

Appendix 3 Consultation responses to Herne Hill Forum neighbourhood forum and neighbourhood area application

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
HHNP001	Department for Communities and Local Government	We are unable to comment as this could prejudice the Secretary of State's role in relation to planning matters.	Comment noted.
HHNP002	Highways England	Highways England has been appointed by the Secretary of State for Transport as strategic highway company under the provisions of the Infrastructure Act 2015 and is the highway authority, traffic authority and street authority for the strategic road network (SRN). The SRN is a critical national asset and as such Highways England works to ensure that it operates and is managed in the public interest, both in respect of current activities and needs as well as in providing effective stewardship of its long-term operation and integrity. We will therefore be concerned with proposals that have the potential to impact the safe and efficient operation of the SRN. We have reviewed the Consultation on an application for the designation of the Herne Hill Forum Neighbourhood Forum and Neighbourhood Area and we have no comments at this time. However, we would like to continue to be consulted as the vision develops.	Comment noted. Highways England will be consulted during future stages of the neighbourhood plan preparation.
HHNP003	Wandsworth Council	Thank you for consulting Wandsworth Council. I can confirm that we do not have any comments to make.	Comment noted.
HHNP004	Norwood Planning Assembly	We welcome this application. Herne Hill Forum is both enterprising and well embedded in the community. The Forum has made a distinct improvement to the environment and local amenities and their involvement of local people is exemplary. In discussions about an agreed boundary between Herne Hill and Norwood neighbourhoods, we found Herne Hill Forum to be well informed and thorough, employing	Support noted.

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		surveys to establish local preferences. We very much look forward to continued cooperation and to working together with Herne Hill Forum on common issues with our respective neighbourhood plans.	
HHNP005	Thurlow Towers Residents Association Ltd	Herne Hill Forum + Neighbourhood Forum + Neighbourhood Area. I support the positive outcome of the above designations.	Support noted.
HHNP006	Individual	I would like to write in support of the HH Forum Neighbourhood Area. HH Forum is a strong well engaged and informed community group working hard for and with the local community. I am delighted they are taking advantage of the legislation to empower local influence and make Herne Hill a better place to work and live.	Support noted.
HHNP007	Trustee of Stockwell Partnership	As a trustee member of Stockwell Partnership, I am wholly in favour of Herne Hill being designated for a local Forum and Neighbourhood Forum. I hope it will advance the welfare and involvement of residents in the Herne Hill Area.	Support noted.
HHNP008	Equality and Human Rights Commission	The Commission does not have the resources to respond to all consultations, but will respond to consultations where it considers they raise issues of strategic importance. Local, parish and town councils and other public authorities, as well as organisations exercising public functions, have obligations under the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) in the Equality Act 2010 to consider the effect of their policies and decisions on people sharing particular protected characteristics. The PSED is an on-going legal requirement and must be complied with as part of the planning process. The Commission is the regulator for the PSED and the Planning Inspectorate is also subject to it. In essence, you must consider the potential for planning proposals to have an impact on equality for different groups of people. To assist, you will find our technical guidance here .	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>The equalities impact of this decision has not been assessed because area designation alone will not directly affect the people within it until a neighbourhood forum is in place and starts to bring forward a neighbourhood plan. An EIA would be undertaken if and when a new neighbourhood forum application is considered for designation.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
HHNP009	Port of London Authority	Just to let you know that the Port of London Authority (PLA) has no comments on the proposed designation of the Herne Hill Neighbourhood Forum and Neighbourhood Area.	Comment noted.
HHNP010	Individual	This application is welcomed. Herne Hill Forum is both enterprising and well embedded in the community. The Forum has made a big improvement to the environment and local amenities and their involvement of local people is exemplary.	Support noted.
HHNP011	Individual	May I register my agreement with the proposal to approve the Herne Hill Neighbourhood Forum as a designated Neighbourhood Plan Forum?	Support noted.
HHNP012	Sport England	<p>Government planning policy, within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), identifies how the planning system can play an important role in facilitating social interaction and creating healthy, inclusive communities. Encouraging communities to become more physically active through walking, cycling, informal recreation and formal sport plays an important part in this process. Providing enough sports facilities of the right quality and type in the right places is vital to achieving this aim. This means that positive planning for sport, protection from the unnecessary loss of sports facilities, along with an integrated approach to providing new housing and employment land with community facilities is important.</p> <p>It is essential therefore that the neighbourhood plan reflects and complies with national planning policy for sport as set out in the NPPF with particular reference to Pars 73 and 74. It is also important to be aware of Sport England's statutory consultee role in protecting playing fields and the presumption against the loss of playing field land. Sport England's playing fields policy is set out in our Planning Policy Statement: 'A Sporting Future for the Playing Fields of England'. http://www.sportengland.org/playingfieldspolicy</p>	Comments noted. This advice will be shared with the designated neighbourhood forum for the neighbourhood area.

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>Sport England provides guidance on developing planning policy for sport and further information can be found via the link below. Vital to the development and implementation of planning policy is the evidence base on which it is founded.</p> <p>http://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/planning-for-sport/forward-planning/</p> <p>Sport England works with local authorities to ensure their Local Plan is underpinned by robust and up to date evidence. In line with Par 74 of the NPPF, this takes the form of assessments of need and strategies for indoor and outdoor sports facilities. A neighbourhood planning body should look to see if the relevant local authority has prepared a playing pitch strategy or other indoor/outdoor sports facility strategy. If it has then this could provide useful evidence for the neighbourhood plan and save the neighbourhood planning body time and resources gathering their own evidence. It is important that a neighbourhood plan reflects the recommendations and actions set out in any such strategies, including those which may specifically relate to the neighbourhood area, and that any local investment opportunities, such as the Community Infrastructure Levy, are utilised to support their delivery.</p> <p>Where such evidence does not already exist then relevant planning policies in a neighbourhood plan should be based on a proportionate assessment of the need for sporting provision in its area. Developed in consultation with the local sporting and wider community any assessment should be used to provide key recommendations and deliverable actions. These should set out what provision is required to ensure the current and future needs of the community for sport can be met and, in turn, be able to support the development and implementation of planning policies. Sport England's guidance on assessing needs may help with such work.</p> <p>http://www.sportengland.org/planningtoolsandguidance</p>	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>If new or improved sports facilities are proposed Sport England recommend you ensure they are fit for purpose and designed in accordance with our design guidance notes. http://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/tools-guidance/design-and-cost-guidance/</p> <p>Any new housing developments will generate additional demand for sport. If existing sports facilities do not have the capacity to absorb the additional demand, then planning policies should look to ensure that new sports facilities, or improvements to existing sports facilities, are secured and delivered. Proposed actions to meet the demand should accord with any approved local plan or neighbourhood plan policy for social infrastructure, along with priorities resulting from any assessment of need, or set out in any playing pitch or other indoor and/or outdoor sports facility strategy that the local authority has in place.</p> <p>In line with the Government's NPPF (including Section 8) and its Planning Practice Guidance (Health and wellbeing section), links below, consideration should also be given to how any new development, especially for new housing, will provide opportunities for people to lead healthy lifestyles and create healthy communities. Sport England's Active Design guidance can be used to help with this when developing planning policies and developing or assessing individual proposals.</p> <p>Active Design, which includes a model planning policy, provides ten principles to help ensure the design and layout of development encourages and promotes participation in sport and physical activity. The guidance, and its accompanying checklist, could also be used at the evidence gathering stage of developing a neighbourhood plan to help undertake an assessment of how the design and layout of the area currently enables people to lead active lifestyles and what could be improved.</p>	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>NPPF Section 8: https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework/8-promoting-healthy-communities</p> <p>PPG Health and wellbeing section: https://www.gov.uk/guidance/health-and-wellbeing</p> <p>Sport England's Active Design Guidance: https://www.sportengland.org/activedesign</p>	
HHNP013	Individual	<p>As a resident of Turney Road and committee member of the Residents Association – I would endorse the proposed HH boundary map (appendix 1) as presented for consultation. I would also wish to draw your attention to a couple of relevant matters for the purpose of good planning and consistency between the emerging neighbourhood policy frameworks.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Turney Road is split between two local authority boroughs Lambeth and Southwark • Turney Road is proposed to be split between three or four neighbourhood plans – Herne Hill / Norwood (Lambeth Side) and HH Southwark and Dulwich Village /West Dulwich. It is essential that as preparation moves forward that each of the neighbourhood plans is fully coordinated with others to address all relevant local issues. • Agree that Turney Road is included within the HH neighbourhood plan area but note that the Croxted Rd junction of Turney Road is included in the Norwood Plan, reflecting the pattern of architectural development along Croxted Road and Dalkeith Road. • Southwark's Dulwich Village and separate Herne Hill Neighbourhood Plans are yet to be submitted or boundaries confirmed but is essential that these meet those of Lambeth's to ensure that there are no gaps in plan coverage. 	<p>Support noted.</p> <p>Officers will advise all neighbourhood planning groups to co-ordinate around matters affecting Turney Road, given it spans a number of neighbourhood planning areas.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community consultation on draft plans going forward must be very clearly presented and policy themes coordinated to avoid confused community engagement – maybe a need for an overview neighbourhood planning statement and agreed policy approach between both boroughs to provide clear future development plan framework. - The plans and policies must be place based because the community don't tend to use the local neighbourhoods by way of defined boundary or borough/ward lines. <p>For clarity I have highlighted the area of Turney Road where it is essential that there is consistency in plan preparation.</p>	
HHNP014	Individual	<p>Along with my many of my neighbours in Brixton Water Lane I am very unhappy with the proposed boundary of the neighbourhood plan. First we would not wish parts of the one-way piece of Brixton Water Lane to be within different planning areas, and potentially treated differently. If this is not possible then we would wish the existing ward boundaries to be recognised. The north side of Brixton Water Lane from 71 is in Herne Hill Ward.</p>	<p>The remaining half of Brixton Water Lane is already designated in the Tulse Hill neighbourhood planning area. It is considered appropriate to follow the ward boundary and the boundary of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in this location, so that this section of Brixton Water Lane is not isolated in the middle of two neighbourhood planning areas. No change to the proposed neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP015	Department for Communities and Local Government	<p>Due to the Secretary of State's quasi-judicial role in the planning system, it is not appropriate for Ministers or officials to comment on specific planning cases. I will however reiterate the Government's commitment to Neighbourhood planning. Neighbourhood planning gives communities direct power to develop a shared vision for their neighbourhood and shape the development and growth of their local area. For the first time communities can produce plans that have real statutory weight in the planning system. Over 2,100 groups have started the neighbourhood planning process since 2012, in areas that cover nearly 12 million people across England. We have also made available</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>a £22.5 million support programme for neighbourhood planning for 2015-18.</p> <p>For further information, I attach the following link to locality's Neighbourhood Plans roadmap: http://locality.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/Neighbourhood-planningroadmap-2016.pdf</p>	
HHNP016	Amec Foster Wheeler on behalf of National Grid	<p>About National Grid</p> <p>National Grid owns and operates the high voltage electricity transmission system in England and Wales and operate the Scottish high voltage transmission system. National Grid also owns and operates the gas transmission system. In the UK, gas leaves the transmission system and enters the distribution networks at high pressure. It is then transported through a number of reducing pressure tiers until it is finally delivered to our customers. National Grid own four of the UK's gas distribution networks and transport gas to 11 million homes, schools and businesses through 81,000 miles of gas pipelines within North West, East of England, West Midlands and North London.</p> <p>To help ensure the continued safe operation of existing sites and equipment and to facilitate future infrastructure investment, National Grid wishes to be involved in the preparation, alteration and review of plans and strategies which may affect our assets.</p> <p>Specific Comments</p> <p>An assessment has been carried out with respect to National Grid's electricity and gas transmission apparatus which includes high voltage electricity assets and high pressure gas pipelines, and also National Grid Gas Distribution's Intermediate and High Pressure apparatus.</p> <p>National Grid has identified that it has no record of such apparatus within the Neighbourhood Plan area.</p> <p><i>Gas Distribution – Low / Medium Pressure</i></p>	Comment noted. This advice will be shared with the designated neighbourhood forum for the neighbourhood area.

