

## Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Modifications to the wording of the DRLLP PSV 2020 are shown in **boldened text**. Deleted text is shown using ~~strikethrough~~ and additional text is underlined. *Text in italics describes a change to be made, rather than presenting an extract from the Local Plan text itself.*

## Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

### Part 1 – Main Modifications to the Draft Revised Lambeth Local Plan Proposed Submission Version January 2020 (DRLLP PSV)

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
PC136	n/a	Front cover	<i>Add plan period: <b>2020-2035.</b></i>	MM1
PC010	47	3.3	<i>Add as last sentence to para 3.3:</i>  <b><u>The indicative target for years 11-15 of the plan period is 5,066 net additional dwellings (or 1,013 dpa), based on the 2017 SHLAA findings and by rolling forward the borough’s small housing sites figure in accordance with paragraph 4.1.12 of the London Plan.</u></b>	MM2
PC137	48	3.4	<i>Add third bullet point as follows:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b><u>an indicative estimate of 3,368 net additional serviced visitor accommodation rooms between 2015 and 2041, based on GLA Working Paper 88 (Projections of demand and supply for visitor accommodation in London to 2050, April 2017 – table 20).</u></b></li> </ul>	MM3
PC138	51	3.9	<i>Insert new second bullet point as follows:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b><u>promoting and enhancing the international, national and London-wide roles of the Central Activities Zone (CAZ) in South Bank, Waterloo and Vauxhall, to support a rich mix of CAZ strategic functions; and enhancing the role of the South Bank as an international centre for culture and the arts within the South Bank and Bankside Strategic Cultural Area</u></b></li> </ul>	MM4
PC139	51	3.9	<i>Amend penultimate bullet point as follows:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b><u>maintaining retaining industrial floorspace capacity to support the London Plan Central Services Area and to provide capacity for waste management in the borough</u></b> by updating the existing policy approach to Key Industrial and Business Areas (KIBAs) <u>to align with the London Plan</u>, but with some revisions to <u>designations and boundaries</u></li> </ul>	MM5

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
PC140	53	Spatial Vision	<p><i>Amend first paragraph as follows:</i></p> <p>By <del>2030</del> <u>2035</u> Lambeth will be a key part of, and contributor to, central London’s thriving economy and the benefits of this will be shared throughout the borough. <b><u>This will include a rich mix of strategic functions in the Central Activities Zone locations of South Bank, Waterloo and Vauxhall and an enhanced role for the South Bank as an international centre for business and leisure and a world-class destination for the arts, culture and creativity.</u></b> <del>It</del> <u>Lambeth</u> will be home to centres of innovation, a skilled workforce and a growing number of businesses and jobs providing opportunities for local people. The achievements of Lambeth’s young people will be widely celebrated and the levels of worklessness in the borough will have significantly declined. Lambeth will be at the forefront of tackling and adapting to climate change <u>to contribute to achieving zero carbon London-wide.</u></p>	MM6
PC141	55	Strategic Objectives	<p><i>Amend Strategic Objective 2 as follows:</i></p> <p>2. Support the growth of key economic sectors and innovation through the development of new <u>offices and commercial premises including new affordable workspace shops, offices and visitor accommodation</u>, by maintaining a varied supply of business premises, <b><u>by maintaining industrial floor-space capacity to support the Central Services Area</u></b>, and through plans for town centre regeneration <u>and the development of a new MedTech, healthcare and life sciences cluster at Waterloo.</u></p>	MM7
PC258	57	Strategic Objectives	<p><i>Amend Strategic Objectives 18 and 19 as follows:</i></p> <p>18. Support <b><u>and develop the strategic functions of the Central Activities Zone in South Bank, Waterloo and Vauxhall and</u></b> the regeneration and renewal of the London Plan Opportunity Areas at Waterloo and <u>Nine Elms Vauxhall</u> <del>Vauxhall/Nine Elms/Battersea</del> to reflect their role in central London, working in</p>	MM8

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p>close partnership with the neighbouring boroughs of Southwark and Wandsworth and all key stakeholders.</p> <p>19. <del>Maintain</del> <u>Support the delivery of Lambeth’s Creative and Digital Industries Strategy and maintain and develop Lambeth’s strength in arts and culture.</u> <del>Ensure that and</del> the role of the South Bank as one of London’s leading international cultural and tourist destinations <del>reflecting reflects</del> its status as part of the South Bank / <u>and</u> Bankside Strategic Cultural Area.</p>	
PC013	68	4.17	<p><i>Amend para as follows:</i></p> <p>The adoption of a Lambeth Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) in 2014 required publication of a CIL Regulation 123 list which defines infrastructure projects or types of infrastructure project to which CIL funding will be applied and in respect of which, by default, section 106 planning obligations would not normally be sought. <del>From December 2020 annual Infrastructure Funding Statements will report on CIL receipts and spend.</del> In addition, the adoption of CIL limits the use of planning obligations as pooled funding to a maximum of five section 106 agreements, even when that infrastructure is not included in Regulation 123 list. However, the <u>Section 106 planning obligations assist in mitigating the impact of development to make it acceptable in planning terms. Planning obligations must meet the statutory tests in the CIL Regulations (necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms; directly related to the development; and fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development).</u> <del>The provision of affordable housing, local improvements and/or additional facilities and requirements (including employment initiatives and on-site provision of specific infrastructure) and securing appropriate scheme implementation and control of phasing where necessary will be delivered through section 106</del></p>	MM9

