the application.

In response to questions from Members, Mr Tesfai informed the Sub-Committee that:

• There was already a premises in the area that operated until 01:00 and another premises that was open twenty-four hours supplying alcohol. A further licensed premises should not be a problem for the area.

• There had been licensed premises in the area since 2009 and the level of crime and disorder in the area then was not
dissimilar to the level of crime and disorder in the area in 2015.

- Only those authorised to sell alcohol would be operating the counter at the premises.
- Street drinking would not be encouraged and anyone visibly intoxicated would be refused alcohol service.
- No alcohol would be allowed to be consumed within the premises.
- Appropriate posters would be placed in the premises to inform that no one was allowed to drink alcohol on the premises.
- A Challenge 25 poster would be placed near the counter and any area displaying alcohol and anybody appearing to be under the age of 25 would be challenged.
- The premises would not sell individual cans or any alcohol above 6.5% abv.
- He would train staff members to sell alcohol as he would be the allocated Designated Premises Supervisor and he would deliver refresher training every six months.

Presentation by Interested Parties

Ralph Lewars, Noise Team, informed the Sub-Committee that:

- The application raised concerns for officers.
- There was anti-social behaviour, street drinking and other undesirable behaviour in the area and the Council was seeking to discourage these activities.
- Thirteen conditions had been proposed from the team if the Sub-Committee was minded to grant the application.

In response to questions from Members, Mr Lewars informed the Sub-Committee that:

- The condition proposed by the Noise team with respect to the terminal hour exceeded that recommended in the Lambeth Licensing policy. He conceded that this was an error and that the relevant condition should be changed to a terminal hour of 23:00 accordingly.

PC Hannah Eldridge was asked to address the Sub-Committee on matters arising. In response to questions from Members, PC Eldrige informed the Sub-Committee that:

- She had received notification of the hearing in addition to
proposed conditions approximately ten to fourteen days prior to the meeting. All parties had seen the relevant documents.

Adjournment and Decision

At 7:22pm, the Sub-Committee withdrew from the meeting together with the legal advisor and clerk to deliberate in private.

The Sub-Committee had heard and considered representations from those present.

Legal advice was given to the Sub-Committee on the options open to them and the need for any decision to be proportionate.

RESOLVED: To grant the application subject to the following amendments and conditions.

Amendments

Alcohol would be sold Monday-Sunday 07:00 – 23:00 for consumption off the premises.

Conditions

- conditions attached to the license of selling cans of beer or cider in minimum packs of four
- conditions attached to the license of no beer or cider over 6% ABV to be sold
- the opening time for the sale of alcohol to be least 10:00am
- the closing time to be no later than 00:00
- lockable shutters to be installed and used outside of permitted hours of sale (this discourages customers to purchase alcohol outside of licensed hours)

Protection of Children from Harm

- The premises will operate an age verification policy set at a minimum of 25 years, whereby any person attempting to buy alcohol who appears to be under 25 will be asked for photographic ID to prove their age.
- The only form of ID that will be accepted are passports, driving licences with a photograph or Citizen card or validated proof of age cards bearing the “PASS” mark hologram. The list of approved ID may be amended or revised subject to prior written agreement with Police.
- Signage advertising the “Challenge” policy will be displayed in prominent locations in the premises and shall include the point of
sale and the area where the alcohol is displayed, as a minimum

- All staff members engaged, or to be engaged, in selling alcohol on the premises shall receive full training pertinent to the Licensing Act, specifically in regard age-restricted sales, and the refusal of sales to persons believed to be under the influence of alcohol or drugs. Induction training must be completed and refresher training thereafter at intervals of at least 6 months. All restricted sales training undertaken by staff members shall be fully documented and signed by the employee and the DPS. All training records shall be made immediately available upon request to the Local Authority Licensing Officers and Police Licensing Officers.

- A written record of those authorised to make sales of alcohol shall be kept. This shall be endorsed by the DPS with the date such authorisation commences. This shall be made available immediately upon request to the Local Authority Licensing Officers and Police Licensing Officers.

Prevention of Public Nuisance

- The premises shall at all times maintain and operate a sales refusals log and an incident log will be kept on the premises to record all refusals and incidents of crime or disorder. These shall be reviewed and signed by the Designated Premises Supervisor at intervals of no more than four (4) weeks. Feedback shall be given to staff to ensure these are used on each occasion that a refusal or incident occurs at the premises. These records shall be made available immediately upon request to the Local Authority Licensing Officers and Police Licensing Officers.

