

**Annex B**

**From:** [Cllr Linda Bray](#)  
**To:** [Licensing](#)  
**Cc:** [Pam Riley](#); [Nazyer Choudhury](#)  
**Subject:** 21/00216/PRMNEW - Drop Clapham Limited,10A The Polygon, London SW4 0JG  
**Date:** 27 August 2021 19:47:21

---

Dear Pam,

I wish to make a representation against this application under the licensing objectives concerning Prevention of Public Nuisance, Public Safety and Prevention of Crime and Disorder. I have been a councillor for Clapham Town ward since 2014 and am making this objection as a locally elected representative.

The venue is situated in the middle of a very narrow road called The Pavement. This is a densely populated area with homes above businesses (including the subject premises) on both sides of The Pavement and its continuation, Old Town. I am aware that residents in the vicinity are already subjected to a great deal of noise nuisance and antisocial behaviour such as shouting, rowdiness, littering, street urination and vomiting, especially at the weekend. A further licenced premises will greatly exacerbate the existing problems.

I am concerned about the nature of the premises. According to the application, the applicant proposes to trade as a "Wine merchant with on & off sales of alcohol until 9.30pm. Licensable activities will be provided on the ground floor and in a small external area shown on the plan". From this one assumes that the premises will be trading as a wine bar (with off sales as well). There are no conditions in the application for the provision of food or table seating so it appears that the venue will be a vertical drinking bar. There are no provisions for the responsible dispersal of patrons. A bar of this type in this location will, as mentioned above, lead to additional noise nuisance to residents and ASB including vomiting and public urination.

A further concern is that the applicants have applied for off sales. This would include cocktails, wine, beer etc. sold in take-away vessels. The area of Clapham Common which is very popular with the public because it is closest to the tube and High Street (it is known locally as the "Green Beach") is just across the road from the premises. Also, on the other side of The Polygon is the Old Town Square, another area where a lot of street drinking took place during the summer causing considerable public nuisance. There is a likelihood that the off-sale of wine by the venue will be made to individuals who will take it to the Common or Old Town Square for consumption with commensurate alcohol-related ASB, litter and public nuisance.

The application includes references to off sales and delivery of alcoholic drinks. I question where delivery services will park when picking up products to be delivered. The road (The Pavement) is very narrow and lined with parked cars so pick-ups will be difficult. The noise of scooters picking up products and drivers waiting around in the area will create additional noise nuisance for residents. The application also includes reference to an outside seating area. It is not entirely clear where this is intended to be, but it will be either on a very narrow pavement or on the public highway, a space which is shared with vehicles including cars and vans.

The Pavement, Old Town and Clapham High Street suffer from high intoxication

levels. This has led to noise nuisance to residents and alcohol-related crime ranging from sexual assaults, violence against the person, thefts, and general anti-social behaviour. I am aware from attending regularly Neighbourhood Police Forums that this is of great concern to the Police and local residents. Another licensed premises in this area is liable to add to those problems and put additional strain on the police and Clapham BID wardens.

**N.B.** The venue is within the Clapham High Street cumulative impact zone as identified within the current Council's statement of licensing policy. I don't think that the applicants have demonstrated an understanding of how the Cumulative Impact Zone policy impacts on their application and have not included in their application sufficient proposals which might go some way to indicate how they will manage any potential risk to the licensing objectives.

I hope that the licensing sub-committee will refuse the application in its present form in pursuance of the licensing objectives.

Best regards,  
Linda Bray  
Labour, Clapham Town ward



AS - Lambeth Licensing & Night  
Time Economy Team  
Civic Centre, 3rd Floor,  
6 Brixton Hill,  
SW2 1EG

27<sup>th</sup> August 2021

Contact: PC Mike Constable

Miss Bina Patel  
Licensing Manager  
Lambeth Borough Council

**RE: New Grant –Drop, 10a Polygon, Clapham, SW4 0JG**

Dear Miss Bina Patel.