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<b><u>planning obligations. The obligations sought will depend on the nature of the development proposed. Further information about the requirements for the obligations listed in Local Plan Policy D4 is provided in relevant policies elsewhere in the plan. The policies in this plan and requirements for developer contributions have been tested for their cumulative impact on development viability and are therefore considered deliverable. In situations where it has been demonstrated that planning obligations cannot viably be supported by a specific development, the priorities set out in London Plan Policy DF1 D will apply.</u></b>	
PC014	69	4.18	<i>Amend para as follows:</i> <b><u>For some obligations, Charging charging</u></b> approaches for monetary contributions <del>in lieu of on-site provision</del> affordable housing provision on small sites, for off-site children’s play space and to offset the impact of visitor attractions are set out in Annex 10, <b><u>with further information in the relevant policies about how and when these charging approaches will be used.</u></b> The detailed requirements for other planning obligations will be set out as necessary in further planning guidance. <del>Planning obligations secured for improvements to open space and play space can be spent on all types of open space/play space, including those on housing estates. A monetary contribution to the monitoring of planning obligations will be sought and this will be calculated on a case-by-case basis according to the nature of the proposed development and the obligations secured. The overall level of monitoring fees will not exceed 5 per cent of the total value of the obligations secured in any given case.</del> <b><u>The council will report on developer contribution receipts and spend in an annual Infrastructure Funding Statement.</u></b>	MM10
MF1	75	H2 (a) (iv)	<i>Delete paragraph</i>	MM11
PC015	78	5.20	<i>Amend para 5.20 as follows:</i>	MM12

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p>To be eligible for the Fast Track Route as set out in the London Plan, applications proposing <b>10 or more</b> <del>than 25</del> units (gross) must meet all of the following criteria:</p> <p>i) <u>meet and exceed the relevant threshold level of affordable housing on site without public subsidy (subject to paragraph 5.28 below);</u></p>	
PC016	79	5.21	<p><i>Amend para 5.21 as follows:</i></p> <p><u>Affordable housing is required on site in schemes providing more than <b>25 10</b> units (gross) and this is an essential requirement to be eligible for the Fast Track Route.</u></p>	MM13
PC018	81	5.28	<p><i>Amend para as follows:</i></p> <p><b><u>The council acknowledges that schemes providing between 10 and 25 units (gross) may find it difficult to provide on-site affordable housing given the small numbers of units involved and difficulties in some cases in finding registered providers of affordable housing willing to manage them. In these cases, where a difficulty of this nature has been demonstrated to the satisfaction of the council (through evidence of contact made with registered providers), the council will consider proposals to</u></b> <del>–Where schemes proposing between 10 and 25 units (gross) provide the threshold level of affordable housing as an in lieu payment</del> <b><u>under the Fast Track route. (and are therefore eligible to follow the Fast Track route).</u></b> <del>the .</del> <b><u>The</u></b> value of the in lieu payment should be based on the relevant threshold as a percentage of on-site units. The payment in lieu should be calculated through two appraisals – one with the level of affordable housing required by policy and the other with 100 per cent market housing: the payment in lieu will equate to the difference between the two residual values.</p>	MM14

## Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<u>Applicants should use the methodology set out in Annex 10, making use of the online calculator provided on the council's website.</u>	
MF2	81	5.29	<i>Delete paragraph</i>	MM15 See MM11 above
PC142	95	H7(a)	<p><i>Amend clause (a) as follows:</i></p> <p>The council will seek to manage the development of sites for student housing to ensure the availability of land to meet priority housing and employment needs and the achievement of mixed and balanced communities. Proposals for student housing will be <b>supported acceptable only</b> where it can be demonstrated that the development:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. does not compromise capacity to meet the need for conventional dwellings, especially affordable family homes, nor displace other key uses such as employment development. Proposals should not result in the loss of employment land or floorspace, unless relevant policy tests (set out in section 6 of the <u>Local Plan</u>) are met, or the loss of existing self-contained dwellings;</li> <li>ii. forms part of a mixed-use development;</li> <li>iii. is supported by evidence of a linkage with one or more higher education <del>institution provider (HEI HEP)</del> <u>HEP</u> in Lambeth, or within a reasonable travelling distance of Lambeth, <u>as defined in the London Plan funded by the Higher Education Funding Council for England.</u> This evidence must include confirmation that the proposed rental levels for the student accommodation are supported by the linked <del>HEI HEP(s)</del> <u>HEP(s)</u> <u>and that the majority of the bedrooms in the development including all of the affordable student accommodation will be secured through a nominations agreement for occupation by students of one or</u></li> </ul>	MM16