- Patrons shall be requested not to congregate outside the premises and to leave the premises quietly. Notices to this effect must be prominently displayed at the entrances of the premises.

- No open vessels shall be allowed off the premises.

Prevention of Crime & Disorder

- Digital CCTV and appropriate recording equipment to be installed in accordance with Home Office Guidelines relating to UK Police Requirements for Digital CCTV System, operated and maintained throughout the premises. The system shall be on and recording at all times the premises licence is in operation.

  - The CCTV cameras and recording equipment must be of sufficient quality to work in all lighting levels inside the premises at all times.
  - CCTV footage will be stored for a minimum of 28 days
  - The management will give full and immediate cooperation and technical assistance to the Police in the event that CCTV footage is required for the prevention and detection of suspected or alleged crime.
  - The CCTV images will record and display dates and times, and these times will be checked regularly to ensure their accuracy.
• The Premises will be an active member of a South Licensing Forum or similar Group where there is one in operation. The premises shall be represented at 75% of all meetings as a minimum. The premises shall comply with all decisions collectively made by the group.

• No beer, lager or cider with an \textit{abv of 6.5\% or above} may be sold or supplied in a single bottle or container with a capacity greater than 750ml

• No beers, lagers or ciders will be sold in cans with an Alcohol By Volume (ABV) in excess of 6.5 \% or in bottles larger than 750ml with an ABV in excess of 6.5\%

• No beer or cider cans to be sold in single units. Beer and cider only be sold in multiple packs of a \textit{minimum of 4 cans}.

• At all times the premises is open and undertaking licensable activity, members of staff engaged in the sale of alcohol must be able to \textit{communicate} sufficiently in English to enable them to promote the four licensing objectives and to make an effective challenge.

\textbf{Announcement of Decision}

Members returned to the meeting and the Chair informed those present of the decision to grant the licence with the above mentioned conditions and amendments. The Committee was satisfied that this was an appropriate and proportionate response to the matters engaged by this application. The Sub-Committee decided to grant the application for the following reasons:

The Sub-Committee has granted the application consistent with the operating schedule subject to conditions found on pages 47-50 of the agenda papers (Annex C). Due to inconsistencies in the proposed conditions, full conditions would be confirmed in due course. However, the hours of trading would be between 07:00 – 23:00.
The Sub-Committee was informed that this was an application for a review of the current premises licence. The Sub-Committee’s attention was drawn to chapters 2, 3, 8, 9, 10 of the Statutory Guidance, and to Sections 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 16 and 19 of the Statement of Licensing Policy and appendices 1, 4, 6, 7, 8, as the ones particularly relevant to this application. The options available to the Sub-Committee were set out in paragraph 6.2 of the report on page 68 of the agenda papers.

The Licensing Officer confirmed:

- This was a review of the premises licence, held by Mr Khan for Kwik Stop.
- The application could be found on page 57 of the agenda papers.
- A review application had been submitted by Bernard Conmy on the basis of public safety, the prevention of crime and disorder and the prevention of public nuisance.
- The application received twenty-three representations in support of the review. This included local residents, Police and Public Health.
- Three representations not included in the agenda papers had been circulated in an additional information pack. There were also twenty-four representations in support of the premises. These could be found on page 107 of the agenda papers.
- The property had held a premises licence since 2005. Mr Khan had held the Licence since November 2005.

In response to questions from Members, the Licensing Officer informed the Sub-Committee that:

- The three additional representations had been circulated to all of the parties.

**Presentation by the applicant**

Bernard Conmy, Trading Standards, informed the Sub-Committee that:

- This was a premises that operated twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week.
- In 2010 a sale of alcohol to an underage person had been made.
- The premises had also sold counterfeit wine, out of date
food and high strength lagers.

- A person had been employed illegally at the premises.
- A baton, baseball bat, hammers and other items considered to be offensive had been found at the premises. A bottle opener was also found at the premises.
- High strength alcohol was still on sale at the premises.
- The premises licence holder had stated that he had to compete with Sainsbury’s from a financial standpoint and therefore, the premises had to be open all the time.
- There were other venues in the area open until 03:00 or 04:00.
- In April 2015 a further sale of alcohol to an underage person had been made.
- There were serious concerns regarding weapons found at the premises.
- The sale of out of date food for an OAP with failing eyesight could have serious consequences for the individual.
- To employ someone who did not have the right to work in the UK was against the law.