I write on behalf of the Commissioner of police for the Metropolis to make a representation on the grounds of the following Licensing Objectives;

- The prevention of crime & disorder.
- The prevention of public nuisance.

The applicant has requested the following:

**Activities/times requested:**

**Sale of alcohol**

Monday - Sunday      09:00 - 21:30

From the end of permitted hours on New Years' Eve to the start of permitted hours on New Years' Day

On & Off.

**Location**

This application is located within Clapham (this is defined as a district centre), and is referenced in London Borough of Lambeth's Statement of Licensing Policy 2019 – 2024 appendix 7 refers.

This premises is located within a Cumulative Impact Zone (CIZ) as referenced in the policy, section 13 refers. There are also residential properties directly opposite.

## **Statement of Licensing Policy & Application.**

I would like to notify the committee the expectation for applicants as per the policy:

1.9 Each new licence application or an application for a variation of an existing licence will be considered on its own merits. This means that decisions will take into account the specific details in each case, including the proposed venue and the way it will operate, or proposed changes to an existing venue and/or its operation, as well as the context in which it operates.

5.2. Therefore, how applicants communicate the promotion of the licensing objectives in their operating schedule and application is essential for the full consideration of the application by the Authority.

5.3. Providing a full and detailed operating schedule as part of the application will make it clearer as to how the applicant will promote the licensing objectives. Applicants are expected to:

- demonstrate knowledge of the local area when describing the steps they propose to take in order to promote the licensing objectives;
- undertake enquiries about the area in which the premises are situated to inform the content of the application;
- obtain sufficient information to enable them to demonstrate, when setting out the steps they propose to take to promote the licensing objectives, that they understand:
  - a) the layout of the local area and physical environment including crime and disorder hotspots, proximity to residential premises and proximity to areas where children may congregate;
  - b) any risks posed to the local area by the applicants' proposed licensable activities;
  - c) any local initiatives (for example, local crime reduction initiatives or voluntary schemes including local taxi-marshalling schemes, street pastors and other schemes) they propose to put in place which might help to mitigate any potential risks.

5.5. Demonstrated knowledge about the locality will assist applicants when determining the steps that are appropriate for the promotion of the licensing objectives. For example, premises within close proximity to residential premises should consider how this will impact upon their smoking, noise management and dispersal policies, to ensure the promotion of the public nuisance objective. Applicants must consider all factors which may be relevant to the promotion of the licensing objectives, and where there are no known concerns, to acknowledge this in their application.

5.7. It is also expected that applicants will canvass the views of their neighbours and local residents as this can clear up any ambiguities and develop a relationship based on mutual cooperation before an application is made. This may be facilitated by making direct contact with neighbours and any local group that represents residents or businesses. This will also assist the applicant by giving an understanding at the outset of what the community believe is acceptable in their area.

6.1. Two general principles have been identified which are noted throughout the Statement of Licensing Policy and are outlined here clearly as general principles that will be taken into consideration for all licensing applications.

**All licensing applications are expected to show:**

**a). That applicants have a clear understanding of the locality in which they are operating or intending to operate and that this has been taken into account in the application, any voluntary conditions proposed and for these to be set out clearly within the operating schedule.**

Nothing has been presented to show that this will not have a negative impact to an already over saturated area.

It is acknowledged that the conditions supplied are well thought out. However upon speaking to residents there is the concern that another premises selling alcohol will increase ASB, and public nuisance thorough pre-loading and drinking in street and or at Clapham Common.

The outside area is directly in the residential road, and is not suitable.