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>Whilst there is no implications for National Grid Gas Distribution's Intermediate / High Pressure apparatus, there may however be Low Pressure (LP) / Medium Pressure (MP) Gas Distribution pipes present within proposed development sites. If further information is required in relation to the Gas Distribution network please contact plantprotection@nationalgrid.com</p> <p>Key resources / contacts</p> <p>National Grid has provided information in relation to electricity and transmission assets via the following internet link: http://www2.nationalgrid.com/uk/services/land-and-development/planning-authority/shape-files/</p> <p>The electricity distribution operator in Lambeth LBC is UK Power Networks. Information regarding the transmission and distribution network can be found at: www.energynetworks.org.uk</p>	
HHNP017	Individual	<p>I found out by accident about the proposal to make Herne Hill a neighbourhood and the local community group a 'Forum'. I have been living in Herne hill and active, shopping, exercising, being in the community etc. for 31 years and this is the first I have heard of the plans. The current self-designated Forum is an active group but I don't know who they are (I am actually a member on the website!). There is a bit of a clique at the centre and I really don't know how representative they are of Herne Hill residents. I have never had a reply to my queries to them. I am not against having a neighbourhood status, I just have no idea what difference it will make to anything and I am deeply uncertain of how the current forum is selected and know nothing of its governance. I know for certain that some of the local shopkeepers and business know nothing about the proposals.</p>	<p>Comment noted. Assessment of the forum application (as it relates to the neighbourhood area as applied for) set out in Appendix 2 considers the forum to have taken reasonable steps to draw a membership that is representative of the area and to have a constitution and membership open to all who may be interested in joining.</p>
HHNP018	Historic England:	<p>The Government, through the Localism Act (2011) and Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations (2012), has enabled local communities to take a more pro-active role in influencing how their neighbourhood is</p>	<p>No change. Whilst it is acknowledged that Historic England recommends that neighbourhood areas respect conservation area boundaries, this is only</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
	Historic Places Advisor	<p>managed. The Regulations require Historic England, as a statutory agency, be consulted on Neighbourhood Plans where the Neighbourhood Forum or Parish Council consider our interest is affected by the Plan. As Historic England's remit is to advise on proposals affecting the historic environment our comments relate to the implications of the proposed boundary for designated and undesignated heritage assets. The area covered by the proposed Neighbourhood Plan, as illustrated on the map and straddles the borough boundaries between Southwark and Lambeth. Copies of this advice will be sent to both Council's in respect of this consultation.</p> <p>Proposed Boundaries</p> <p>The area included in this consultation is substantial and includes the Grade II* St Paul's Church and Grade II* Half Moon PH. There are also 20 list entries for buildings/structures at Grade II (21 if the Railway Bridge to the south of Brockwell Park is included. Of these Dorchester Court is considered to be At Risk (please see our London Heritage At Risk Register). Additionally the area includes the Grade II registered landscape of Ruskin Park. The area also appears to covers all or the greater part of 4 conservation areas, and smaller parts of Dulwich Village and Brockwell Park CA (in the form of the velodrome).</p> <p>Historic England normally advocates that Neighbourhood Plans should respect pre-defined boundaries such as those for conservation areas. This is because the boundaries of conservation areas should cover clearly defined character areas. As such respecting these boundaries in the plan making process is important in ensuring a consistent application of planning policies for the historic environment. This in turn will better protect local character and identity from piecemeal change. We would therefore to encourage consider whether the proposed areas should be amended so proposed boundaries take in, or omit, all of the conservation areas. There may of course be relevant reasons wider than those of local architectural or historic character (such as management) which should be taken into account. In the event of the</p>	<p>one consideration in the assessment of a proposed neighbourhood area boundary. Others are set out in paragraph 33 of the NPPF (Reference ID: 41-033-20140306). Within the borough boundary of Lambeth, the neighbourhood area applied for contains 3 conservation area: part of Brixton Water Lane, Poets Corner and Brockwell Park.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is not possible for the Brixton Water Lane conservation area to be included in full as part of Brixton Water Lane has already been designated in the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area, which was designated in 2016. Neighbourhood areas cannot overlap. It is considered more appropriate for Brixton Water Lane to follow the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area and ward boundary. This would also prevent this part of Brixton Water Lane being isolated in the middle of two neighbourhood areas. 2. In relation to Brockwell Park Conservation Area, it is not possible for this to be included in full as this includes Brockwell Park which is not considered an asset solely for Herne Hill so is not appropriate to be included in the Herne Hill neighbourhood area. It is considered the parts of the conservation area to be included in the neighbourhood area are appropriate and would prevent the streets being isolated in the middle of two neighbourhood areas. 3. Poet's Corner conservation area will be included in full in the designated neighbourhood area.

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>proposed boundary being adopted we would stress the need that any Neighbourhood Plan policies developed should be developed with a clear understand of local character areas and not undermine excluded areas of CA's. The boundary includes parts of both Lambeth and Southwark and as such must be in compliance with both Local Plans. However, this can make sense where areas of distinct local character straddle more than one barrier (Highgate Village in Camden/Haringey for example) and the application of a coherent framework is beneficial. We would advise that there needs to be a clear justification for this if this is the case.</p> <p>General advice on developing a Neighbourhood Plan</p> <p>As part of the neighbourhood planning process, Historic England is keen to encourage a review of the local evidence base and the inclusion of policies that promote the positive management of heritage assets.</p> <p>In developing a robust evidence base, upon which to develop policies that sustain and enhance positive elements of local character and their settings, we would encourage the Neighbourhood Forum to identify areas and topics that require updating or further analysis.</p> <p>Our Greater London Archaeology Adviser, Mark Stevenson has provided advice in respect of archaeological sensitivity. However we advise neighbourhoods to consider consulting the Greater London Archaeological Advisory Service (GLAAS), Heritage Environment Record (HER) as a primary resource for the identification of heritage assets (GLHER@historicengland.org.uk). The HER should be able to provide details of any nationally designated heritage assets and also of locally-important buildings, archaeological remains and landscapes. We note that the APAs for Lambeth are due to be reviewed in 2019, and recommended that both GLAAS and the HER should be consulted particularly if and when the forum look to identify preferred development areas. Southwark employs its own borough archaeologist, Gill King, and therefore provide their own archaeological advice.</p>	<p>It is noted that Historic England advises there should be clear justification why a neighbourhood area should straddle two borough boundaries and why the application of a coherent framework is beneficial. There is justification for a neighbourhood area spanning the boundaries of Southwark and Lambeth, especially given the character of the area and the presence of a district centre which spans the boundary of both boroughs.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>We would also encourage the Neighbourhood forum to involve local voluntary groups such as local Civic Societies or local history groups in the production of the Neighbourhood Plan. Further guidance on techniques for identifying and managing character and heritage assets is available on Historic England's website. This includes links to the following publications: <i>Local Heritage Listing: Historic England Advice Note 7</i> and <i>Understanding Place Historic Area Assessments: Principles and Practice</i>. These and other relevant documents can be viewed at: https://historicengland.org.uk/advice/planning/plan-making/improve-your-neighbourhood/</p> <p>In the event of agreement to the designate the proposed boundary and Neighbourhood Forum, we would be happy to comment further on the developing plan. Finally I must note that this opinion is based on the information provided by you and for the avoidance of doubt does not take precedence over our obligation to advise you on, and potentially object to development proposals which may subsequently arise from an eventual Neighbourhood Plan and which may have adverse effects on the environment.</p>	
HHNP019	Croydon Council	<p>The area to which the Herne Hill Neighbourhood Forum and Neighbourhood Area relates is within closest proximity to Norbury and Crystal Palace and Upper Norwood wards within the Croydon borough. Any policies and development related to the Herne Hill Neighbourhood Forum and Neighbourhood Area should not cause tension with policies DM41 Norbury and DM43 Crystal Palace and Upper Norbury in the Croydon Local Plan: Detailed Policies and Proposals (Proposed Submission) and Policy SP1: The Places of Croydon in the Croydon Local Plan: Strategic Policies. Croydon Council would support any sustainable development within Herne Hill Neighbourhood Forum and Neighbourhood Area, which does not compromise the economic, social and environmental conditions in the Croydon borough. Croydon Council supports the statement in the Herne Hill Forum constitution of</p>	<p>Comment noted. This information and advice will be shared with the designated neighbourhood forum for the neighbourhood area.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		'improving and supporting cross boundary planning and processes in the area.' We look forward to a continued dialogue on the progress of the Herne Hill Neighbourhood Forum and Neighbourhood Area.	
HHNP020	Individual	Emailing to express my support of Herne hill forum taking forward the Herne Hill neighbourhood plan. They have some great ideas for the community and have already achieved a lot, including regular community involvement projects, the piano, regular clean-ups and support for local businesses.	Support noted.
HHNP021	Individual	I lend this initiative my full support, The Herne Hill forum in its current state have been instrumental in improving the area and the cohesion of the local inhabitants. I trust you will agree and acknowledge this statement and grant the application.	Support noted.
HHNP022	Network Rail	While Network Rail has no comments to make at this stage, as the proposed boundary contains Network Rail owned land and infrastructure it would be greatly appreciated if the council and Herne Hill Neighbourhood Forum could keep Network Rail updated with future consultations in regards to the area.	Comment noted. Network Rail will need to be consulted during future stages of the neighbourhood plan preparation.
HHNP023	Natural England	<p>We would like to take this opportunity to provide you with information sources you may wish to use in developing your plan, and to highlight some of the potential environmental risks and opportunities that neighbourhood plans may present. We have set this out in the annex to this letter.</p> <p>Natural England's role Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development. Your</p>	Comment noted. This information and advice will be shared with the designated neighbourhood forum for the neighbourhood area.