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><u>more HEP, for the lifetime of the scheme, as required by London Plan policy H15;</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>iv. would not lead to an over-concentration of similar uses which may be detrimental to <del>residential amenity or</del> the balance and mix of uses in the area or place undue pressure on local infrastructure, <u>and includes a student management plan that, to the satisfaction of the Council, will appropriately mitigate potential harm to residential amenity;</u></li> <li>v. is located in an area with good public transport access, and easy access to local shops, work places, services and community facilities;</li> <li>vi. provides a range of accommodation types, including cluster flats with shared kitchen and bathroom facilities unless justification is provided as to why this would not be appropriate;</li> <li>vii. is well-designed, providing appropriate space standards and facilities and is sustainable by virtue of being adaptable to alternative residential use;</li> <li>viii. provides high-quality cycle parking facilities in accordance with <u>Local Plan</u> policies T3 and Q13. <u>Pool bikes are particularly appropriate for student housing.</u></li> </ul>	
PC143	96	5.64	<p><i>Amend para as follows:</i>  <del>In accordance with London Plan policy</del> <b><u>Purpose-built student accommodation makes an important contribution to the diversity of housing in Lambeth. To ensure delivery against the London Plan housing target,</u></b> the council will seek to ensure that addressing the demand for student housing does not compromise capacity to meet the need for conventional dwellings, especially affordable family homes, or undermine policy to secure mixed and balanced communities.</p>	MM17



Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
PC144 and MF3	98	5.69	<p><i>Amend para as follows:</i></p> <p>When considering the concentrations of students in a single area, the council will have regard to the character of the area, the existing mix of uses, and the particular impact on any permanent residential occupiers. <b><u>An appropriate student management plan will be required to mitigate potential harm to residential amenity and monitoring of this plan will be secured as a planning obligation.</u></b> Schemes will be considered on a site-by-site basis having regard to their scale and any existing concentrations (including any sites with extant planning permissions). <del>Given the existing concentration, quantum and pipeline of this type of accommodation in the Vauxhall Miles Street character area of Vauxhall (as shown in figure 5.01 and section 5.5 of the Vauxhall Area SPD), additional purpose-built student accommodation in the Vauxhall part of the NEV Opportunity Area is unlikely to be supported in this location to ensure a mixed and balanced community across the Vauxhall part of the NEV Opportunity Area. The London Plan encourages new student accommodation away from existing concentrations in central London.</del></p>	MM18
PC145	101	H8(d)	<p><i>Amend clause (d) as follows:</i></p> <p><b><u>The council will support new specialist older persons' housing as defined in See also London Plan policy H13, where it meets identified need relating to specialist older persons' housing. The requirements of London Plan policy H13B will apply and Applicants for specialist older persons' housing should demonstrate how the design will address the needs of people with dementia and other long-term health conditions.</u></b></p>	MM19
PC146	101-102	5.78, 5.79 and 5.85	<p><i>Insert new para 5.78a, amend para 5.79 and delete para 5.85 as follows:</i></p> <p>5.78. The council is working towards reducing the reliance on residential-care provision. Residents in Lambeth will be supported to live independently in their own homes or in the right supported-housing environment. However, they should also be able to access specialist housing if they need extra support.</p>	MM20

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><b><u>5.78a The need for specialist older persons’ housing in Lambeth over the plan period is set out in the Lambeth SHMA 2017 and reflected in the London Plan indicative benchmark of 70 additional units per annum (IND5 in the monitoring framework of this Plan, see Annex 8). Provision will be monitored against this indicative benchmark. This need is for the types of specialist accommodation identified in paragraphs 4.13.3 to 4.13.9 of the London plan and does not include nursing homes. There is sufficient nursing home accommodation in Lambeth to meet need over the plan period: the priority is to continue to support people to remain independent for as long as possible in their own home whilst also maintaining the stock of existing nursing home accommodation.</u></b></p> <p>5.79. Proposals for the provision (or loss) of housing to meet specific community needs will be assessed having regard to Lambeth’s Housing Strategy and associated client group sub-strategies <b><u>as well as the advice of the Council and NHS integrated commissioning service for adult health and social care.</u></b> Proposals for the development of specialist housing should demonstrate that there is a local need for such accommodation.</p> <p><b><u><del>5.85. Provision of specialist older persons’ accommodation will be monitored against the London Plan annual borough benchmark (2017-2029).</del></u></b></p>	
PC019	108	H11(a)	<p><i>Amend clause (a) as follows:</i></p> <p><u>Estate regeneration schemes must achieve at least 50 per cent affordable housing in the final scheme, based on habitable rooms. Applicants should demonstrate <b>through a viability assessment that</b> they have sought to maximise the proportion of affordable housing in the scheme and should where possible provide more than 50 per cent.</u></p>	MM21
PC021	109	5.101	<p><i>Amend 1<sup>st</sup> sentence of para as follows:</i></p>	MM22

