Dear Sir

Re:- Application for review of Licence, Scandals, 82-84 Norwood High Street

After reviewing the initial representations made by the MPS in support of the above application, and taking into account the specific issues that the application for review is focussed on, the MPS formally withdraws its representations in relation to Public Safety and Preventing Crime and Disorder.

The MPS maintains its objection in relation to Preventing Public Nuisance.

In support of the above objection, the MPS submits the following evidence. The MPS does not propose to rely upon any other incident previously referred to, and withdraws reference to any other incident from its representations.

On 1st January 2016 at 01:13h a member of the public called Police reporting around 20 persons fighting in Windsor Grove, SE27 outside Scandals. On arrival of Police the fight had concluded and the participants had dispersed. I attach CAD 914 of 1st January 2016.

On 23rd January 2016 at 00:30h Police Licensing Officers attended Scandals to conduct a Licensing Visit. There were no issues identified. I attach Form 695 dated 23rd January 2016. Please note that this form has been dated 23rd January 2015 by the officer completing it. I have spoken with the officer who confirms the visit was in 2016.

On 30th January 2016 at 01:15h local Police Officers attended Scandals to conduct a Licensing Visit. They reported that all was in order at the premises. I attach CAD 592 of 30th January 2016.

On 20th February 2016 at 01:55h Lambeth CCTV operators contacted Police reporting that there was a scuffle outside Scandals, and that someone appeared to be picking up a barrier at the location. On arrival of Police all was calm, with lots of people leaving the location. I attach CAD 823 of 20th February 2016.
On 2nd May 2016 at 03:00h Police were called to Scandals by the London Ambulance Service who were dealing with the victim of an assault. That victim stated that there had been a disturbance in the premises and she had been struck on the head by an unknown object. The victim did not wish to support further Police action. Police on scene reported that ‘the venue was closing and there were lots of drunk people in the street.’ I attach CAD 1158 of 2nd May 2016 and Crime report 1213473/16.

On 22nd May 2016 at 04:10h a member of the public called police to report that cars outside Scandals were beeping horns causing a noise nuisance. They also suspected that persons were driving whilst drunk, and that people had been fighting. Police attended some 45 minutes later and the premises were closed. I attach CAD 1890 of 22nd May 2016.

On 29th May 2016 at 04:30 a member of the public called Police to report that since 03:00 there had been arguing, shouting and beeping taking place outside Scandals. Police did not attend. The caller was not the same person as on 22nd May 2016. I attach CAD 1891 of 29th May 2016.

On 11th September 2016 a member of the public called Police to report that the previous night there had been an event at Scandals until the early hours. They reported that for the last 2 hours there had been no security at the premises and that drunk people had been driving home. Police did not attend. I attach CAD 2802 of 11th September 2016.

On 2nd October 2016 at 03:15h a member of the public called Police to report that there were ‘people coming from outside Scandals all over the place making a noise and smoking.’ I attach CAD 1449 of 2nd October 2016.

The Metropolitan Police Service has identified 41 incidents after 8pm and before 6pm in an approximate 2 month period. Temporal analysis estimates that the vast majority of this occurs on Friday, Saturday or Sunday. See below graphs, the first graph shows the hourly analysis, the second shows the daily analysis of the same 41 incidents:
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During the hearing into one of the Temporary Event Notices that was objected to, the noise and drunk driving issues were raised with the license holder.

These incidents clearly show that the venue is consistent in undermining the licensing objectives. Despite being made aware of the reports we are receiving there does not appear to have been any change in the operating procedures at the venue. It is the position of the Metropolitan Police Service that this premises’ licence is reviewed.

Yours sincerely,

David Smith