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>local planning authority should be able to advise you when we should be consulted further on your neighbourhood plan.</p> <p>Planning policy for the natural environment Neighbourhood plans and orders present significant opportunities, but also potential risks, for the natural environment. Your proposals should be in line with the National Planning Policy Framework. The key principles are set out in paragraph 109:</p> <p>The planning should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • protecting and enhancing valued landscapes, geological conservation interests and soils; • recognising the wider benefits of ecosystem services; • minimising impacts on biodiversity and providing net gains in biodiversity where possible, contributing to the Government's commitment to halt the overall decline in biodiversity, including by establishing coherent ecological networks that are more resilient to current and future pressures; <p>You should also consider the natural environment policies in your area's Local Plan. Your neighbourhood plan or order should be consistent with these, and you may decide that your plan should provide more detail as to how some of these policies apply or are interpreted locally. The attached annex sets out sources of environmental information and some natural environment issues you may wish to consider as you develop your neighbourhood plan.</p> <p>Annex 1 -Neighbourhood planning and the natural environment: information, issues and opportunities</p> <p>Natural environment information sources</p>	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>The Magic1 website will provide you with much of the nationally held natural environment data for your plan area. The most relevant layers for you to consider are: Agricultural Land Classification, Ancient Woodland, Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, Local Nature Reserves, National Parks (England), National Trails, Priority Habitat Inventory, public rights of way (on the Ordnance Survey base map) and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (including their impact risk zones). Local environmental record centres may hold a range of additional information on the natural environment. A list of local record centres is available here2.</p> <p>Priority habitats are those habitats of particular importance for nature conservation, and the list of them can be found here3. Most of these will be mapped either as Sites of Special Scientific Interest, on the Magic website or as Local Wildlife Sites. Your local planning authority should be able to supply you with the locations of Local Wildlife Sites.</p> <p>National Character Areas (NCAs) divide England into 159 distinct natural areas. Each character area is defined by a unique combination of landscape, biodiversity, geodiversity and cultural and economic activity. NCA profiles contain descriptions of the area and statements of environmental opportunity, which may be useful to inform proposals in your plan. NCA information can be found here.</p> <p>There may also be a local landscape character assessment covering your area. This is a tool to help understand the character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and identify the features that give it a sense of place. It can help to inform, plan and manage change in the area. Your local planning authority should be able to help you access these if you can't find them online.</p> <p>If your neighbourhood planning area is within or adjacent to a National Park or Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB), the relevant National Park/AONB Management Plan for the area will set out useful</p>	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>information about the protected landscape. You can access the plans on from the relevant National Park Authority or Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty website.</p> <p>General mapped information on soil types and Agricultural Land Classification is available (under 'landscape') on the Magic5 website and also from the LandIS website⁶, which contains more information about obtaining soil data.</p> <p>Natural environment issues to consider</p> <p>The National Planning Policy Framework sets out national planning policy on protecting and enhancing the natural environment. Planning Practice Guidance⁸ sets out supporting guidance. Your local planning authority should be able to provide you with further advice on the potential impacts of your plan or order on the natural environment and the need for any environmental assessments.</p> <p>Landscape</p> <p>Your plans or orders may present opportunities to protect and enhance locally valued landscapes. You may want to consider identifying distinctive local landscape features or characteristics such as ponds, woodland or dry stone walls and think about how any new development proposals can respect and enhance local landscape character and distinctiveness.</p> <p>If you are proposing development within or close to a protected landscape (National Park or Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty) or other sensitive location, we recommend that you carry out a landscape assessment of the proposal. Landscape assessments can help you to choose the most appropriate sites for development and help to avoid or minimise impacts of development on the landscape through careful siting, design and landscaping.</p>	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>Wildlife habitats Some proposals can have adverse impacts on designated wildlife sites or other priority habitats (listed here⁹), such as Sites of Special Scientific Interest or Ancient woodland¹⁰. If there are likely to be any adverse impacts you'll need to think about how such impacts can be avoided, mitigated or, as a last resort, compensated for.</p> <p>Priority and protected species You'll also want to consider whether any proposals might affect priority species (listed here¹¹) or protected species. To help you do this, Natural England has produced advice here¹² to help understand the impact of particular developments on protected species.</p> <p>Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land Soil is a finite resource that fulfils many important functions and services for society. It is a growing medium for food, timber and other crops, a store for carbon and water, a reservoir of biodiversity and a buffer against pollution. If you are proposing development, you should seek to use areas of poorer quality agricultural land in preference to that of a higher quality in line with National Planning Policy Framework para 112. For more information, see our publication Agricultural Land Classification: protecting the best and most versatile agricultural land¹³.</p> <p>Improving your natural environment Your plan or order can offer exciting opportunities to enhance your local environment. If you are setting out policies on new development or proposing sites for development, you may wish to consider identifying what environmental features you want to be retained or enhanced or new features you would like to see created as part of any new development. Examples might include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Providing a new footpath through the new development to link into existing rights of way. • Restoring a neglected hedgerow. 	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creating a new pond as an attractive feature on the site. • Planting trees characteristic to the local area to make a positive contribution to the local landscape. • Using native plants in landscaping schemes for better nectar and seed sources for bees and birds. • Incorporating swift boxes or bat boxes into the design of new buildings. • Think about how lighting can be best managed to encourage wildlife. • Adding a green roof to new buildings. • You may also want to consider enhancing your local area in other ways, for example by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Setting out in your plan how you would like to implement elements of a wider Green Infrastructure Strategy (if one exists) in your community. • Assessing needs for accessible greenspace and setting out proposals to address any deficiencies or enhance provision. • Identifying green areas of particular importance for special protection through Local Green Space designation (see Planning Practice Guidance on this). • Managing existing (and new) public spaces to be more wildlife friendly (e.g. by sowing wild flower strips in less used parts of parks, changing hedge cutting timings and frequency). • Planting additional street trees. • Identifying any improvements to the existing public right of way network, e.g. cutting back hedges, improving the surface, clearing litter or installing kissing gates) or extending the network to create missing links. • Restoring neglected environmental features (e.g. coppicing a prominent hedge that is in poor condition, or clearing away an eyesore). 	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
HHNP024	Individual	<p>I live in Herne Hill that is I live in Herne Hill today, My road, Romola Road, has been excluded from the Herne Hill Forum application to change the boundaries of Herne Hill. I have not been included, and from what I gather, none of my neighbours, have be included, in the discussion concerning the change in designation of my house in Herne Hill. When we purchased our property, more than ten years ago, the property was situated in Herne Hill, I see absolutely no reason why that should change. I have reviewed an email from a concerned local resident and not the Herne Hill Forum. I follow the Forum on twitter and have not seen a request for opinion on that site. To conclude, Herne Hill Forum have chosen not to directly approach the community within Herne Hill that their proposal will impact and I object to their proposal as I have no wish to leave Herne Hill.</p>	<p>Romola Road designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.
HHNP025	Individual	<p>I am writing in response to the application to designate the Herne Hill Forum Neighbourhood Forum and Neighbourhood Area. I welcome the idea of a Neighbourhood Plan for Herne Hill and commend the Herne Hill Forum's efforts getting this off the ground and feel that the forum would be a good organisation to lead the development of the plan. However I would like to query the boundary of the neighbourhood designated, which I fear risks disenfranchising people who deem themselves as Herne Hill residents from being part of the plan process. I understand that the proposed Herne Hill Neighbourhood boundary was created on the basis of responses to a local survey of neighbourhood self-identification. However I wonder about the thoroughness of this survey. It seems to me that large areas which may well perceive them to be within the Herne Hill area appear to not have been surveyed and are not included therefore within the boundary even when geographically it would make sense for them to be within it. For example the Peabody Estate is a loop left out of the area, which I do not understand. The appendix showing survey responses doesn't seem to show any survey responses for the area - despite the fact that it is a very densely populated area - suggesting no one living there was asked about where they lived. Similarly I would argue that Dalkeith Road (where I live) is in Herne Hill. This area does have 'more green pins than orange' on the survey map, which the key says means that more residents define themselves as residents of Herne Hill than they do other areas (and that it should therefore be within the boundary). And in a similar way to the Peabody Estate, it seems that only one or two people on the street were surveyed. I suspect than many other residents of the street, if asked, would define themselves as Herne Hill residents. For example my two flatmates and I also live on the street and did not complete the survey as we were not aware of it, but we</p>	<p>This area is already in the designated Norwood Planning Assembly neighbourhood area. In s61G(6) TCPA 1990 it is provided that the local planning authority 'may, in determining any application, modify designations already made'. In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated.</p> <p>Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Norwood Planning Assembly neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Norwood Planning Assembly area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Norwood Planning Assembly neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>would all say we live in Herne Hill if asked. We go to the station every day and use the cafes and shops regularly, we use and volunteer in Brockwell Park and Lido, and contribute to online debates about the area - and it would therefore be a shame for us not to be able to input into the Neighbourhood Plan. I would therefore argue that the Herne Hill neighbourhood area should include Dalkeith Road - or at least this road should be surveyed fully to find out which neighbourhood area the majority of residents feel they live. I'd be happy to door knock for this if necessary. Similarly I do not know what area the residents of Peabody Estate deem themselves as being part of, but I feel that they ought to be surveyed and given the chance to be part of Herne Hill and contribute to its Neighbourhood Plan. I wholly welcome the development of the plan and the application suggests much effort has gone into consultation about the area boundary. Whilst I acknowledge it would have been hard to inform everyone in the area about the consultation and survey, I think that the decision is most significant to those who are on the boundary of the area, and that further steps should be taken to ensure that the two areas outlined in this email are surveyed or added to the neighbourhood area.</p>	<p>the Norwood Planning Assembly area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Norwood Planning Assembly have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP026	Individuals	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We have a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road, known as The Deronda Triangle. As active members of the local community we use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport, leisure facilities and schools. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Some of our residents were amongst the founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival and the number of volunteers from the area supporting this continues to grow. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise and we have a Herne Hill postcode, SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area, in a way that serves all its communities and</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>want to play our part. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. We note that our local councillors were included in drafting the proposed boundary but at no point did they ask our area for views on this change. Nor did the invitation to attend a public meeting earlier in the year give any indication that this plan would define a new delineation and exclude areas currently recognised as Herne Hill. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP027	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP028	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.
HHNP029	Individuals	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP030	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP031/01	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the proposed boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival, we use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and schools. We are close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are disappointed to be excluded from this first draft and also would like to raise a question in the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people have been consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise, which also excludes the Peabody Estate. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP031/02	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. I live in Romola Road part of a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. I welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, I consider where I live to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the proposed boundaries. I am also concerned that for whatever reason the Deronda Triangle has not been consulted about this. Many of my neighbours also consider that we live in Herne Hill. Whatever the views we should have been included in the consultation process. The application claims to have consulted widely and also consulted with the Thurlow Park councillors, but as far as I am aware this has not happened in our area. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise, which also excludes the Peabody Estate. We thank you for your consideration and I look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP032	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only two people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP033	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
HHNP034	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP035	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been revisited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP036	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. Whilst I welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, I consider my area (the Deronda Triangle) to be part of Herne Hill and I wish to be included within the boundaries. I'm part of the local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road (where I live) known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival, we all use the local services – leisure facilities, medical surgeries, shops, market, transport and schools. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise and my property has a Herne Hill postcode, SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. It is disappointing to be excluded in this first draft and the way the consultation appears to have been conducted in this way is also disappointing. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle <u>only 2 people</u> were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. Thank you for your consideration and I look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP037	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP038	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival and a few of us have stalls at Herne Hill market on Sunday. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport church and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP039	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP040	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.
HHNP041	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. I specifically purchased my property 10 years ago as it was in the Herne Hill area. In fact my flat was sold as a Herne Hill address. This was and still is a desirable and reputable address tag. This tag actually contributes to the value of my property. I would be a bit unhappy to now be told that it is potentially no longer within Herne Hill...not without good reason. Why is this change even necessary, what value does it actually add? We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP042	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP043	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise and we have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. Moreover, if Herne Hill Station is being taken as the mid-point, the plans as they are do not justify taking the boundary all the way to</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		Denmark Hill but not including our roads. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.	in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.
HHNP044	Individual	Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been revisited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP045	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP046	Individuals	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
HHNP047	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.