### **Cumulative Impact Zone**

I would like to remind the committee with regards to this:

The Queen on the application of Westminster City Council v Middlesex Crown Court [2002] EWHC 1104 (Admin) (“Chorion”)

High Court approval of cumulative impact policies came in the Chorion litigation the language of the Crown Court judge (as summarised by the High Court) is instructive:

*“The first question was whether, notwithstanding the applicant was a fit and proper person and the premises would be well managed, a ... licence could be refused on the sole ground that the area was already saturated with licensed premises... and that the cumulative effect of the existing premises was impacting adversely on the area to an unacceptable degree. The answer to this [is] ‘yes’.”*

### **Night Time Economy**

The Metropolitan Police had Operation Equinox running, which is a Police led operation in which officers conduct high visibility patrols across London. (This will restart imminently) Police officers are engaging with night-time economy users and premises management in a drive to reduce the number of people being put at risk harm. This is to reduce the violence with injuries directly related to alcohol. An increase in hours of alcohol will potentially strain Police resources, and most likely Ambulance Services as well.

We are all aware of the increase in violence within London, and the Metropolitan Police Service have recently announced the Violence Suppression Unit to combat this.

These figures are taken from Institute of Alcohol Studies. Whilst it is acknowledged that the study is not specific to Clapham High Street, it does illustrate the issues we have and are still worth consideration:

- In 2013/14, just over half of all violent crime victims believed their attacker to be under the influence of alcohol (= approx. 704,000 incidents). 6
- 76% of police have received an injury through dealing with drunken members of the public, with 65% injured on multiple occasions. 10
- 22% of police report having been injured five or more times by drunken people whilst on duty; 41% report having being sexually harassed or assaulted by drunken people whilst on duty. 10
- The Government estimates that alcohol-related crime and social disorder costs England £11bn per year (at 2010/11 prices). 11
- The specific cost of binge drinking on police arrests has been estimated at £0.94 billion; binge drinking has increased the average number of alcohol-related arrests by 45% (= 786 additional arrests per day nationally). 12
- The number of officers on duty must be increased by around 30% at weekends as a direct result of binge drinking, carrying an estimated cost of £31 million. 12
- Police and the justice system spend £1.7bn every year responding to alcohol-related crime. 13
- Alcohol licensing has cost local authorities approx. £183 million in the 10 years since the Act was introduced (= £1.5 million of taxpayers' money per month). 14

The full report can be read here:

[http://www.ias.org.uk/uploads/Alcohols\\_impact\\_on\\_emergency\\_services\\_full\\_report.pdf](http://www.ias.org.uk/uploads/Alcohols_impact_on_emergency_services_full_report.pdf)

### **Crime Overview**

I would also like to bring your attention to the attached supporting document - Clapham CIZ Crime Analysis Pattern. Behind these statistics are victims. It is very easy to look at reports and just see numbers, this is not the case.

Alcohol undoubtedly affects people's reactions and inhibitions, this can and does lead to situations in which Police assistance is required.

Clapham High Street is within this cumulative impact zone and (at time of writing) there are a total of 46 licensed premises in the High Street alone.

Clapham has a renowned night time economy as a result it suffers from high intoxication levels. It was a very popular destination point, and it is strongly believed this will (in time) go back to how it was.

Due to the intoxication levels this has led to alcohol related crime taking place. Ranging from sexual assaults, violence against the person, thefts, robberies, etc

In which this Clapham CIZ Crime Analysis Pattern report clearly highlights our concerns.

It shows that during 2020 (in which a lot of premises had restrictions and closure), that was only a slight decrease. Violence against the person offences 2019 were 279, compared to 2020 which was 209. For drug related offences there was an increase, 51 in 2020 compared to 31 in 2019.

A nasty assault that took place during the restrictions (Lambeth was in Tier 2 at the time) on 20<sup>th</sup> October 2020 at 10:15pm on Clapham High Street:

<https://www.itv.com/news/london/2021-02-04/police-appeal-after-man-punched-to-the-ground-in-clapham>

That assault took place when premises had a curfew and alcohol was ancillary to food. Imagine what else would have happened if it had been later.

Here are further links on the violent offences that have taken place on the High Street in the last few years:

<https://www.getsurrey.co.uk/news/uk-world-news/clapham-north-stabbing-police-a3-16434548>

<https://twitter.com/londonstreets3/status/1155533140154376192?lang=en>

<https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/8097520/man-attacks-woman-new-years-eve-clapham/>

This is just a snapshot of what has taken place, and allowing more premises to sell alcohol will undoubtedly make matters worse in the vicinity.