In response to questions from Members, Mr Conmy informed the Sub-Committee that:

- The Portman Group had issued revised guidance in respect of certain super strength lagers. This guidance recognised that the design of the offending cans encouraged the notion that the drink could be drunk in one sitting.
- The items pictured on page 71 of the agenda papers were found at the premises.
- The Premises Licensing Holder had said he held the items because of undesirable people in the area.
- It was common to find items such as a cricket bat or a chair leg at premises such as the Kwik Stop, however it was uncommon to find five such items.
- As the premises was open all of the time, it attracted people to congregate in the area. There was drug dealing and prostitution in the area and this had become a problem.

Presentation by interested parties in favour of the application
PC Hannah Eldridge, Metropolitan Police, informed the Sub-Committee that:

- The items on page 71 of the agenda papers would be considered offensive weapons from a police point of view and the possession of the same was a criminal offence.

- The premises had sold alcohol to underage minors and had employed people who did not have the right to work in the UK. On this basis, it was the duty of the Sub-Committee to consider revoking the licence even for a first offence.

- There were instruments at the venue that could be used as weapons and this was of a serious concern.

In response to questions from Members, PC Eldridge informed the Sub-Committee that:

- Hammers would usually be found in the rear of a premises such as Kwik Stop. There would not usually be two.

- Bats and pieces of wood had been found below the counter and should not be there.

- Staff members working in premises often cited that such items were used for protection, however this was a criminal offence.

- It was understood that there were sex workers in the area, anti-social behaviour, drugs and littering. The premises was a contributor to these issues.

- Reducing the hours that the premises operated would not help.

- Safer neighbourhood Police team, licensing police team and the local authority was available as an avenue to pursue if the Premises Licence Holder did not feel that the Police offered adequate protection.

- There had been no incidences of violent conduct at the venue.

Councillor Adrian Garden, spoke on behalf of Giovanni Privitera, informed the Sub-Committee that:

- Giovanni Privitera was one of the concerned residents living in the area.

- They had met and had exchanged written communication.

- The area had been strewn with rotten food, broken bottles
etc. He had observed this himself, with Mr Privitera and with other Councillors whilst examining the area.

- Mr Privitera has written to him on several occasions and informed him about the problems.

In response to questions from Members, Councillor Garden informed the Sub-Committee that:

- There was a considerable problem regarding the state of the pavements on Brixton Hill and Trent Road.

- The bins were overflowing. There were piles of vomit and wetness that indicated urine. This was visible on other corners in Brixton Hill for which he would regularly receive emails regarding the matter.

- The concerns residents had contacting him inferred that the mess in the area, drunkenness and anti-social behaviour was due to the premises being open at all times. An extensive amount of the issues were located directly in front of or by the premises.

- People would be more attracted to the throw rubbish at an overflowing bin.

- A major issue was the urinating and vomiting in the area. This was more likely to be related to the drinking in the area.

**Presentation by the Premises Licence Holder**

Mr David Dadds, representative of the Premises Licence Holder, informed the Sub-Committee that:

- He was concerned that Mr Conmy’s review application had considered issues outside the scope of Trading Standards remit. This included issues regarding electrical wiring and fire extinguishers. These were not considered to be licensing matters.

- There had been other test purchases that had been successful in the sense that the premises had refused sales to underage customers during these exercises. This included tests in 2012, 2013 and 2014.

- In relation to the premises having a bottle opener, the premises sold bottled Coke which needed to be opened by a bottle opener.

- In relation to people not having the right to work in the UK...
working at the premises, there had not been any evidence submitted for this. Furthermore, there had been no legal action taken against the premises for knowingly employing a person without the right to work in the UK. Therefore no crime has been committed and the relevant part of the guidance in this respect did not apply.

- Sainsbury’s in the borough also sold high strength alcohol.

- In relation to the items found on page 71 of the agenda papers, these could not be considered to be weapons until they are used as a weapon. The baseball bat was the only item that would be used for self-defence. The reason this item was on the premises was due to the London riots in 2011.