HHNP048	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been revisited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP049	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP050	Individual	<p>I live on Norwood Road close to Brockwell Park. My address has always been given as 36a Norwood Road, Herne Hill, London, SE24 9BH by the Post Office, Real Estate Agents and other official bodies who send me correspondence. I have seen that as part of the application that there is a boundary proposed that omits my address even though it is in SE24 and this is a Herne Hill postcode. The boundary seems fairly arbitrary in this respect. We are closer to Herne Hill station than Tulse Hill station and feel very much part of Herne Hill and its community. The application claims to have surveyed residents prior to drawing up the border. I was never consulted so was not given an opportunity to feed into the survey. I would therefore like to object to this omission of our address in this plan.</p>	<p>This part of Norwood Road was designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been revisited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP051	Individual	<p>I am supportive of the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood plan, but I am concerned that Deronda Road and the neighbouring roads are not included with the boundary. These roads are part of Herne Hill, have an SE24 postcode and are beyond the boundary of the neighbouring West Norwood and Tulse Hill Streetworks plan. I am also very surprised to see the Peabody Estate on Rosendale Road excluded from the scheme. I find these exclusions particularly puzzling given that the proposed boundaries reach almost to Loughborough Junction station and into what I would consider to be Denmark Hill.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p> <p>In relation to the Peabody Estate, this area is already in the designated Norwood Planning Assembly neighbourhood area. In s61G(6) TCPA 1990 it is provided that the local planning authority 'may, in determining any application, modify designations already made'. In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Norwood Planning Assembly neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Norwood Planning Assembly area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Norwood Planning Assembly neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Norwood Planning Assembly area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Norwood Planning Assembly have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP052	Water Lane Society	I am Chair of the Water Lane Society and we do not meet until the 20th September. Meanwhile several of the residents have given their opinions. We do not feel that the dividing line should bisect the road. We are a conservation area and it would be sensible to keep it intact	The remaining half of Brixton Water Lane is already designated in the Tulse Hill neighbourhood planning area. It is considered appropriate to follow the ward boundary and the boundary of the Tulse Hill

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		rather than have to deal with two authorities for planning etc. There are mixed feelings as to whether we identify more with Herne Hill or Brixton. This will be discussed at our next residents" meeting.	neighbourhood area in this location, so that this section of Brixton Water Lane is not isolated in the middle of two neighbourhood planning areas. No change to the proposed neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.
HHNP053	Individual	Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. We are active members of the community, which includes founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise and have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been revisited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP054	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP055	Individual	<p>I object to the outline of the proposed area boundary. Our postcode is SE24, we have paid SE24 house prices. We enjoy Brockwell park on a daily basis, our children attend Rosendale Primary School, we enjoy the Sunday market regularly, we are registered at Brockwell Surgery. Please explain why Deronda Road is excluded from the area boundary over further streets away like Loughborough junction. What is the methodology used for defining the boundary and has this methodology been consulted with all who have an SE24 postcode? Perhaps choose an epicentre like Herne Hill Station and define a radius from that point. Then it won't feel like the Herne Hill Group are cherry picking. I'm sure members of the Peabody estate will agree. Or just stick to post codes and be inclusive of the whole postcodes chosen.</p>	<p>Deronda Road was designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p> <p>In relation to the Peabody Estate, this area is already in the designated Norwood Planning Assembly neighbourhood area. In s61G(6) TCPA 1990 it is provided that the local planning authority 'may, in determining any application, modify designations already made'. In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Norwood Planning Assembly neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Norwood Planning Assembly area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Norwood Planning Assembly neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Norwood Planning Assembly area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Norwood Planning Assembly have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP056/01	The Brixton Society	<p>Thank you for your e-mail of 31st July drawing our attention to the proposed designation and boundaries for the above. The Brixton Society was established in 1975 as the amenity society covering the wider Brixton area. We regularly comment on local plans, policy changes and individual planning applications, and try to promote good practice. (More background information is provided in Section 4 below.) The Brixton Society objects specifically to the proposed boundaries of the Neighbourhood Plan Area, which extend too far into</p>	<p>Comments noted. The response to each point made by the Brixton Society are set out below.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>areas already covered by existing neighbourhood forums and community groups. We have examined the disputed areas within our own area of benefit, and detailed comments are set out below, particularly for the Effra area between Brixton and Herne Hill railway stations.</p> <p>Historic Development of Effra Farm.</p> <p>South of Coldharbour Lane, the Inclosure of Rush Common in 1810 created Effra Road, which was extended southward as Tulse Hill several years later. At this time, Brockwell Park was the private grounds of a country house. Between the park and Coldharbour Lane lay Effra Farm, which was built over in stages. The farm's north-east boundary was formed by a footpath linking Canterbury Crescent at Brixton with the Half Moon Inn at the foot of Herne Hill. The present Brixton Water Lane Conservation Area includes early 19th century houses on both Effra Road and the north edge of the Brockwell Park Estate. Effra Parade was added in 1838, between Water Lane and the footpath now known as Railton Road. Early development here was almost all small cottages. In 1855 the Westminster Freehold Land Society acquired 4 fields at the southern end of the farm, and laid out the 4 "Poets" roads with individual house plots. However, take up was slow until the opening of Herne Hill and Brixton railway stations in 1863, and the last sites were only filled in the 1880s. These 4 roads now form a single Conservation Area, so should be treated as a single unit for planning purposes. Apart from small pockets developed by John Roycroft in the late 1860s, the northern part of Effra Farm was developed by the Stuart-Bruce family through the 1870s, including Edinburgh Terrace on Railton Road in 1875, and Barnwell Road in 1877. The Brixton/ Herne Hill railway line cut off a sliver of the Lambeth Wick Estate from Loughborough Park to the north, and in the 1870s this was infilled with terrace houses and shops by Dr Edward Westall to create Railton and Mayall Roads.</p>	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>Beyond the southern end of Effra Farm, two other side roads had been laid out off the present Dulwich Road in the 1820s, Regent Row and Herne Place, with West Place as a short link road at the far end. A school was founded here by St. Paul's Church, Herne Hill in 1834, but transferred to the new parish of St. Jude's, East Brixton after the railway divided the area. Eventually West Place, together with Lett Street and Commercial Place to the south-east, were linked to Railton Road and renumbered within it in 1889. Limited residential development took place south-west of Dulwich Road, on the Brockwell Park estate, stalled on two occasions by the deaths of the estate owners. Almost all are large houses of the late 1870s and were converted into flats in the late 1930s. These are included in the Brockwell Park Conservation Area.</p> <p>Community Planning in the Effra Area</p> <p>Early post-war development was largely a matter of infilling sites created by bomb damage. Developments in the 1950s by the London County Council included the Brockwell Estate at the southern end of Effra Parade and Meath House with associated new houses in Regent Road. In 1968 the construction of two tower blocks, shops and a car park displaced existing houses between Herne Place and Hurst Street. At this time, the Poets roads first appeared on a "long list" of potential improvement areas.</p> <p>In 1971 Lambeth Council published a CPO to redevelop a large area (665 houses and shops) centred on Railton Road. Vigorous campaigning by residents, supported by the Brixton Advice Centre and the Railton People's Planning Association, resulted in most properties being reprieved in 1975. Around the same time, 4 streets immediately south of Coldharbour Lane were affected by development proposals as part of Brixton Town Centre plans. These too were eventually pushed back as defects in the plans were exposed to public scrutiny. Residents' groups were eventually able to persuade Lambeth Council to designate Housing Action Areas centred on Mayall & Railton Roads, Saltoun & Kellett Roads, and Arlingford & Brailsford Roads, while two General</p>	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>Improvement Areas were designated around Rattray & Dalberg Roads and for the “Poets” roads. Area treatment provided enhanced improvement grants and limited environmental improvements for the existing streets.</p> <p>Brixton Town Centre and Brixton Neighbourhood Forum The residents’ groups who had successfully resisted wholesale redevelopment in their own areas came together in 1975 to found the Brixton Society. From the outset, we aimed to promote a more conservation-led approach across Brixton generally, and to champion a more sympathetic revival of Brixton Town Centre in particular. In the 1980s, working with the Brixton Action Group and some of the housing co-operatives, we succeeded in modifying the Town Centre plans and extending some of the Conservation Areas. Later we were involved in Brixton’s City Challenge programme in 1993-98, which covered the Town Centre and regeneration corridors radiating out along the main roads, and subsequently in the Lambeth Community Empowerment Network which contributed to the Local Strategic Partnership, Lambeth First. We helped to establish the Brixton Neighbourhood Forum in 2011 after previous Council-led arrangements for local forums had lapsed. In turn, this Forum agreed that the Council’s Town Centre Plan was essentially sound and that embarking on our own Neighbourhood Plan was superfluous. However, we are well aware of defects in the borough-wide Lambeth Local Plan, and will be proposing alterations to that later this year.</p> <p>Poor Consultation The promoters have not consulted over their proposed boundary with the Brixton Society, nor with the Brixton Neighbourhood Forum, nor even with residents in my own street. This does not bode well for their willingness to consult during the plan-making process. The small sample consulted in the proposed NP area were only asked vaguely which “town centre” they identify with, but NOT whether they wish their home to be covered by the Herne Hill Neighbourhood Plan, which is a</p>	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>more daunting prospect. Therefore the supposed “positive” responses carry less weight. Disputed sites in the Effra area are discussed in more detail below:</p>	
HHNP056/02		<p>Barnwell Road, SW2: The promoters make no valid case for its inclusion. They only asked one resident, who did NOT identify with Herne Hill. The Brixton Society already has 2 members in this street. Had we the resources for canvassing, we would expect more residents to associate themselves with Brixton. There are no historical links with Herne Hill as this street was part of the Stuart-Bruce Estate, together with Rattray Road. Boundaries should not follow the centre-line of residential streets because this is socially divisive.</p>	<p>The boundary in this location follows the Herne Hill ward boundary. There is no designated Brixton neighbourhood planning area or forum and officers are not aware of an application pending. The Brixton Society has expressed an opinion about streets falling within Brixton rather than Herne Hill but there is no definitive evidence either way. Analysis of people consulted by the Herne Hill forum suggests more than one person was asked but only one person identified with another area than Herne Hill. No change to the proposed neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP056/03		<p>Brixton Water Lane, SW2: No residents were even asked if they identified with Herne Hill! All 6 Brixton Society members in this street strongly identified with Brixton. There are no historical links with Herne Hill, but the whole of Brixton Water Lane is included in the Brixton BID. The most practical solution is for the entire Brixton Water Lane Conservation Area to be included within the Tulse Hill Neighbourhood Plan area, as most already is.</p>	<p>The remaining half of Brixton Water Lane is already designated in the Tulse Hill neighbourhood planning area. It is considered appropriate to follow the ward boundary and the boundary of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in this location, so that this section of Brixton Water Lane is not isolated in the middle of two neighbourhood planning areas. No change to the proposed neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP056/04		<p>Effra Parade, SW2: It appears that no residents were asked if they identified with Herne Hill. Had we the resources for canvassing, we would expect most residents to associate themselves with Brixton. There are no historical links with Herne Hill as this street was built as a spur off Brixton Water Lane, and still lies within the SW2 postal district.</p>	<p>The boundary in this location follows the Herne Hill ward boundary. There is no designated Brixton neighbourhood planning area or forum and officers are not aware of an application pending. The Brixton Society has expressed an opinion about streets falling within Brixton rather than Herne Hill but there is no definitive evidence either way. Analysis of people consulted by the Herne Hill forum suggests more than one person was asked but only</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			one person identified with another area than Herne Hill. No change to the proposed neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.
HHNP056/05		Mayall Road (and adjacent parts of Railton Road): Of 5 residents asked by the promoters, 4 identified with Herne Hill, but a resident of St. George's Residences said they did NOT live in Herne Hill. The Brixton Society has 5 members in this section. Had we the resources for canvassing, we would expect more residents to associate themselves with Brixton. There are no historical links with Herne Hill as these streets were built on the southern extremity of the Lambeth Wick/ Loughborough Park Estate. This section includes the long-standing Brixton Advice Centre, and Railton Road north from this site was the seat of the Brixton Riots in April 1981.	The objector does not substantiate their statement about the views of the people in this location with supporting evidence, so it is not possible to assess the validity of the point made. There are likely to be many different opinions about historical and other links between different locations, with no clear evidence either way to establish a definitive view. It is noted that the ward boundary falls half-way down Mayall Road, resulting in a very small pocket of Coldharbour Ward being included in the proposed neighbourhood area. However, there is a coherent built form along this section of Mayall Road and following the ward boundary in this location would disrupt that. No change to the proposed neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.