Many a time drunken patrons have urinated on local residents door steps, vomited on public highways and shout and screamed down the High Street. Local residents deserve to be able to have some peace and quiet in their own homes.

Furthermore residents should feel safe in their community, having to walk past those offenders (as per the video clips in the links) would be very intimidating to say the least.

The premises is not selling alcohol, however those already under the influence of alcohol (or even illegal substances) will still be encouraged to stay in the area.

This may lead to noise nuisance and anti-social behaviour from boisterous customers or they may be targeted themselves. I have seen this and have to intervene.

This is especially the case with regards to vulnerability in the area, unfortunately this is far too common and with the previous assistance from Clapham Methodist Church (The Hub) providing a Safe Haven for those in need unknown if will be able to continue this be an additional strain on emergency services.

It must be pointed out that the main concerns (as highlighted by the crime statistics), are with regards to later hours of the night. The bulk of crime takes place on Clapham High Street, that's not to say there have not been any serious crime within The Old Town.

A recurring issue in the area is with regards to pre-loading, potentially this could encourage more alcohol consumption leading to criminality and / or vulnerability.

### **Conclusion**

- Clapham High Street is saturated. The cumulative impact of licensed premises in the area is having a negative effect (as the report shows). One which the residents should not have to put up with, nor should emergency services & stakeholders.
- Will encourage people to stay in the area, when we would want them not to loiter. Most importantly, patrons may become a victim of crime as a result. Especially the New Years Evening.
- Nothing in place with regards to noise nuisance management (residents are nearby) and dispersal policy.
- Application submitted does not address how they will not have a negative impact to Clapham High Street.
- A more modest terminal hour may help address residents' concerns, potentially 6pm is more suitable.
- Consideration has been taken with regards to the impact Covid 19 has impacted the premises as a business, however the increase of those already under the influence loitering in the area will not help matters for the area and will put more strain not only on the Police but other emergency services.

It is recommended that this is not granted in its current format. It is strongly suggested that the reassurance of nearby residents is essential and would kindly ask that this is taken into consideration.

This is deemed appropriate to the premises and in the spirit of the Licensing Act 2003.

Yours sincerely,

**Mike Constable | PC 1596AS - Licensing & Night Time Economy Team |**

Address Civic Centre, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 6 Brixton Hill, SW2 1EG

6. Office for National Statistics (2015), ONS Nature of Crime Tables, 2013-14 – Violence, Table 3.11.

10. IAS Police Service Survey results (4,022 participants) available at <http://www.ias.org.uk/What-we-do/IAS-reports.aspx>

11. House of Commons Health Committee, *Government's Alcohol Strategy* (HC 132, 2012-13), Written evidence from the Department of Health (GAS 01).

12. James, J. & Francesconi, M. (2015), *The cost of binge drinking in the UK*. Bath: Institute for Policy Research, University of Bath.

13. Leontaridi, R. (2003) Alcohol misuse: how much does it cost?. London: United Kingdom Cabinet Office, p. 59

14. Local Government Association (2015), LGA responds to Government decision to reject locally-set licensing fees [Press release]. [Available: [http://www.local.gov.uk/web/guest/media-releases/-/journal\\_content/56/10180/7048782/NEWS#sthash.BVcZZjIX.dpuf](http://www.local.gov.uk/web/guest/media-releases/-/journal_content/56/10180/7048782/NEWS#sthash.BVcZZjIX.dpuf)] [Accessed 13 October 2015].

**From:**



[Mike](#)

**Subject:**

Re: 21/00216/PRMNEW for Drop Clapham Ltd at 10a, The Polygon, London, SW4 0JG

**Date:**

25 August 2021 08:40:19

**Attachments:**

[image.png](#)

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mrs Riley,

I hope you are well.

Along with a couple of my neighbours we have not been able to register comments regarding the above application. I have copied below the error message I have received after pressing 'submit'. I have tried using different browsers on both a Mac and PC. I also had to reset my password to access the site.