- There had been no concrete evidence submitted by the Police. There had been no fixed penalty notices, no crime statistics, intelligent statistics, no crime and no evidence of crime.

- There had been no action plans submitted, letters from the police, no environmental health objections and there were residents in support of the premises.

- The applicant had not given any evidence that the premises licence holder had undermined the licensing objectives.

In response to questions from Members, Mr Dadds informed the Sub-Committee that:

- There were many items in a shop that could be used as a weapon. The items found at the premises was simply gathered up.

- The hammers were used specifically for the shop.

- The baseball bat had been brought due to the London riots in 2011.

- There was no evidence that someone had purchased a Special Brew and then broke licensing objectives subsequently thereafter.

- The premises licence holder had not been aware that he had employed someone who did not have the right to work in the UK. No civil penalty or prosecution was to the Premises Licence Holder.

- The Licensing Act stated that if there was an area was saturating with licensed premises, this could not be used to for grounds to reduce hours.
• Many residents used the premises at 02:00 -03:00 due to working shift hours.

• Many residents supported the business.

• Sale of alcohol to an underage child did not have anything to do with operating hours therefore a reduction in the hours would not help any issues.

• The premises Licence Holder knew that the business should not sell to children. To ensure that it did not happen again, training, CCTV and Challenge 25 policy would be employed.

• Only people who had adequate training would work at the premises.

• A proper record keeping of the training would be kept.

• The premises licence holder would work with Shopwatch and anyone identified in that scheme would be banned from the premises.

• The labels on Polish beers had been placed in both languages.

• The person working who did not have the right to work in the UK had a student visa which had expired without the knowledge of the premises licence holder.

• The premises would not serve street drinkers.

• There were some people who were responsible drinkers who brought super strength alcohol.

• Sainsbury's in Brixton Water Lane sold super strength alcohol.

Presentation by interested parties against the application

Ms Christine Boyle, informed the Sub-Committee that:

• She attended the premises regularly during irregular hours.

• The staff were friendly and she knew some of them by name.

• She had not observed any trouble and felt safe as a woman coming home late at night.

• She felt assured that the premises was open.
• She had not observed any loitering.

• She was not certain that some of the representations had observed anti-social behaviour at 02:00.

In response to questions from Members, Ms Boyle informed the Sub-Committee that:

• She did not believe that any anti-social behaviour was due to the premises.

• It was the only premises that was open all hours and it was possible to buy late food late at night and milk. Sainsbury’s would be shut at 23:00.

• She had used the premises in various hours including at 02:00 and 04:00 late at night.

• She had not experienced any anti-social behaviour when near the premises.

• She did not feel unsafe in the area and if there were any problems, she could go into the premises.

• It was possible that the weapons made the premises licence holder safer.

Mr Roy Shaw, informed the Sub-Committee that:

• The premises was a convenient outlet.

• He had never seen any problems at the premises.

• He would like to see the premises stay open.

The applicant was recalled to address matters arising. In response to questions from Members, Mr Conmy informed the Sub-Committee that:

• He did not have a personal vendetta against the premises.

• He had attended the premises due to having received complaints.

• In relation to the proposed conditions document he would ask that training records be kept on the premises and the super strength alcohol would be restricted at 6% abv.

Adjudgement and Decision

At 9:08pm, the Sub-Committee withdrew from the meeting
together with the legal advisor and clerk to deliberate in private.

The Sub-Committee had heard and considered representations from Mr Conmy, Mr Dadds, PC Eldridge, Mr Lewars, Councillor Garden, Ms Boyle and Mr Shaw.

Legal advice was given to the Sub-Committee on the options open to them and the need for any decision to be proportionate.

RESOLVED: To grant the application and to impose the following conditions.

Conditions

1. The premises licence holder shall implement a training manual and all members of staff shall be suitably trained in underage sales prevention before making any sales of alcohol.

2. Refresher training shall be satisfactorily completed every twelve months for all staff and documented within the training records. All training records are to be retained on premises and available for inspection for not less than two years and are to be made available to officers of the local authority or the police service upon reasonable request.

3. The premises licence holder will engage and operate the Challenge 25 scheme. Staff will not sell alcoholic drinks to any person who appears to be under the age of 25 unless they can provide photographic ID evidence showing them to be over the age of 18. The only acceptable forms of identification are a passport, photocard, driving licence or identification bearing the ‘PASS’ logo.