HHNP056/06		Shakespeare Road (northern arm): No residents north of the railway were consulted. It is an anomaly for the promoters to include the Waste Transfer site on the east side next to the railway. Any change or intensification of use will impact most on residents of this northern section of the road. In the past, we have supported these residents in persuading the Council to impose conditions on use and management of the site. Unless this detached site is removed from the NP area, any local influence over its future use will be lost.	The argument that this site has more of a relationship with the northern part of Shakespeare Road is considered valid, because the two railway lines form a natural boundary and act as a barrier between this site and remainder of the proposed neighbourhood area; although it is acknowledged that some of the traffic accessing the site travels from Dulwich Road and under the railway line crossing Shakespeare Road. Development involving waste management uses is excluded from the remit of neighbourhood plans by legislation (as set out in Table 1, Appendix 2). As a result of both considerations, it is recommended that this site should not be included within the Herne Hill neighbourhood area.

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
HHNP056/07		Shakespeare Road (southern arm) with Chaucer, Spenser and Milton Roads: Of 20 residents asked, all identified with Herne Hill. The Brixton Society has consulted its 3 members in this section, who recognise that Herne Hill is significant due to the proximity of the station and the local commercial centre, but do not wish to be isolated from Brixton's wider influence.	These streets make up the Poet's Corner conservation area. Historic England advises that neighbourhood plans should respect pre-defined boundaries, such as conservation areas, where possible (and prefer conservation areas either to be included in full or excluded in full). The majority of people consulted by Herne Hill Forum in this area stated they identified with Herne Hill over any other area. No change to the proposed neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.
HHNP056/08		Brockwell Park Conservation Area: For Brockwell Park itself, it has been agreed by Brockwell Park Community Partners (the management advisory committee representing all user groups) that the Park should be excluded from any Neighbourhood Plan area. Between Brixton Water Lane and Brockwell Lido, dwellings backing onto the Park are historically an outgrowth from Brixton. Residents include a former Chair of the Brixton Society. Of just 2 residents asked, both identified with Herne Hill.	If removed, this area would become isolated between two neighbourhood planning areas - Tulse Hill and Herne Hill. No change to the proposed neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.
HHNP056/09		Regent Road, Herne Place, Hurst Street: The Brixton Society has no current members in this section, though the 198 Gallery is a landmark on the Brixton Creative scene. We raise no objections to this section being included, due to the proximity to Herne Hill station and the local commercial centre. However, local support is not convincing: only one resident of Regent Road was asked, who identified with Herne Hill. There were no positive responses from Meath House, Herne Hill House or Park View House.	Comment noted. These areas are considered appropriate to be included in the Herne Hill neighbourhood area given their proximity to the Herne Hill District Centre.
HHNP056/10		Loughborough Junction: The proposed boundary takes in several contentious employment sites which form part of the Loughborough Junction Local Centre. Some community planning work has already been carried out in this area by the Loughborough Junction Action Group, and we support the representations that they are making.	Planning Practice Guidance sets out that when deciding the boundaries of a neighbourhood area, consideration could be given to areas where formal or informal networks of community based groups operate. The Brixton Societ correctly argues that the Loughborough Junction Action Group (LJAG) is

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>active within this area and the area is the subject of the emerging Loughborough Junction master plan, which is being led by Lambeth Council in partnership with LJAG. The boundary of Area F (as shown on the map in Appendix 4) follows the boundary of the emerging Loughborough Junction master plan, as published on the Council's Loughborough Junction master plan webpage. This emerging master plan has been the subject of three rounds of public consultation to date. The comments that these streets relate more to Loughborough Junction local town centre than to Herne Hill district centre are accepted. Using the Herne Hill Forum's own evidence, the views gathered about where residents living in this location identify with were mixed, with a number identifying with an area other than Herne Hill. The SE5 Forum is also active in this area and does not wish to be part of any neighbourhood plan that this forum might prepare. Having regard to these considerations, in combination with the assessment set out in Table 1, Appendix 2, it is recommended that this area should not be included within the Herne Hill neighbourhood area.</p>
HHNP056/11		<p>East side of Denmark Hill: The proposed boundary follows an arbitrary line through the middle of a local authority housing estate. This is not practical in planning terms. Either the whole estate, including Dylways, should be included, or it should be excluded. Boundaries should not follow the centre-line of minor residential streets.</p>	<p>This area is within the London Borough of Southwark. A decision on the neighbourhood area boundary within their borough boundary will be made by Southwark Council.</p>
HHNP056/12		<p>Herne Hill Velodrome: This is the only site clearly highlighted in the promoters' proposals, yet is separated from the rest of the proposed Plan area by a railway embankment. It is ridiculous for the promoters to dictate future use of the site when they have excluded the site entrance and houses just outside in Burbage Road which would be affected by</p>	<p>This area is within the London Borough of Southwark. A decision on the neighbourhood area boundary within their borough boundary will be made by Southwark Council.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		any intensification of use. The Velodrome site is more properly dealt with as part of Dulwich.	
HHNP056/13		Trinity Rise: This is part of the original Tulse Hill Estate, for which Trinity Church was provided by the developers in 1855. The north side of the road, with Brockwell Park Gardens, was built just before the First World War. Historically, this section should be included in the Tulse Hill Neighbourhood Plan area.	This area was the subject of discussion and agreement between HHF, the Tulse Hill Forum and Norwood Planning Assembly prior to designation of the latter two areas and prior to this application. If this area was removed from the Herne Hill forum proposed area, it would be isolated between designated areas. Tulse Hill Forum would have to apply to be the neighbourhood forum for this area. Tulse Hill Forum have not submitted an objection to this application in relation to this area or any other area. No change to the proposed neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.
HHNP056/14		<p>Conclusions:</p> <p>The boundaries proposed by the promoters are over-extended and impractical. The promoters have not indicated their priorities for future planning policies and have only identified one site for attention – which turns out to be crassly unrealistic (as in 6.3 above). While we can agree that Herne Hill is a pleasant area to be associated with, Brixton has always had a greater influence on our living environment, so it is more important that we should be able to affect plans and policies for the latter area, rather than be marginalised. The Effra area has its own long tradition of community-based planning and activism. We will not accept plans for our area being made by another group from the other side of Herne Hill over whom we have no influence. We call on the Council to reduce the Neighbourhood Plan boundaries as set out in paras. 5 and 6 above.</p>	Comment noted. The responses to the points set out in paragraphs 5 and 6 of the Brixton Society response are set out above.
HHNP057	Individual	Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne	These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.
HHNP058	A petition from 72 Individuals	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services - medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP059	Individuals	<p>I would like to add my voice to others from Deronda Road who have expressed their strong objections to the redesignation of Deronda Road in the proposed new Neighbourhood Plan. Whilst we welcome the idea of a local plan, my wife and I consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. There seems no convincing reason for the Deronda Road triangle to be redesignated and we object strongly to this being carried out. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan. I trust that our views, and the views of others living in the Deronda Road triangle, will be taken into account and that this part of the plan will be rethought.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP060	Individual	<p>In reference to the planned changes to the Herne Hill Boundary, I wish to make the following comments. We purchased our home because it was sited in Herne Hill. We enjoy knowing we live in Herne Hill and would like to continue to be part of that community. There has been no clear reason given for the boundary movement. Please, can we be informed as to why the Deronda Triangle is being excluded from the Herne Hill Community? The suggested boundary does not naturally follow logical lines. It appears that less desirable areas i.e. Peabody Estate may have been excluded from the Herne Hill Boundaries. We do not want a boundary change that means we are no longer part of the Herne Hill Community.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP061	Individual	<p>I am a resident of Berwyn Road in Lambeth. We consider ourselves as part of Herne Hill and wish to remain so, and not become part of the proposed Tulse Hill new boundary. I would like all our roads - that is to say Romola, Deronda, Deerbrook etc. to remain in the Herne Hill boundary. All our schools, doctors etc. are in Herne Hill, as we are SE24.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP062	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise, and we have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. Indeed, this proposal effectively cuts Thurlow Park Ward in half, with no proactive consultation of its constituents. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP063	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
HHNP064	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP065	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been revisited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP066	Loughborough Junction Action Group and Loughborough Junction Neighbourhood Planning Forum	<p>I am attaching the joint response of the Loughborough Junction Action Group (LJAG) and the LJ Neighbourhood Planning Forum to the boundary of the proposed Herne Hill Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>LJAG and the LJ Neighbourhood Planning Forum question the northern boundary of the Area Designation. The two organisations would prefer the northern boundary to be drawn along Lowden Road, Herne Hill Road and Ferndene Road for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> LJAG and the LJ Neighbourhood Planning Forum have been working over the last eight years to put Loughborough Junction on the map as a place separate from its adjoining neighbourhoods Herne Hill, Brixton and Camberwell and we feel that drawing the boundary so close to the Loughborough Junction town centre would detract from our place making work. We have worked with Lambeth Council on the Loughborough Junction Plan, which sets out a vision for the public realm in Loughborough Junction, and the draft master plan for the area's development sites and we feel that a Herne Hill Neighbourhood Plan with a boundary as currently drawn would significantly impinge on our ability to continue our place-making work in Loughborough Junction. The “disputed area” is some distance from the centre of Herne Hill and we maintain that 	<p>Planning Practice Guidance sets out that when deciding the boundaries of a neighbourhood area, consideration could be given to areas where formal or informal networks of community based groups operate. The Loughborough Junction Action Group (LJAG) correctly argues that it is active within this area and the area is the subject of the emerging Loughborough Junction master plan, which is being led by Lambeth Council in partnership with LJAG. The boundary of Area F (as shown on the map in Appendix 4) follows the boundary of the emerging Loughborough Junction master plan, as published on the Council's Loughborough Junction master plan webpage. This emerging master plan has been the subject of three rounds of public consultation to date. The comments that these streets relate more to Loughborough Junction local town centre than to Herne Hill district centre are accepted. Using the Herne Hill Forum's own evidence, the views gathered about where residents living in this location identify with were mixed, with a number identifying with an area other than Herne Hill. The SE5 Forum is also active in this area and does not wish to be part of any neighbourhood plan</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>Loughborough Junction and our town centre would be of only peripheral concern in any Herne Hill Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>3. LJAG has a long record of engagement in the “disputed area” which as far as we are aware has not been an area where either the Herne Hill Forum or the Herne Hill Society have been involved in community activities. Examples include street parties; community literary and film nights at the Whirled Cinema in Hardess Street; the renovation of the Cambria Bridge involving a wide range of local artists; and the World War 1 augmented reality app.