I noticed that there are no public comments registered, when I know that some of my neighbours have already registered objections. I realise you will not be able to tell me who has objected, but I wondered if you could highlight how many objections are registered? There is concern regarding this application within Clapham Old Town and it looks like some of these concerns are not registered.

It would be great if you could let me know the above and also when the site is back up and running. In the meantime, I have also copied below my objection.

Thanks very much.

Steve

Licensing – Application Comments

[Help with this page](#)

**Error while submitting your comments**

- Your comments could not be submitted due to an error in the system.

21/00216/PRMNEW | Premises Licence (new application) | Pending Decision | 10A The Polygon London LONDON SW4 0JG

\*\*\* OBJECTION \*\*\*

I believe this application should be rejected on the following grounds.

The prevention of public nuisance

I live next door to this property and if this application is granted, it will have a significant impact on my well-being. Clapham is at a saturation point in respect of hospitality venues and licensed premises so there should be no more applications granted.

- If this application is granted, it would go against all the principles of the Cumulative Impact Zone (CIZ) and will set a precedent for other vacant premises.
- According to the guidance on the Lambeth Council website, applications in the CIZ should "create a rebuttable presumption of refusal to applications for new premises".
- The introduction of the CIZ was supported by 63 out of the 70 local groups and councillors involved. Everyone agreed that the area had reached saturation point with anti-social behaviour. commonplace which damages Clapham and the local economy.
- An almost identical recent application by a similar sized quasi cafe / bar on the

same road (Over Under) was rejected unanimously because it was in the CIZ.

- This application should also be thrown out on the same basis.
- The expansion of the night-time economy means that it is impossible to achieve an unbroken night's sleep, especially at the weekend.
- I often open my front door to encounter vomit on the street and I have witnessed drug use directly outside of my living room window (I can supply photographs if required).
- Even the church in Clapham Old Town has had to lock its gates to stop drunk people urinating against the property.
- Approval will lead to additional antisocial behaviour in a Conservation Area which has already witnessed disturbance from the night-time economy.

#### Public safety

- This poorly prepared application proposes tables and chairs to the south side of the premises. There is no pavement and the plan would involve putting tables and chairs directly on the road. The area is a shared space without the required 3m clearance.

#### The prevention of crime and disorder

- From Companies House, one of the business areas for 'Drop Clapham' is 56302 - Public Houses and Bars
- I believe this application is for a wine bar masquerading as an off-licence. Other off-licences in Clapham, such as Oddbins (167 Clapham High Street) ceased trading demonstrating that stand alone off-licenses are unsustainable.
- With no food sales this will become another 'vertical drinking' venue leading to more of the crime and disorder problems we are all too used to. It will also set a precedence for other empty units owned by the same landlord to create a new strip of bars in Clapham Old Town.
- Drunken people will spill out onto what is already a narrow pavement to the east of the property.
- Off-sales will be consumed in the Old Town Square, amplifying an existing problem by creating more crime and disorder for local residents.

The Police (MPS Licensing) in a letter to Ms Bina Patel dated 16th December 2020 in respect of 10 Clapham High Street, wrote; "Clapham has a renowned night time economy as a result it suffers from high intoxication levels. This has led to alcohol related crime taking place. Ranging from sexual assaults, violence against the person, thefts, robberies etc." "The cumulative impact of licensed premises in this area is having a negative effect. One of which residents should not have to put up with, nor should emergency services and stakeholders."

On Wed, Aug 18, 2021 at 7:58 AM Steve Taylor <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Dear Mrs Riley,

I hope you are well and I also hope you don't mind me getting in touch regarding the application number 21/00216/PRMNEW for Drop Clapham Ltd at 10a, The Polygon, London, SW4 0JG. <https://planning.lambeth.gov.uk/online-applications/licencingApplicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=QX241MBOLY000>

I live adjacent to this premises and there is considerable concern in the local area regarding the application. One of the key concerns is the property sits within the CIZ and I just wondered if the applicant has supplied any other support material to demonstrate that there would be no negative cumulative impact on the licensing objectives?