4. An incident book and refusal register shall be kept at the premises and be made available to officers from the local authority or the police service upon reasonable request. All refusals of sales of alcohol or other age restricted products are to be recorded in this refusal register.

5. A digital CCTV system shall be installed incorporating recording and viewing facilities. Any recording shall be retained and stored in a suitable and secure manner for a minimum of 31 days.

6. The CCTV coverage shall include coverage of: all public entrances and exits from the premises; the area directly in front of the entrance to the premises; the till area and all the areas where alcohol is stored is displayed.

7. The CCTV system shall be serviced regularly to ensure correct operation.

8. Signs shall be prominently displayed informing customers of
the CCTV recording.

9. The CCTV system shall record throughout the hours that the premises are open for any licensable activity.

10. A staff member shall be present at all times during open hours who is trained in the use of the CCTV system and can download images and provide a copy recording on request by a police or local authority officer within no later than 48 hours of such request.

11. Patrons shall be requested not to congregate outside the premises and to leave the premises quietly. Notices to this effect must be prominently displayed at the entrances of the premises.

12. No open vessels shall be allowed off the premises.

13. No cups or vessels will be supplied to those purchasing alcohol.

14. The premises will actively participate and adhere to the BCRP, and will not sell alcohol to target street drinkers identified through the scheme.

15. The premises licence holder shall not purchase any alcohol from door to door sellers.

16. The premises licence holder shall ensure all receipts for goods brought include the following details:

   i. Sellers name and address.

   ii. Sellers company details, if applicable.

   iii. Sellers VAT details, if applicable.

Such receipts are to be made available to officers of the local authority or police service upon reasonable request.

Announcement of Decision

Members returned to the meeting and the Chair informed those present of the decision to grant the application and to impose the conditions advanced by Mr Dadds with the amendments detailed above. The Committee was satisfied that this was an appropriate and proportionate response to the matters engaged by this application. The Sub-Committee decided to grant the application for the following reasons:

The Sub-Committee had considered the application for a review having and has decided to grant the application for a review and imposing additional conditions on the licence as submitted. There were two amendments to the conditions. The first amendment would be for training records to be retained on premises and
available for inspection and the second amendment was for the 'local shopwatch' to be changed to 'BCRP'. Offensive weapons were stored at the premises and a person was working at the premises who did not have the right to work in UK. Furthermore, underage sales had been made in close proximity to a school for which the Sub-Committee took dim view.

2c PRESCO FOOD AND WINE, 67 STOCKWELL ROAD, LONDON SW9 9PY

Presentation by the Licensing Officer:

The Sub-Committee was informed that this was an application for a review of the current premises licence. The Sub-Committee’s attention was drawn to chapters 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 of the Statutory Guidance, and to Sections 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 16 and 19 of the Statement of Licensing Policy and appendices 7, 8, 9, 10 as the ones particularly relevant to this application. The options available to the Sub-Committee were set out in paragraph 6.2 of the report on page 154 of the agenda papers.

The Licensing Officer confirmed:

- The applicant was asking for the licence to be revoked.
- The application could be found on page 211–217
- A representation had been received in support of the review from the local health trust. This could be found on page 171 of the agenda papers.
- Invitation to the hearing was sent to the representative but attendance was not confirmed.
- Further supporting documents from the police had been submitted and these had been provided to the premises licence holder by hand delivery.

Presentation by the applicant:

Bernard Conmy, Trading Standards, informed the Sub-Committee that:

- The premises had a rear yard which was being used by drug addicts and street drinkers. There was also anti-social behaviour in the area.
- A metal roller shutter had been put in place to stop people accessing and using the yard.

Upon discussion with the premises licence holder’s representative further conditions had been submitted and negotiated. In response to questions from Members, Mr Conmy informed the
Sub-Committee that:

- In relation to the newly submitted conditions, Condition 2 should be changed to allow records to be kept on site and condition 17 should be changed to not allow the sale of ale, ciders, lagers over 6.5% abv to be sold.
- One of the proposed conditions should be changed to ensure that the shutter is used appropriately to prevent access to the yard.