</p> <p>4. Loughborough Junction is under considerable development pressure. The main sites are principally, although not entirely, outside the designated boundary. However, these developments are of great concern to residents in the “disputed area”. LJAG has a good track record of keeping residents in the “disputed area” abreast of developments. For example residents in the “disputed area” looked to LJAG rather than the Herne Hill Forum or the Herne Hill Society to hold events during the consultation on the first development proposals for the Higgs Industrial Estate. LJAG is currently being consulted on by developers on three separate sites in the area.</p> <p>5. The evidence that residents in the “disputed area” overwhelmingly consider themselves to live in Herne Hill is weak. We have looked at the following postcodes in the “disputed area”: SE5 9AN (Northway Road); SE5 9AP (Kemerton Road); SE5 9AR (Kemerton Road); SE5 9AU (Bicknell Road); SE5 9AW (Finsen Road); and SE5 9AX (Finsen Road). They show that of the 17 people questioned or who responded online eight said they lived in Herne Hill but nine said they didn’t.</p> <p>6. Loughborough Junction is an area where three postcodes – SW9, SE5 and SE24 - meet and this leads to understandable confusion as to where people think they live. SW9 is the Stockwell</p>	<p>that this forum might prepare. Having regard to these considerations, in combination with the assessment set out in Table 1, Appendix 2, it is recommended that this area should not be included within the Herne Hill neighbourhood area.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>postcode but no one in Loughborough Junction would say they live in Stockwell; however, residents in the “disputed area” with the SE5, Camberwell postcode, might say they live in Camberwell, even though they are some distance from Camberwell’s town centre; ditto those in SE24, the Herne Hill postcode, who might say they live in Herne Hill even though they are some distance from the Herne Hill town centre.</p> <p>7. Loughborough Junction needs a more nuanced approach to regeneration. We argue that psychologically the success of Herne Hill’s town centre and the Sunday market, both developments made possible by Herne Hill’s demographic, which is predominantly white and home owning, has made Herne Hill an attractive place where people gather with which people wish to identify. We celebrate the success of Herne Hill’s town centre but maintain that Loughborough Junction is a more mixed area and the job of revitalising the town centre without alienating residents and raising fears of “gentrification” is more complex.</p>	
HHNP067	Environment Agency	<p>Thank you for consulting the Environment Agency on the above. It is not within our remit to comment on the proposed composition of the forum. However, the culverted Effra River flows through the area in a northerly direction passing through Herne Hill, Brockwell Park, Brixton and onto Kennington before flowing out into the Thames. The Herne Hill, Brixton and Norwood areas are impacted from upstream surface water flows from the London Boroughs of Southwark and Croydon, and it will therefore be important that the flood risk is managed at a catchment scale by all Councils. The London Borough of Lambeth is the Lead Local Flood Authority in charge of surface and groundwater flooding and therefore the forum should work closely with council to manage flood risk. Herne Hill is in a Critical Drainage Area (CDA). There are a significant number of sewer flooding incidents within the CDA. 6,201 residential and 339 non-residential properties flood to a depth >0.03m. 158 residential and 33 non-residential properties flood to a depth >0.5m. We have attached below more information for your</p>	<p>Comments noted. This advice will be shared with the designated neighbourhood forum for the neighbourhood area.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>consideration. We are keen to work closely with you to identify infrastructure projects and environmental improvement schemes which will benefit sustainable development of the neighbourhood.</p> <p>Critical Drainage Area (CDA) The most recent, significant surface water flooding event in the London Borough of Lambeth occurred in April 2004 affecting Herne Hill and the Dulwich Road area. Flooding was attributed to the intensity of the rainstorm (with a reported probability of less than a 1 in 300 probability of occurring in any given year (0.3% AEP)) and the topography of the area, causing runoff to accumulate in a natural valley with the Thames Water sewer system surcharging indicate that several areas, including Norwood, West Dulwich and Herne Hill (in particular Dulwich Road and Railton Road) are affected by multiple sources of flood risk. These include complex interactions between urban watercourse routes, direct surface water ponding, overland flow paths, groundwater springs and the combined sewer system. A large amount of surface water from Dulwich Village (Southwark) is directed towards Herne Hill at which point it backs up as it is constricted through the railway underpass. The modelled flood depths here are greater than 1.5m during the 1% AEP rainfall event and this area is known to have experienced flooding. There is also a flow path from West Dulwich (Lambeth) north along the route of the hidden 'River Effra' watercourse which flows to Herne Hill.</p> <p>National Planning Policy Framework The NPPF is clear that local planning authorities and local plans should develop policies to manage flood risk from all sources and apply a sequential, risk-based approach to the location of development. The Planning Practice Guidance <i>Neighbourhood Planning Paragraph: 061 Reference ID: 7-061-20140306</i> points out that the overall approach to flood risk management in paragraph 100 of the National Planning Policy</p>	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>Framework applies to neighbourhood planning. In summary, the qualifying bodies involved in neighbourhood planning should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • seek to ensure neighbourhood plans and neighbourhood development/community right to build orders are informed by an appropriate assessment of flood risk; • ensure policies steer development to areas of lower flood risk as far as possible; • ensure that any development in an area at risk of flooding would be safe, for its lifetime taking account of climate change impacts; • be able to demonstrate how flood risk to and from the plan area/development site(s) will be managed, so that flood risk will not be increased overall, and that opportunities to reduce flood risk, for example, through the use of sustainable drainage systems, are included in the plan/order <p>Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA)</p> <p>The borough SFRA points out that it is essential that any new development takes account of known sewer flooding problems to ensure that the development is not put at risk and that the development does not worsen an existing problem. Future development if not adequately planned can increase the flood risk from sewer flooding and in some cases cause new flood problems to occur. Potential increases in surface water or sewage discharge from new development must be adequately managed and mitigation measures introduced where required.</p> <p>As pointed out in the National Planning Policy Practice Guidance paragraph: 064 Reference ID: 7-064-20140306, where the neighbourhood planning area is in Flood Zone 2 or 3, or is in an area with critical drainage problems, advice on the scope of the flood risk assessment required should be sought from the Environment Agency.</p>	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>Where the area may be subject to other sources of flooding, it may be helpful to consult other bodies involved in flood risk management, as appropriate.</p> <p>In all cases where new development is proposed, the sequential approach to locating development in areas of lower flood risk should still be applied within a neighbourhood planning area. The National Planning Policy Practice Guidance stipulates that Neighbourhood Development/Community Right to Build Orders that propose new development that would be;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • contrary to the flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility table (Table 3), or; • within areas at risk of flooding where sequential testing shows there to be places at lower flood risk which are suitable and reasonably available for the development proposed, should not be considered appropriate, having regard to the national policies on development and flood risk. <p>In accordance with PPG Paragraph: 064 Reference ID: 7-064-20140306 the general approach and requirements for site-specific flood risk assessments should be applied to developments in areas at risk of flooding to be permitted by Neighbourhood Development/ Community Right to Build Orders. A site-specific flood risk assessment should support the draft Order. The flood risk assessment checklist may be helpful in this respect.</p> <p>Updated guidance on gov.uk website</p> <p>Working with Natural England and the Marine Management Organisation we have published information on our planning role on gov.uk. The information is on three pages for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Planning Authorities 	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developers • Neighbourhood Planning Groups <p>The pages explain when we should be consulted, what sort of information we need when we are consulted, what the customer will get back from us and supporting sources of information. This covers local plans, strategies and planning applications and should help make customer interactions more efficient.</p> <p>Other guidance</p> <p>The links below provide other information on neighbourhood planning. It is not exhaustive, but aims to provide a helpful starting point when supporting neighbourhood planning.</p> <p>DCLG – Notes on neighbourhood planning (November 2012) including legislation, emerging practice and support. http://planning.communityknowledgehub.org.uk/resource/notes-neighbourhood-planning-2</p> <p>HM Government Community Rights – Information on the four community rights including case studies and sources of support; Community Right to Build, neighbourhood planning, Community Right to Challenge, Community Right to Bid.</p> <p>http://communityrights.communities.gov.uk/what-are-community-rights/neighbourhood-planning/</p> <p>PAS- Neighbourhood planning FAQs http://www.pas.gov.uk/pas/core/page.do?pagelId=1802659</p> <p>Locality- A comprehensive guide aimed at all those involved in, or thinking about, producing a Neighbourhood Development Plan. It takes you through the process step by step, from first deciding to join with people from your local community to create a Neighbourhood</p>	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>Development Plan, to submitting the finished article. http://locality.org.uk/resources/neighbourhood-planning-roadmap-guide/</p> <p>Planning for the environment at the neighbourhood level</p> <p>The Environment Agency, English Heritage, Forestry Commission and Natural England have prepared a guidance- <i>Planning for the environment at the neighbourhood level</i>. I have attached a copy for more detail.</p> <p>All our maps and data sets are regularly reviewed and updated so it's important you are using the latest data to decide on when to consult and as part of the evidence base for local plans and strategies. The latest data and maps are available to download from http://environment.data.gov.uk/ds/partners/index.jsp#/partners/login</p>	
HHNP068	Friends of Ruskin Park	<p>This response to the application is from the Friends of Ruskin Park (FoRP), a Charitable Incorporated Organisation. More information about Ruskin Park and FoRP can be seen in the appendix.</p> <p>The Trustees of FORP have serious concerns about the potential benefits and risks for the future of Ruskin Park (RP) of including the park within the boundary of the neighbourhood area proposed by the Herne Hill Forum (HHF) in their application. The park is not exclusively an asset to the proposed neighbourhood, it is also used and valued by other communities outside the designated area. We feel these concerns have not been adequately addressed in the application and that we have not had opportunity for sufficient discussion on the unique nature of Ruskin Park and its geographical setting in relation to neighbourhood planning.</p> <p>We understand, and support, the energy for a clearer identity for Herne Hill and recognise the significant improvements that have been made to the town centre over the past two decades, for which the HHF deserves much credit.</p> <p>Our principle concerns are as follows:</p>	Council information (summarised in the assessment in Table 1, Appendix 2) supports the argument made by the objectors that Ruskin Park serves a wider catchment than Herne Hill and is also associated with Denmark Hill and Camberwell. HHF's own evidence of those they asked shows mixed views among those living in the area surrounding Ruskin Park, with a number identifying with somewhere other than Herne Hill. It is therefore recommended that Ruskin Park should not be included within the Herne Hill neighbourhood area.