As the CIZ creates a 'rebuttable presumption' to refuse the application, I just wondered if your department's view would be to reject the application?

An additional observation is that the application appears not to have been thought out

properly. For example the applicant is proposing a Licensed area outside the premises on the frontage to The Polygon. There is no pavement at this point as it is a “shared surface” and used by vehicle traffic which The Council have stipulated requires a clear 3m.

I will submit my objection via the website before the closing date. I'm sure a number of other local residents will do the same. I hope you don't mind me asking a couple of questions in the meantime.

Thanks very much.

Steve

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Licensing](#)  
**Subject:** 21/00216/PRMNEW | OBJECTION - rep 2 - Simon Meehan  
**Date:** 21 August 2021 18:15:14

---

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

I am writing in relation to **21/00216/PRMNEW | Premises Licence (new application) | Pending Decision | 10A The Polygon London LONDON SW4 0JG**

I am unable to use the comment function on the Lambeth licensing website. I am a local resident and I object to this application.

I believe this application should be rejected on the following grounds.

### **The prevention of public nuisance**

- Clapham is at a saturation point in respect of hospitality venues and licenced premises so there should be no more applications granted.
- If this application is granted, it would go against all the principles of the Cumulative Impact Zone (CIZ) and will set a precedent for other vacant premises.
- According to the guidance on the Lambeth Council website, applications in the CIZ should "create a rebuttable presumption of refusal to applications for new premises".
- The introduction of the CIZ was supported by 63 out of the 70 local groups and councillors involved. Everyone agreed that the area had reached saturation point with anti-social behaviour. commonplace which damages Clapham and the local economy.
- An almost identical recent application by a similar sized quasi cafe / bar on the same road (Over Under) was rejected unanimously because it was in the CIZ.
- This application should also be thrown out on the same basis.
- I live adjacent to this property and if this application is granted, it will have a significant impact on my well-being.
- The expansion of the night-time economy means that it is

impossible to achieve an unbroken night's sleep, especially at the weekend.

- I often open my front door to encounter vomit on the street and I have witnessed drug use directly outside of my living room window (I can supply photographs if required).
- Even the church in Clapham Old Town has had to lock its gates to stop drunk people urinating against the property.
- Approval will lead to additional antisocial behaviour in a Conservation Area which has already witnessed disturbance from the night-time economy.

### **Public safety**

- This poorly prepared application proposes tables and chairs to the south side of the premises. There is no pavement and the plan would involve putting tables and chairs directly on the road. The area is a shared space without the required 3m clearance.

### **The prevention of crime and disorder**

- From Companies House, one of the business areas for 'Drop Clapham' is 56302 - Public Houses and Bars - should there be something else here?
- I believe this application is for a wine bar masquerading as an off-licence. Other off-licences in Clapham, such as Oddbins (167 Clapham High Street) ceased trading demonstrating that stand alone off-licenses are unsustainable.
- With no food sales this will become another 'vertical drinking' venue leading to more of the crime and disorder problems we are all too used to.
- Drunken people will spill out onto what is already a narrow pavement to the east of the property.
- Off-sales will be consumed in the Old Town Square, amplifying an existing problem by creating more crime and disorder for local residents.

Your ref no:

HQBWRRRL

## Your details

### First name

William

### Last name

Hill

### Email address

[REDACTED]

Daytime telephone number

(optional)

### Your address

[REDACTED]

Address line 2

(optional)

### Town/City

London

County

(optional)

### Postcode

[REDACTED]

Your ref no:

HQBWRRL

## Your comments

### Which application do you want to comment on?

10A The Polygon, SW4

Tell us how you feel the application will or will not meet the Lambeth Council licensing objectives.

Our licensing objectives are:

- Preventing crime and disorder
- Public safety
- Preventing public nuisance
- Protecting children from harm

#### Preventing crime and disorder

(optional)

I live in The Polygon and object to this application and request that it is rejected.