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><u>The <del>policy requirement for at least 50 per cent percentage of</del> affordable housing will be assessed on the basis of the overall proportion of affordable housing that will result in the final estate regeneration scheme, once all phases have been completed.</u></p>	
PC147	117	H13	<p><i>Amend clauses (a) and (b) as follows:</i></p> <p>a) <u>Development proposals for large-scale purpose-built shared living will be supported <del>in Waterloo and Vauxhall only</del> where they meet both the requirements of London Plan policy H16 and the following additional Lambeth-specific requirements:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <u>each private unit includes at least 15m2 functional living space separate from the communal facilities;</u></li> <li>ii. <u>communal space meets the minimum requirements for houses in multiple occupation; <del>and</del></u></li> <li>iii. <u>rents per room are set no higher than the mean rental level for a studio in the private rented sector in that postcode area (based on London Rent Map data);</u></li> <li>iv. <u><b>the location has good or excellent public transport accessibility and is well-served by local services; and</b></u></li> <li>v. <u><b>includes a management plan that, to the satisfaction of the Council, will appropriately mitigate potential harm to residential amenity.</b></u></li> </ul> <p>b) <u>A development proposal for large-scale purpose-built shared living will not be permitted <del>on public-sector land or</del> where:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <u>it would result in the loss of existing self-contained residential accommodation (C3);</u></li> </ul>	<p>MM23</p> <p>Subject to deletion of H13 (a) (iii) – see MF4 below.</p>

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ii. <u>it is proposed on a site allocated or protected for other uses;</u></li> <li>iii. <u>it is proposed on a site with an extant planning permission for C3 housing; and/or</u></li> <li>iv. <u>it would result in an over-concentration of similar uses, including purpose-built student accommodation, which may be detrimental to <del>residential amenity</del> or the balance and mix of uses in the area or place undue pressure on local infrastructure.</u></li> </ul>	
MF4	117	H13 (a) (iii)	<i>Delete following paragraph: “rents per room are set no higher than the mean rental level for a studio in the private rented sector in that postcode area (based on London Rent Map data),”</i>	MM24
PC148	118	5.121	<b><u>Given the very high density nature of this type of accommodation, Pproposals of this nature should be located in Waterloo and Vauxhall because these have been identified as locations in which this form of development can viably provide the affordable housing contribution required by policy across all types of site; and because they are also well-connected by in parts of the borough with good or excellent public transport accessibility levels and well-served by local services. An appropriate management plan will be required to mitigate potential harm to neighbouring residents and monitoring of this plan will be secured as a planning obligation.</u></b> Car and cycle parking standards set out in the London Plan and Local Plan policies T7 and T3 will also apply.	MM25
PC149	118	5.123	<u>15m2 is the minimum personal living space considered acceptable in a large-scale purpose-built shared living development in Lambeth. A smaller area per person would not provide an adequate living environment for the health and well-being of people in the borough. Each personal room should include windows to provide natural daylight. Communal space should meet the minimum standards expected of houses in multiple occupation (including at least one set of cooking facilities for every 2-5 persons and two sets for every 6-10 persons).</u> Storage space should be provided in both private and communal areas.	MM26

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
MF5			<i>Delete paragraph 5.124</i>	MM27 See MF4
PC151	119	5.126	<u>Several schemes of this nature in close proximity, and/or in proximity with student housing schemes, could adversely affect the mix and balance of population in a neighbourhood or result in particular local pressures on services and infrastructure given their very high-density characteristics. The policy therefore would not support proposals with potential to result in an overconcentration of such uses in a neighbourhood. Over-concentration, including purpose-built student accommodation, will be assessed on a case by case basis, having regard to the location of the site and local circumstances. <b>Generally two uses of this nature, including purpose-built student accommodation, will not be permitted on adjacent sites; and there should be no more than two such uses within any given 500m radius.</b></u>	MM28
PC152	121	ED1	<i>Amend policy ED1 title as follows:</i> <b><u>Offices (B1a)</u></b>	MM29
PC024	121	ED1(b)	<i>Amend as follows:</i>  <i>b)</i> <u>Proposals for smaller offices (up to 1,000m<sup>2</sup> gross external area) will be supported in <b>the Central Activities Zone, Opportunity Areas and all town centres all locations</b>, subject to other plan policies relating to the existing use of the land, environment, transport and design. <b>Proposals for smaller offices outside town centres will be subject to the sequential test in section 7 of the NPPF and other plan policies.</b></u>	MM30
PC153	121	ED1(c)	<i>Amend sub-clauses (iii) and (iv) as follows:</i>  <i>iii)</i> <u>it would not be feasible and/or viable to adapt the office floorspace as smaller <b>business (B1a) office</b> units to meet demand from small businesses. This</u>	MM31