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="564 237 1500 564">1. We believe that a large proportion of Ruskin Park users do not reside or work within the proposed boundary and would not necessarily identify Ruskin Park as a prime asset of Herne Hill. The park most associated Herne Hill is Brockwell Park, which is not included in the proposed area. We have many initiatives to engage local people in RP. Many users live in Loughborough Junction, Camberwell, Denmark Hill and Peckham, or work /attend Kings College or the Maudsley Hospital. There is a lack of green spaces for these communities. We have good links with associated community groups, e.g. LJAG, SE5 Forum and the NHS. <li data-bbox="564 571 1500 938">2. As many of the trustees live close to the park, in the north of the proposed area, and are in close touch with park users, we believe that the views of people in this area on where they identify with are even more mixed than concluded in the application. The applicant's surveys undertaken, in our view, are not conclusive. The postcode at the west end of Ruskin Park is SE24, at the east end SE5, with the area having a history of being part of Camberwell and the 'town centres' of Camberwell/Denmark Hill and Loughborough Junction which are actually closer than that of Herne Hill. Understandably, for people living around Ruskin Park, this is a highly significant amenity, as revealed in HHF's survey. <li data-bbox="564 944 1500 1209">3. We do not understand yet the future implications on the communities outside, or on, the boundaries of a neighbourhood-area-being-statutorily-designated, such as being proposed. Unless all territory is subdivided into neighbourhood areas, inequalities or anomalies could emerge e.g. around access to funding for local investment, and unnecessary boundaries and divisions could be created. We fear this could be the case in the north east of the proposed area. <li data-bbox="564 1216 1500 1369">4. We currently have a direct relationship with the 'guardian' of RP, Lambeth Council, primarily through Lambeth Landscapes. A key part of FoRP's role is to facilitate two-way communication between park users, local people and community groups with statutory authorities on park matters. We fear that if RP and FoRP become 	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>subsumed in a new neighbourhood planning structure, layers of communication and bureaucracy will increase to the detriment of the interests of RP, its broad group of park users, and getting things done.</p> <p>5. We feel that, in making this application to act for this area, HHF is not currently a transparent entity with clearly stated governance aims and accountability. We feel it has not fully listened to, or reflected our concerns expressed in the informal consultations they have undertaken. We feel it has not fully considered the implications of including such a significant and unique public asset as RP in its application.</p> <p>Appendix Information about Ruskin Park and the Friends of Ruskin Park Introduction Ruskin Park is the second largest park in Lambeth and the fourth largest open space managed by Lambeth Council. It offers unique facilities and experiences to a wide section of society, living and working both locally and further away. People visit to use the paddling pool, listen to a bandstand concert, see an unusual tree in bloom, go on a tree or horticulture walk, play tennis or football, join family, friends or other groups that gather for social events, go on a bat watching walk, and for many other reasons as a consequence of the parks location. This video shows the diversity and views of users .https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yjDSazxHBFM&feature=youtu.be</p> <p>The contribution parks and green spaces make towards both physical and mental health, and wellbeing are increasingly recognised by health professionals. Many people come into Ruskin Park simply to enjoy the green space, cleaner air and relative peace and quiet.</p> <p>FoRP www.friendsofruskinpark.org.uk</p>	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>FoRP promotes the aim of Ruskin Park as health and well-being park. We have recently received funding from The London Community Foundation to increase community engagement through volunteering on longer term projects. We have received funding from Power to Change to develop a building refurbishment project working in partnership with Lambeth.</p> <p>In order to attract this funding FoRP has had to demonstrate it is corporately robust, has sound accounting, is open to public scrutiny, has good all media communications with its community of park users and the wider community, has a growing membership with whom we can consult, has an elected and representative trustee board. Over several years FoRP has consulted with park users through static surveys in the park, online Survey Monkeys, presentations of ideas for feedback at our Annual Summer Fete, at Bandstand Concerts, after events asking for feedback, through our Mailchimp platform newsletters (which allow us to gather data about readership, clicks to weblinks, and verify our membership data). These consultations and information gathered through our membership have given FoRP Trustees a very good idea of what park users want, and how they are prepared to help us deliver it as volunteers and possibly through other forms of engagement.</p> <p>FoRP has developed strong reciprocal working and communications relationships with local groups like SE5Forum, LJAG, Camberwell Arts Festival, Trees For Cities, Ruskin Park Community Garden, Kings College Hospital, Making Music, and are working now to develop stronger ties with The Lambeth GP Food Growing Co-Operative, Incredible Edible Lambeth, Brixton Windmill, South London Botanical Institute, South London and Maudsley Hospital, and London Beekeepers Assn.</p> <p>Location The park is located at the East edge of Herne Hill Ward, on the Borough Boundary with LB Southwark. The other park boundaries are streets</p>	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>with residential properties, either terraces, semi-detached or detached houses.</p> <p>To the north of the park is Loughborough Junction which has just completed a Master Planning consultation. The social demographic in the LJAG area is very mixed with many indices of multiple deprivation. Loughborough Junction has major new housing and business development plans for the next ten years. These developments will bring higher demand in our area on public facilities from increased population and businesses. their employees having an ensuant increase in To the South East on Denmark Hill is the Denmark Hill Estate, student accommodation for Kings College (500 plus students) and the Salvation Army Training College.</p> <p>Separated by a railway line from Ruskin Park, are Kings College Hospital (KCH), the South London and Maudsley Trust(SlaM) and The Institute of Psychiatry. KCH is the London and SouthEast major trauma centre and these bodies serve hundreds of thousands of patients across South East England, international research interests and employ in excess of 12,000 staff in this locality. Ruskin Park is designated a helicopter landing site by the CAA and is still used as back-up to the new helipad on KCH.</p>	
HHNP069	Individual	<p>I object to the establishment of the neighbourhood forum on the basis that some roads in the Herne Hill SE24 postcode area have been excluded from this proposal. I do not understand why areas outside Herne Hill, e.g. in SE21, have been included when some roads in the Herne Hill neighbourhood have been left out. Until the proposal is amend to include all parts of Herne Hill, I would strongly urge you to reject this proposal.</p>	<p>A neighbourhood area boundary is decided by a group for the purposes of neighbourhood planning based on a number of considerations. The postcodes of an area could be part of the selection of a neighbourhood area but there are other considerations including catchment areas for local services, areas where formal or informal networks of community based groups operate, the physical characteristics and features of the neighbourhood, the size of the population or ward boundaries. In relation to the SE24 postcode area it is not possible</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			to include the area in full as some of the postcode is already covered by a designated neighbourhood area. The council designated the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016 and this covers part of the SE24 postcode area. The boundaries of neighbourhood areas cannot overlap and so these parts of the SE24 postcode cannot be included in the Herne Hill neighbourhood area.
HHNP070	Rollscourt and Cosbycote Residents' Association	I am writing on behalf of the Rollscourt and Cosbycote Residents' Association to confirm our huge support for this application - it is particularly important to the community because Herne Hill straddles two London Boroughs (Lambeth and Southwark) and we hope the formation of the Herne Hill Neighbourhood Area will mean a joined up approach to planning and policies. We are, however, disappointed that Brockwell Park is not included in the boundary - we consider the park to be the heart of Herne Hill and an extremely important green space. If it was part of the Neighbourhood area we could raise additional funds to improve facilities and protect the Park so please could Brockwell Park be reinstated?	Support noted. In relation to Brockwell Park, this has a wider catchment than Herne Hill and has not been included in other designated neighbourhood planning areas for the same reason. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in relation to Brockwell Park.
HHNP071	Individual	I understand that your suggestion to exclude Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road from the Herne Hill area is partly based on a survey of the local residents. Based on the elements you have released regarding the survey design and sampling methodology, I have serious doubts about the robustness of your data and I will consequently question the validity of your conclusions. I would therefore ask you to provide the full detail of the professional qualification of the organisation or individuals who designed this survey and analysed the results, including their affiliation to professional bodies such as the Market Research Society or equivalent. If they are not research professionals, their survey design could be suspected of being biased and therefore invalid.	Neighbourhood planning groups are encouraged to consult with the local community when drawing up the boundary for neighbourhood areas. The Herne Hill Forum sought views from local residents to inform their proposed neighbourhood area boundary. There is no requirement for neighbourhood planning groups to employ professional researchers when undertaking consultation activity. The streets mentioned were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>I would also ask you to provide evidence of the professional training/qualification of the individuals who interviewed of the residents. Unless they have been trained according to the Market Research Society code of conduct, we can just ignore the data they collected. Any survey professional will confirm that interviewers who are alien to the art of remaining neutral and not influencing the respondent have a significant propensity to bias the answers of the respondents, and consequently the survey results. I also assume that the individuals who conducted the interviews had no relationship with the organisation who commissioned the survey (i.e. you) as it would be a clear breach of the MRS code of conduct, and here again would mean we could ignore the survey results altogether, but I would like to get a credible confirmation of this.</p>	<p>prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.
HHNP072	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle.</p> <p>As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP073	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle.</p> <p>As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP074	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only four people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP075	Individual	<p>I am very concerned at being removed from the Herne Hill area. I use the Brockwell Park Surgery (having moved from the atrocious surgery on the Tulse Hill gyratory)</p> <p>I wish to remain in the Herne Hill zone and feel it's inappropriate that the Deronda Triangle should be removed from this zone. I have no idea why this should be the case and it would certainly cause inconvenience if I would have to move doctors yet again. I think everyone living near the Tulse Hill gyratory already feels like a second class citizen and I can't see how our removal from Herne Hill would benefit us.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP076	Individual	<p>We have not been consulted on the proposed boundary changes. Our home was bought because it was in Herne Hill. We enjoy knowing we live in Herne Hill and would like to continue to be part of that community. There has been no clear reason given for the boundary movement.</p> <p>Please, can we be informed as to why the Deronda Triangle is being excluded from the Herne Hill community? The boundary does not naturally follow logical lines. It appears that less desirable areas i.e. Peabody Estate may have been excluded from the Herne Hill Boundaries.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP077	Individual	<p>We have not been consulted on the proposed boundary changes. Our home was bought because it was in Herne Hill. We enjoy knowing we live in Herne Hill and would like to continue to be part of that community. There has been no clear reason given for the boundary movement.</p> <p>Please, can we be informed as to why the Deronda Triangle is being excluded from the Herne Hill community? The boundary does not</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>naturally follow logical lines. It appears that less desirable areas i.e. Peabody Estate may have been excluded from the Herne Hill Boundaries.</p>	<p>inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
HHNP078	Individual	<p>I believe the Forum has done a very good job of creating a Herne Hill community and has been behind a number of initiatives that have enriched residents' lives.</p> <p>I would like to object to the Herne Hill Neighbourhood plan area designation. I live in Romola Road and am part of a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival, we use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP079	Individual	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the Herne Hill neighbourhood boundary proposal. We welcome the proposal to develop a local plan, however, we consider our area to be part of Herne Hill and wish to be included within the boundaries. We are a local neighbourhood association representing: Berwyn Road, Deerbrook Road, Deronda Road and Romola Road known as the Deronda Triangle. As active members of the community, including founding members of the Herne Hill Free Film Festival. We use the local services – medical, shops, market, transport and school. We are especially close to Brockwell Park, and regard this as a vital part of our neighbourhood. Our local polling station is in Trinity Rise. And have a Herne Hill postcode SE24. We are passionately committed to the future development of the area in a way that serves all its communities. We are particularly disappointed to be excluded in this first draft and the way in which the consultation appears to have been conducted. For example, of the four streets that make up the Deronda Triangle only 2 people were consulted. Maintaining the current Herne Hill boundary up to the South Circular - which will continue to cut the area naturally - seems more logical than what looks like a fairly arbitrary chop at Trinity Rise. We thank you for your consideration and look forward to our area being included in this plan.</p>	<p>These streets were designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been revisited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP080	Health & Safety Executive	<p>Thank you for your request to provide a representation on the above consultation document. When consulted on land-use planning matters, HSE where possible will make representations to ensure that compatible development within the consultation zones of major hazard establishments and major accident hazard pipelines (MAHPs) is achieved. We have concluded that we have no representations to make on this occasion. This is because our records show that the Herne Hill Neighbourhood Plan boundary and the land within does not encroach on the consultation zones of major hazard establishments or MAHPs1. As no encroachment has been detected, HSE does not need to be informed of the next stages in the adoption of the Herne Hill Neighbourhood Plan.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
HHNP081	Transport for London	<p>Setting TfL has no objection to the establishment of the Neighbourhood Area promoted by the Norwood Planning Assembly. TfL has a number of assets and operations within the area, including: operating bus services, bus stops and stands. There are a number of other transport assets in the neighbourhood area, including the Herne Hill station owned by Network Rail and managed by south-eastern. Cycle Quietway 7 (Elephant and Castle to Crystal Palace) is planned to run along Rosendale Road, Brockwell Park Gardens and Trinity Rise at the western extents of the area.</p>	<p>Comment noted. This advice will be shared with the designated neighbourhood forum for the neighbourhood area. A neighbourhood forum, once designated, will need to consult Transport for London during future stages of the neighbourhood plan preparation.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>Consultation</p> <p>The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 state that consultation will occur through all stages of the neighbourhood planning process with ‘people who live, work or carry on business in the area’. Given its very nature, as a transport operator, employer and owner of land, assets and infrastructure across London, TfL would request consultation throughout the neighbourhood planning process.</p> <p>TfL has an interest in facilitating the neighbourhood planning process and to ensure that any local transport aspirations are achievable and compliant with the London Plan and the Mayor’s Transport Strategy. In addition this provides an opportunity for TfL to ensure investment is targeted appropriately in relation to neighbourhood plans and that infrastructure which is fundamental to transport operations can be protected to ensure service reliability.</p> <p>With that in mind, TfL offers guidance for those involved neighbourhood planning process and liaison with London Boroughs and neighbourhood forums. This includes the supply of data, reviewing policies and discussing the forum’s proposed approach to the plan.</p> <p>TfL therefore encourages the organisation to contact us should their plans or proposals impact on TfL’s assets or operations through the development of their plan in order to assist in the development of policies. Information on TfL’s assets operations and proposals can be shared through this process. If the assembly would like to contact me for any advice, please feel free to share my contact details, included below.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>I trust this provides you with an understanding of TfL’s current position on this re-designation consultation.</p>	

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
HHNP082	Individual	<p>I think that because another area doesn't currently have plans to develop a Neighbourhood Group, its opportunistic to land grab some of the borders. Finsen/Bicknell/Kemerton and the surrounding roads are all SE5 and I have friends who live there who definitely feel like Camberwellians (they would be surprised to see this!). Ditto Poets Corner - my friends definitely consider themselves Brixton residents. And I'm pretty sure those people who live next to North Dulwich train station consider themselves Dulwich residents - as their postcode would imply. So I am wary of why this boundary needs to be so big - I'm assuming there is a political reason the HHF have to develop this. I also think it's easy to talk of community pride and supporting the local economy - and of course these things are totally necessary and the area (I've been a resident for 13+ years) is improving immensely and that's fantastic. But I also wonder what the net effect of this will be in real terms? I would love to see resources and energy devoted to developing Loughborough Junction (LuvBro) as an area in its own right to rival Dalston, Peckham etc. rather than being eclipsed by Herne Hill, the socio-economic make up of which is totally different. Empower other areas equally rather than subsume them.</p>	<p>Planning Practice Guidance sets out that when deciding the boundaries of a neighbourhood area, consideration could be given to areas where formal or informal networks of community based groups operate. The Loughborough Junction Action Group (LJAG) argues that it is active within this area and the area is the subject of the emerging Loughborough Junction master plan, which is being led by Lambeth Council in partnership with LJAG. The boundary of Area F (as shown on the map in Appendix 4) follows the boundary of the emerging Loughborough Junction master plan, as published on the Council's Loughborough Junction master plan webpage. This emerging master plan has been the subject of three rounds of public consultation to date. The comments that these streets relate more to Loughborough Junction local town centre than to Herne Hill district centre are accepted. Using the Herne Hill Forum's own evidence, the views gathered about where residents living in this location identify with were mixed, with a number identifying with an area other than Herne Hill. The SE5 Forum is also active in this area and does not wish to be part of any neighbourhood plan that this forum might prepare. Having regard to these considerations, in combination with the assessment set out in Table 1, Appendix 2, it is recommended that this area should not be included within the Herne Hill neighbourhood area.</p> <p>With regard to Poet's Corner, these streets make up the Poet's Corner conservation area. Historic England advises that neighbourhood plans should respect pre-defined boundaries, such as</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			conservation areas, where possible (and prefer conservation areas either to be included in full or excluded in full). The majority of people consulted by Herne Hill Forum in this area stated they identified with Herne Hill over any other area. No change to the proposed neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.