Presentation by the Premises Licence Holder

Mr David Dadds, representative of the Premises Licence Holder, informed the Sub-Committee that:

- He had agreed the condition in relation to the yard be made secure so as not to allow unauthorised members of the public access to the area. Money had been spent installing a shutter.
- He had agreed the condition regarding beers, lagers and ciders being sold at the premises should not exceed 6.5% abv. However, this excluded premium products.

Adjournment and Decision

At 9:47pm, the Sub-Committee withdrew from the meeting together with the legal advisor and clerk to deliberate in private.

The Sub-Committee had heard and considered representations from Mr Conmy and Mr Dadds.

Legal advice was given to the Sub-Committee on the options open to them and the need for any decision to be proportionate.

RESOLVED: To grant the application and to impose the following conditions on the premises licence.

Conditions

1. The premises licence holder shall implement a training manual and all members of staff shall be suitably trained in underage sales prevention before making any sales of alcohol.

2. Refresher training shall be satisfactorily completed every twelve months for all staff and documented within the training records. All training records are to be retained on premises and available for inspection for not less than two years and are to be made available to officers of the local authority or the
police service upon reasonable request.

3. The premises licence holder will engage and operate the Challenge 25 scheme. Staff will not sell alcoholic drinks to any person who appears to be under the age of 25 unless they can provide photographic ID evidence showing them to be over the age of 18. The only acceptable forms of identification are a passport, photocard, driving licence or identification bearing the ‘PASS’ logo.

4. An incident book and refusal register shall be kept at the premises and be made available to officers from the local authority or the police service upon reasonable request. All refusals of sales of alcohol or other age restricted products are to be recorded in this refusal register.

5. A digital CCTV system shall be installed incorporating recording and viewing facilities. Any recording shall be retained and stored in a suitable and secure manner for a minimum of 31 days.

6. The CCTV coverage shall include coverage of; all public entrances and exits from the premises; the area directly in front of the entrance to the premises; the till area and all the areas where alcohol is stored is displayed.

7. The CCTV system shall be serviced regularly to ensure correct operation.

8. Signs shall be prominently displayed informing customers of the CCTV recording.

9. The CCTV system shall record throughout the hours that the premises are open for any licensable activity.

10. A staff member shall be present at all times during open hours who is trained in the use of the CCTV system and can download images and provide a copy recording on request by a police or local authority officer within no later than 48 hours of such request.

11. Patrons shall be requested not to congregate outside the premises and to leave the premises quietly. Notices to this effect must be prominently displayed at the entrances of the premises.

12. No open vessels shall be allowed off the premises.

13. No cups or vessels will be supplied to those purchasing alcohol.

14. The premises will actively participate and adhere to the BCRP, and will not sell alcohol to target street drinkers identified
through the scheme.

15. The premises licence holder shall not purchase any alcohol from door to door sellers.

16. The premises licence holder shall ensure all receipts for goods brought include the following details:
   iv. Sellers name and address.
   v. Sellers company details, if applicable.
   vi. Sellers VAT details, if applicable.

Such receipts are to be made available to officers of the local authority or police service upon reasonable request.

17. The rear yard shall be made secure so as not to allow unauthorised members of the public access.

18. No beers, lagers or ciders to be sold in cans with an ABV exceeding 6.5% – except for premium products a list of which products shall be agreed in writing with the Police in advance.

Announcement of Decision

Members returned to the meeting and the Chair informed those present of the decision to grant the application and to impose the abovementioned conditions. The Committee was satisfied that this was an appropriate and proportionate response to the matters engaged by this application. The Sub-Committee decided to grant the application due to the following reasons:

The Sub-Committee thanked the applicant and the premises licence holder to discuss and agree suitable arrangements. The review application would be granted and conditions would be imposed as agreed with amendments to some of the conditions. For condition 2, all training records would be retained on the premises and made available for inspection. In condition 14, the 'local shopwatch' would be changed to 'BCRP'. It was agreed that condition 16 would be changed to no beers, lagers or ciders to be sold in excess of 6.5% except for a list of products to be agreed with the Police and condition 17 would be changed to state that the yard would be secure so as not to allow unauthorised access.

2d PRESCO FOOD AND WINE, 67 STOCKWELL ROAD, LONDON SW9 9PY (TRANSFER)

The item was withdrawn as the objector had withdrawn their representation.

The meeting ended at 9:50pm
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