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p>should be demonstrated through marketing evidence and an independently validated viability assessment;</p> <p>iv) the <b>B1a office</b> floorspace to be lost is replaced in the vicinity <u>and within Lambeth</u>.</p>	
PC154	122	ED1(d)	<p><i>Amend clause (d) as follows:</i></p> <p>Redevelopment of <del>large</del> offices for a mix of uses will be supported if the quantity of original <b>B1a office</b> floorspace is replaced or increased as part of the development or elsewhere <u>in the vicinity within Lambeth, within the immediate area, incorporating existing businesses where possible. Outside of the CAZ, partial replacement of existing B1a office floorspace in a mixed use redevelopment may be supported where other significant planning benefits are delivered and it can be demonstrated that the maximum feasible and viable proportion of office floorspace is included in the scheme, and/or that the replacement space will result in an intensification of office use. No marketing evidence would be required. The requirements of policy ED2(c) will apply. In these circumstances, viability evidence must be provided.</u></p>	MM32
PC155	122	ED1(f)	<p><i>Amend clause (f) as follows:</i></p> <p><u>Development proposals for new B1a office floorspace greater than 2,000 m<sup>2</sup> (gross external area) should consider the scope to provide a proportion of flexible workspace suitable for micro, small and medium-sized enterprises, in accordance with London Plan policy E2. This can include a variety of types of space including serviced offices and co-working space. Opportunities for long-term management of the flexible workspace by a company specialising in the management of this type of space should be fully explored.</u></p>	MM33

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
PC156	122	6.5	<p><i>Amend para 6.5 as follows:</i></p> <p><u>Lambeth needs to protect and increase its stock of office floorspace to support investment and economic growth. The presumption in policy is therefore no loss of existing office floorspace and support for new office floorspace in appropriate locations in accordance with London Plan policy E1. <b>However, office floorspace will not be protected where it is demonstrated that it is ancillary to, or integral to the operation of, a hospital or other strategically important health facility.</b></u></p> <p><u>Where replacement office floorspace is provided within the vicinity of....</u></p>	MM34
PC157	123	6.6	<p><i>Amend first sentence of para 6.6 as follows:</i></p> <p>In some locations in Lambeth, demand for <u>smaller <b>office B1a business</b> units</u> is <u>stronger than demand for large-scale offices of over 1,000 m<sup>2</sup> or more</u> <del>is weaker than demand for smaller B1 business units.</del></p>	MM35
PC158	123	6.7	<p><i>Amend first sentence of para 6.7 as follows:</i></p> <p>The appropriate supporting evidence required in section <del>(b)</del> (c) of the policy should include a viability assessment which addresses the feasibility and economic viability of refurbishing, renewing or modernising larger office buildings for use as smaller <b>B1a office</b> units.</p>	MM36
PC159	124	6.10	<p><i>Amend as follows:</i></p> <p><u><b>Where necessary and justified, the council will use conditions and/or planning obligations to limit uses consented within Class E in order to achieve the objectives of this policy.</b></u> The council has introduced Article 4 directions removing permitted development rights for change of use from B1a office to C3 residential across the whole of the Central Activities Zone in Lambeth, and in Brixton Town Centre, parts of Clapham and ten KIBAs. The council will consider the introduction of further Article 4 directions of this nature in other parts of the borough where there is evidence that office to residential permitted development rights are harming the stock of office floorspace in the borough to the detriment of businesses and the borough's potential for economic growth.</p>	MM37

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number									
PC160 and MF6	125	ED2(a)	<p><i>Amend clause (a) as follows:</i></p> <p>a) <u>In accordance with London Plan policy E3, the council will apply the following requirements for <b>the uplift of</b> affordable workspace in the following locations:</u></p> <p>i) <u>In Waterloo/Southbank and Vauxhall developments proposing at least 1000sqm <b>(GIA) gross B1a</b> office floorspace should provide 10 per cent of <b>the rentable that floorspace (Net Internal Area (NIA))</b> at 50 per cent of market rents for a period of 15 years;</u></p> <p>ii) <u>In Oval, Kennington and Clapham developments proposing at least 1000sqm <b>(GIA) gross B1a</b> office floorspace should provide 10 per cent of <b>the rentable that floorspace (NIA)</b> at 80 per cent of market rents for a period of 15 years;</u></p> <p>iii) <u>In the Brixton Creative Enterprise Zone (CEZ) developments proposing at least 1000sqm <b>(GIA) gross B1a</b> office floorspace should provide 10 per cent of <b>the rentable that floorspace (NIA)</b> as affordable workspace for a period of 25 years with the following discounts on market rents:</u></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="714 991 1818 1347"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="714 991 1019 1070"></th> <th data-bbox="1019 991 1420 1070"><u>CEZ within town centre boundary</u></th> <th data-bbox="1420 991 1818 1070"><u>CEZ outside town centre boundary</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="714 1070 1019 1193"><u>Between 1000sqm and 5000sqm <b>(GIA)</b></u></td> <td data-bbox="1019 1070 1420 1193">No discount</td> <td data-bbox="1420 1070 1818 1193">50 per cent of market rents</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="714 1193 1019 1347"><u>Between 5,001sqm and 10,000sqm <b>(GIA)</b></u></td> <td data-bbox="1019 1193 1420 1347">65 per cent of market rents</td> <td data-bbox="1420 1193 1818 1347">65 per cent of market rents</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		<u>CEZ within town centre boundary</u>	<u>CEZ outside town centre boundary</u>	<u>Between 1000sqm and 5000sqm <b>(GIA)</b></u>	No discount	50 per cent of market rents	<u>Between 5,001sqm and 10,000sqm <b>(GIA)</b></u>	65 per cent of market rents	65 per cent of market rents	MM38
	<u>CEZ within town centre boundary</u>	<u>CEZ outside town centre boundary</u>											
<u>Between 1000sqm and 5000sqm <b>(GIA)</b></u>	No discount	50 per cent of market rents											
<u>Between 5,001sqm and 10,000sqm <b>(GIA)</b></u>	65 per cent of market rents	65 per cent of market rents											



Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number			
			<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;"><u>Greater than 10,000sqm (GIA)</u></td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;"><u>50 per cent of market rents</u></td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;"><u>80 per cent of market rents</u></td> </tr> </table>	<u>Greater than 10,000sqm (GIA)</u>	<u>50 per cent of market rents</u>	<u>80 per cent of market rents</u>	
<u>Greater than 10,000sqm (GIA)</u>	<u>50 per cent of market rents</u>	<u>80 per cent of market rents</u>					
PC161	125	ED2(b)	<p><i>Amend clause (b) as follows:</i>                      The affordable workspace secured should be provided on-site and be designed to meet a local need <b>for office, light industrial or research and development workspace within the B1 use class.</b></p>	MM39			
PC162 and MF7	126	ED2(d)	<p><i>Amend clause (d) as follows:</i>                      The affordable workspace should be made available for occupation within 12 months of first occupation of the non-affordable workspace. In phased developments, the affordable workspace can be delivered on a pro-rata basis, requiring that at least the minimum amount triggered for that phase is delivered and ready for occupation within 12 months of the occupation of the non-affordable workspace element. <b><u>The affordable workspace should be made available for occupation at the same time of or prior to first occupation as the rest of the B1a floorspace in the development. Where affordable workspace is being provided through a phased mixed-use development, planning obligations will be required to ensure timely delivery.</u></b></p>	MM40			
PC163	126	ED2(f)	<p><i>Amend clause (f) as follows:</i>  <u>Proposals that do not provide the level of affordable workspace required by this policy will be required to submit viability information, which will be independently assessed. Where this assessment determines that a greater level of affordable workspace could viably be supported, a higher level of affordable workspace will be</u></p>	MM41			

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<u>required, capped at the level required by the policy.</u> In addition, early and late viability reviews will be applied to all schemes that do not provide the level of affordable workspace required by the policy.	
PC164	126	ED2(g)	<i>Amend clause (g) as follows:</i> <u>Proposals requiring planning permission that involve the loss of existing affordable workspace will not be permitted unless the quantum of affordable workspace is replaced on-site or re-provided elsewhere in Lambeth on equivalent terms to the satisfaction of the council.</u>	MM42
PC165 and MF8	127	6.14	<i>Amend paragraph 6.14 as follows:</i> <u>The policy will apply to all applications involving 1,000sqm or more gross <del>B1a</del> office floorspace (GIA) in the areas of Lambeth identified in part (a) the policy, but the requirement for affordable workspace would only apply to the net uplift in office floorspace. This includes applications for the redevelopment and extension of existing offices, but will not include office floor-space that is ancillary to, or integral to the operation of, a hospital or other healthcare facility. The policy will also apply to planning applications that involve refurbishment of existing office space where this would result in an increase in the quality and rental value of the space. Affordable workspace will be secured through s106 planning obligations. Further information on the implementation of the policy and approach to planning obligations is set out in the council's Affordable Workspace SPD. Where necessary and justified, the council will use conditions and/or planning obligations to limit uses consented within Class E in order to achieve the objectives of this policy. The application of the policy would require an applicant to demonstrate at least 6 months' continuous lawful use during the three years prior to the permission, mirroring the approach taken in the application of CIL.</u>	MM43
PC166	127	6.15	<i>Amend paragraph 6.15 as follows:</i> <u>The affordable workspace secured should be provided on site and be designed to meet a local need within the B1 use class for office, research and development</u>	MM44

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><u>or light industrial floorspace.</u> In the majority of cases affordable workspace will be provided as affordable <b>B1a</b> office space. In the Creative Enterprise Zone, and elsewhere in support of CDIs in particular, it may be appropriate to provide the affordable workspace as discounted <b>B1c</b>-light industrial and/or <b>B1b</b> research and development space, where this is feasible in design terms. In these circumstances, the proportion of affordable workspace provided could be lower than the policy requirement given the lower values associated with this type of space. The proportion of discounted floorspace in these cases will be determined through consideration of site characteristics and viability.</p>	
PC167	130	6.23	<p><i>Amend para 6.23 as follows:</i>  <u>Applications that propose levels of affordable workspace below the policy requirement will be viability tested. Viability information must be submitted with the planning application in accordance with the requirements set out in the council's Development Viability SPD. Applicants will be expected to pay for the cost of the independent viability assessment. Viability tested schemes will be subject to viability reviews as set out in the Affordable Workspace SPD. Review mechanisms provide a reappraisal mechanism to ensure that maximum public benefit is secured over the period of the development. They allow increases in section 106 contributions up to <b>the level required by the policy</b>, to reflect changes in the value of a development from the date of planning permission to specific stages of the development programme.</u></p>	MM45
PC168	131	6.26	<p><i>Amend para 6.26 as follows:</i>  <u>Planning obligations used to secure affordable workspace should include mechanisms to ensure its timely delivery, at the same time or in advance of the <b>B1a office</b> floorspace, and where included as part of mixed use schemes it may be appropriate to require it in advance of some or all of the residential elements.</u></p>	MM46
PC169	132	ED3(a)	<p><i>Reinstate the word 'business' as follows into clause (a):</i>  Development in KIBAs will be permitted only for <b>business</b>, industrial, storage and waste management uses, including green industries and other compatible industrial</p>	MM47