HHNP083	Individual	The surveys didn't acknowledge and include people who identify as Denmark Hill. Ruskin Park is in Denmark Hill. Ruskin Park has its own interest group and does not need to be part of this Neighbourhood Planning. Ask anyone which park is in Herne Hill and surely the answer is that it's Brockwell Park. Ruskin Park is not in Herne Hill it's in Denmark Hill	Council information (summarised in the assessment in Table 1, Appendix 2) supports the argument made by the objectors that Ruskin Park serves a wider catchment than Herne Hill and is also associated with Denmark Hill and Camberwell. HHF's own evidence of those they asked shows mixed views among those living in the area surrounding Ruskin Park, with a number identifying with somewhere other than Herne Hill. It is therefore recommended that this area should not be included within the Herne Hill neighbourhood area.
HHNP084	SE5 Forum	<p>Yes, the SE5 Forum for Camberwell objects to the proposed area boundary.</p> <p>The proposed area boundary takes in significant parts of Camberwell, which, like Herne Hill, is a district that is (happily) in both the London Borough of Lambeth and the London Borough of Southwark. The SE5 Forum for Camberwell's objection would be resolved if the area boundary was amended to remove from the area those locations that form part of Camberwell SE5. This includes (but is not necessarily limited to) Acland Crescent, Bicknell Road, Cambria Road, Crossthwaite Avenue, Deepdene Road, Dylways, Finsen Road, Green Dale, Herschell Mews, Kemerton Road, Northway Road, Porchester Close, Sunset Road and Woodfarrs and the properties accessed from these streets.</p> <p>Please note that while the SE5 Forum for Camberwell chooses to use</p>	With regard to Area I (as shown on the map in Appendix 4), Planning Practice Guidance sets out that when deciding the boundaries of a neighbourhood area, consideration could be given to areas where formal or informal networks of community based groups operate. SE5 Forum is active in this area and has said that they do not wish to be part of any neighbourhood plan this forum might prepare. These streets are closer to Camberwell town centre and the shops along Denmark Hill than to Herne Hill town centre. They are also closer to Denmark Hill station than Herne Hill station. SE5 Forum's point about the relationship between the natural geography of this location and the crest of Denmark Hill is also acknowledged. HHF's own evidence shows views

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>the SE5 postcode district as its working definition of Camberwell, the SE5 Forum's objection to the proposed area boundary is not because we consider that postcode district boundaries are, or should be, definitive in relation to determining the boundaries between communities. We recognise that postcode district boundaries are set to facilitate the efficient sorting and delivery of mail, and not for other reasons. In this case, however, the boundary between the SE5 (Camberwell) postcode district and the SE24 (Herne Hill) postcode district does coincide with both how local people identify themselves and with the principal natural geographical feature of the location, i.e., the crest of the hill that is called Denmark Hill, a part of Camberwell, on its northern flank, and Herne Hill on its southern flank; a fact that has been reflected for hundreds of years in the nomenclature of the streets that ascend this hill from the north and from the south, with the point where the street name changes being the crest of the hill. We do consider that these factors, local people's identity and local geography and history, should be definitive in setting the area boundary.</p> <p>Nevertheless, in relation to the attitude of the Royal Mail, we note that the Royal Mail considers Camberwell to be entirely contained by the SE5 (Camberwell) postcode district; and that, although the Royal Mail does consider Herne Hill to cover more than one postcode district, the two relevant postcode districts are the SE24 (Herne Hill) and SE22 (East Dulwich) postcode districts, not the SE5 postcode district.</p> <p>Finally, we note that the Herne Hill Neighbourhood Forum considers that it should not matter that the proposed area boundary includes parts of neighbouring areas because these neighbouring communities are not proposing to prepare neighbourhood plans for their areas. This is, at least in the case of the SE5 Forum for Camberwell, true. We remain unconvinced by the value of neighbourhood planning and rather wish to put our efforts into working with the London Borough of Lambeth and the London Borough of Southwark to ensure that the boroughs' planning documents reflect the policies and proposals that best address</p>	<p>among people living in this area are mixed, with a number contacted identifying with somewhere other than Herne Hill. Taking all these considerations together, it is recommended that this area should not be included within the Herne Hill neighbourhood area.</p> <p>With regard to Area F (as shown on the map in Appendix 4), Loughborough Junction Action Group (LJAG) has argued that it is active within this area and the area is the subject of the emerging Loughborough Junction master plan, which is being led by Lambeth Council in partnership with LJAG. The boundary of Area F (as shown on the map in Appendix 4) follows the boundary of the emerging Loughborough Junction master plan, as published on the Council's Loughborough Junction master plan webpage. This emerging master plan has been the subject of three rounds of public consultation to date. The comments that these streets relate more to Loughborough Junction local town centre than to Herne Hill district centre are accepted. Using the Herne Hill Forum's own evidence, the views gathered about where residents living in this location identify with were mixed, with a number identifying with an area other than Herne Hill. The SE5 Forum is also active in this area and does not wish to be part of any neighbourhood plan that this forum might prepare. Having regard to these considerations, in combination with the assessment set out in Table 1, Appendix 2, it is recommended that this area should not be included within the Herne Hill neighbourhood area.</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
		<p>Camberwell's needs and priorities. This does not mean that it would therefore be appropriate for a neighbouring community group to instead undertake neighbourhood planning for parts of Camberwell (although it does leave open the possibility of another Camberwell community group (e.g., the Camberwell Society) wishing to become the neighbourhood forum for Camberwell); it should be Camberwell's people's choice to work to improve their community in ways other than via a neighbourhood plan if we wish to, rather than becoming a peripheral part-area in another community's plan.</p>	
HHNP084	Individual	<p>I do not believe the boundary reflects the area of Herne Hill. Brockwell Park is an integral part of Herne Hill and should be included. However Ruskin Park does not, in my opinion, form part of Herne Hill - it is much more part of Denmark Hill or Camberwell. I believe the North East boundary of Herne Hill should run along Herne Hill Road. Also while I agree with the inclusion of Trinity Rise (which does not form part of the SE24 postcode) in Herne Hill, I believe the south west boundary should be extended towards the Tulse Hill roundabout up to and including Romola Road - as the SE24 post code covers. I currently live on Rollscourt Avenue, but am in the process of moving to Romola Road. One of the key reasons for choosing to move to Romola Road was that we felt it still formed part of Herne Hill, and we do not want to move away from Herne Hill.</p>	<p>In relation to Ruskin Park, Council information (summarised in the assessment in Table 1, Appendix 2) supports the argument made by the objectors that Ruskin Park serves a wider catchment than Herne Hill and is also associated with Denmark Hill and Camberwell. HHF's own evidence of those they asked shows mixed views among those living in the area surrounding Ruskin Park, with a number identifying with somewhere other than Herne Hill. It is therefore recommended that Ruskin Park should not be included within the Herne Hill neighbourhood area.</p> <p>Romola Road designated as part of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area in 2016. It is understood that agreement about this area was reached between the Tulse Hill Forum and HHF prior to the Tulse Hill application being submitted and considered. No objections were received to the inclusion of this area when the proposed Tulse Hill neighbourhood area was consulted on in 2015.</p> <p>In the Planning Practice Guidance, it is stated that local planning authority can amend the boundary of a neighbourhood area after it has been designated</p>

Reference	Respondent	Representation	Officer Response
			<p>only if the local planning authority is responding to a new application for a neighbourhood area to be designated. Officers do not consider that there would be any basis for seeking to modify the designation made in relation to the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area because of the HHF application. The Tulse Hill area was designated following a process of consultation both by the relevant forum itself and by the Council. The area that was designated was considered to be coherent in neighbourhood planning terms and to be the area appropriate for designation. The designation of the Tulse Hill neighbourhood area has not been re-visited in conjunction with the HHF application. The forum in that area would not have consulted people within its area about any change to the boundaries of its area. Officers do not consider that there would be any justification for seeking to modify the boundary of the Tulse Hill area on account of an application relating to the designation of the proposed HHF area. It is clear from the legislation that it is not possible for an area to be within more than one designated neighbourhood area. The Tulse Hill Forum have not objected to this application. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in this location.</p>
HHNP085	Individual	Brockwell Park is considered the heart of Herne Hill and it is not clear why it is not included in the area Boundary.	Brockwell Park has a wider catchment than Herne Hill and has not been included in other designated neighbourhood planning areas for the same reason. Therefore, no change to the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area is recommended in relation to Brockwell Park.