The premises are in the Cumulative Impact Zone and that creates a 'rebuttable presumption' to refuse the application. I believe that the problems caused within the CIZ have got worse and yet another 'drinking venue' would be just like pouring petrol onto a blazing fire.

The noise, disturbance, anti social behaviour has got worse and has a direct and detrimental effect upon local residents lives.

The subject premises are situated in a residential area with residential accommodation above and adjacent or close on three other sides.

The public drinking in the piazza has got out of hand with residents being threatened, the road blocked, the police called and warnings given to premises.

The noise late at night and in the early hours is intolerable, it is unacceptable that it is common to find that drinkers have urinated against residents cars and houses, vomited in the street and leave glass bottles and glasses (some smashed) in the street and on windowsills.

The recent Licence application by Over & Under was rightly rejected. This application is very similar and should also be rejected. If this application is not rejected a number of other vacant shops in the CIZ will make similar applications - and the CIZ will be unenforceable and the late night problems worse still. Next to the subject premises there are a number of vacant shops. If this shop was granted a Licence, I have no doubt that the other vacant shops would follow and there would be 35m frontage of additional drinking venues.

This application includes an area outside the premises in The Polygon. The area outside the shop is a shared surface road with vehicles passing over it. The area requested is clearly wrong as it would not leave the required 3m clear designated width for vehicles.

I would suggest that any of the reasons I have given should be enough for the application to be rejected. Taking all the reasons into account should make this a clear cut rejection of the application.

#### Public safety

(optional)

Similar to above

#### Preventing public nuisance

(optional)

Similar to above

#### Protecting children from harm

(optional)

Similar to above

#### Any other comments

(optional)

## Supporting evidence

You can upload files to support your comments, for example, photos.

Your ref no:

SXLCDMJZ

## Your details

**First name**

Barry

**Last name**

Keegan

**Email address**

[REDACTED]

Daytime telephone number

(optional)

**Your address**

[REDACTED]

Address line 2

(optional)

[REDACTED]

**Town/City**

[REDACTED]

County

(optional)

**Postcode**

[REDACTED]

Your ref no:

SXLCDMJZ

## Your comments

### Which application do you want to comment on?

Application Ref: 21/00216/PRMNEW

10A The Polygon, London, SW4 0JG

Tell us how you feel the application will or will not meet the Lambeth Council licensing objectives.

Our licensing objectives are:

- Preventing crime and disorder
- Public safety
- Preventing public nuisance
- Protecting children from harm

#### Preventing crime and disorder

(optional)

NB. Premises is within the Cumulative Impact Zone, set up to control new licences. Problems associated with drinking have increased, including incidents of threatening behaviour towards residents. After-hours drinking frequently occurs on The Polygon's piazza, pavements and grassed areas, giving rise to anti-social behaviours from loud talking (indeed shouting) immediately outside front doors and windows, to urinating and vomiting in the street and against residents' parked vehicles and houses. Cans, bottles and glasses are left on windowsills or in doorways, some still containing liquid (not always of an alcoholic nature) whilst others have been smashed.

#### Public safety

(optional)

The Application for 10A requests provision for tables/seating on the area immediately outside the premises. This is literally not viable on safety grounds, in view of the fact that it is a shared pedestrian/vehicular area with a clearly designated 3 metre width for vehicles. It is important to recognise that pedestrian footfall across the area is high, consisting predominantly of families with attendant buggies, scooters, skateboards and bicycles throughout the day.

#### Preventing public nuisance

(optional)

The reasons detailed in the above two sections, surely highlight ways in which granting this application would add to noise, drinking and public nuisance levels.

#### Protecting children from harm

(optional)

The safety of children would be particularly called into question with the issues previously noted concerned the shared vehicular/pedestrian access.

#### Any other comments

(optional)

### Supporting evidence

You can upload files to support your comments, for example, photos.

Upload File(s)

5

(optional)

1

test