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			and commercial uses (excluding large scale retail) ancillary to, or providing for, the needs of the KIBA, other than where clause (c) below applies.	
PC170 and MF9	132	ED3(b)	<i>Amend clause (b) as follows:</i> The intensification of business, light and general industry, storage and distribution uses <del>in Use Classes B1b, B1c, B2 and B8 and industrial sui generis uses</del> is encouraged in KIBAs, in accordance with London Plan policy E7 section A. Development proposals of this type should have regard to operational requirements (including servicing) and mitigate impacts on the transport network where necessary.	MM48
PC025 and MF10	132	ED3(c)	<i>Correct 1<sup>st</sup> sentence of clause (c) as follows:</i> <u>Areas of KIBA land with potential for both industrial, business, light and general industry, storage and distribution uses intensification and co-location with residential and other uses (in accordance with London Plan policy E7 sections B and D E) are shown on the Policies Map.</u>	MM49 See MM48
PC026 and MF11	132	6.28 and 6.29	<i>Delete paragraphs 6.28 and 6.29 and replace with the following text:</i> <b><u>KIBAs are Lambeth’s Locally Significant Industrial Sites (LSIS) and are listed in Annex 4 and shown on the Policies Map. In accordance with London Plan policy E4, Lambeth’s KIBAs are a vital part of the local economy representing the borough’s strategic supply of land for industry, business, logistics and services to support London’s economic function and to enable sufficient land of the right type is available in the right places and at the right time to support growth and innovation and be flexible enough to accommodate needs not anticipated in the Plan, allow for new and flexible working practices and enable a rapid response to changes in economic circumstances and optimise employment use. The London Plan places Lambeth within the Central Services Area and optimising employment use. (CSA). CSA status requires a particular focus on sustainable ‘last mile’ distribution/logistics, ‘just-in-time’ servicing (such as food service activities, printing, administrative and support services,</u></b>	MM50 See MM48

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><u>office supplies, repair and maintenance), waste management and recycling, and land to support transport functions, to service the functioning of the Central Activities Zone. Waste management and recycling are also particularly important uses in KIBAs given the requirement to meet the London Plan waste apportionment (see Local Plan policy EN7).</u></p> <p><u>Lambeth undertook a review of its KIBAs to inform the partial review of the Lambeth Local Plan 2015 in the context of the Mayor’s evidence on industrial land and capacity in the revised London Plan (Lambeth Review of KIBAs 2019, updated 2020). This study included an analysis of occupancy and development activity in the existing KIBAs in Lambeth and assessed whether any changes were needed to current designations, as well as considering potential for intensification. It also assessed potential for designation of additional land as KIBA. The assessment was carried out in parallel with Lambeth’s input to the London Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) 2017. It also took account of comments in response to public consultation on the Draft Revised Lambeth Local Plan in 2018, and of the parallel assessment of waste management capacity in the borough (Waste Evidence Base updated 2020). Overall, the study presents a strong picture, finding very high levels of occupancy and industrial/business related development activity in the majority of existing KIBAs in Lambeth. However, changes to the boundaries of nine existing KIBA are proposed in the study as a result of changing circumstances, as is one full de-designation. Four new KIBAs are proposed but the result is an overall net loss of designated industrial land.</u></p>	

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><u>The level of industrial floor-space capacity lost in Lambeth in recent years, in combination with these boundary changes, means that any scope for intensification within KIBAs must be prioritised for industrial floor-space capacity, rather than to allow space for non-industrial uses. Lambeth does not need to rely on KIBA land to meet and exceed its London Plan housing target. KIBA land must be prioritised instead to meet the requirements of Lambeth’s CSA location and the London Plan waste apportionment. Industrial intensification appropriate within the KIBAs includes intensification for light and general industry including emerging industrial-related sectors; storage and logistics/distribution including ‘last mile’ distribution close to central London, wholesale, consolidation centres and collection points business and waste management uses use; utilities infrastructure; some rail and bus infrastructure; flexible hybrid spaces to accommodate services that support the wider London economy and population; low-cost industrial and related space for micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including many in the creative, cultural and digital industries and other sectors such as food-making and distribution); and research and development of industrial and related products and processes. New B2 and B8 uses are particularly encouraged. The approach to industrial intensification should follow that set out in the London Plan and the guidance in the Mayor’s Industrial Intensification Primer.</u></p> <p><u>Given the position explained above, only three KIBAs or parts of KIBAs are identified on the Policies Map as having potential for industrial intensification and co-location with other uses, based on particular circumstances affecting those locations. See the Review of KIBAs for further information. For sites in KIBAs with potential for industrial intensification and co-location, the appropriate amount of industrial floor-space to be re-provided will be assessed as the existing quantum of floor-space and/or actively used yardspace, or 65 per cent plot ratio (defined as total proposed industrial floor-space divided by total proposed site area), whichever is greater for the site in question; unless a</u></p>	

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<b><u>site specific policy in the Site Allocations DPD specifies an alternative approach. This is based on the methodology in the Mayor’s evidence on London Industrial Land Demand (CAG October 2017).</u></b>	
PC171	133	Para 6.30	<p><i>Amend as follows:</i></p> <p>Some KIBAs include existing <b>B1a</b> office space, usually in the form of small business units and/or as part of a permission for flexible B1 space. These business units are important to the Lambeth economy and in some cases are protected by an Article 4 direction removing permitted development rights for change of use from <b>B1a</b> office to <b>G3</b> residential. However, going forward any potential for intensification within KIBAs should be for industrial uses that meet the definition in London Plan policy E4. <b><u>This could include flexible workspace within Class E, so long as it is not used for retail, food and drink or leisure uses (which will be controlled as appropriate through conditions or planning obligations).</u></b> Proposals for intensification in KIBAs that affect existing <b>B1a office</b> floor-space should <b>therefore</b> ensure that the uplift in floor-space is provided in the form of space that falls within <del>that the</del> London Plan definition, <b><u>which could include flexible workspace within Class E as described above.</u></b> Proposals for additional <b>B1a office</b> floor-space <b><u>intended for office use only in KIBAs</u></b> will not generally be permitted <b><u>in KIBAs because office space is a main town centre use and none of the KIBAs is located in a town centre. Proposals for flexible B1 floor-space, where the intention is to include the option for B1a office space as part of the mix, would not be acceptable in these locations.</u></b></p>	MM51
PC172	133	Para 6.31	<p><i>Amend para 6.31 as follows:</i></p> <p><u>In some cases, uses such as small-scale cafés and gyms intended primarily to serve the needs of those working in and visiting the KIBA, may be considered appropriate. Proposals for café, restaurant or <b>D-class leisure</b> uses that would, by virtue of their use, location or configuration, attract significant numbers of customers with no connection to the KIBA will not be permitted. <b><u>Where necessary and justified, the Council will use conditions and/or planning obligations to limit uses consented</u></b></u></p>	MM52

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<b><u>within Class E in order to achieve the objectives of this policy and to avoid the proliferation of main town centre uses in KIBAs.</u></b>	
PC173	136	ED4(a)	<i>Amend clause (a) as follows:</i> The intensification of <del>business uses in Use Classes B1b, B1c, B2 and B8 or of sui generis</del> industrial uses on non-designated industrial sites is encouraged through introduction of small units, development of multi-storey schemes, addition of basements or more efficient use of land through higher plot ratios. Development proposals of this nature should have regard to operational yard space requirements (including servicing) and mitigate impacts on the transport network where necessary.	MM53
PC174	136	ED4(b)	<i>Add the following sentence to the end of clause (b):</i> <b><u>London Plan policy D13 Agent of Change will also apply to mixed-use developments on non-designated industrial land.</u></b>	MM54
PC175	136	ED4(d)	<i>Amend clause (d) as follows:</i> Development proposals for new <del>B-class business commercial, business and service floorspace</del> greater than 2,500 m <sup>2</sup> (gross external area) should consider the scope to provide a proportion of flexible workspace or small units suitable for micro, small and medium-sized enterprises.	MM55
PC176	137	Para 6.39	<i>Amend 1<sup>st</sup> sentence of para 6.39 as follows:</i> 'Industrial uses' are as defined in London Plan policy E4A and include <del>B1b, B1c, B2, B8 and</del> a number of <i>sui generis</i> uses such as builders' yards, telecommunications centres and postal sorting offices, bus depots, freight interchanges, tyre retail and fitting, cash and carry warehouses.	MM56
PC028	138	Para 6.43	<i>Amend para 6.43 as follows:</i> There is a shortage of lower-cost <del>B-class space or</del> workspace for small businesses across Lambeth, particularly for businesses in the creative sectors, as set out in Topic Paper 3: Workspace. In addition to the lower cost space within KIBAs, non-designated industrial sites can contribute to providing a range of types of space available to small businesses in these sectors. Development proposals that involve the loss of existing <del>B-class or sui generis</del> industrial space outside of KIBAs will be	MM57



Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<u>assessed against the tests in London Plan policy <del>E2B E2C</del>. Requirements for affordable workspace in Local Plan policy ED2 <del>do not only</del> apply to development proposals for <del>B class uses other than B1a</del> office floorspace.</u>	
PC177	138	Para 6.44	<i>Amend para 6.44 as follows:</i> <b><u>In town centres the Local Plan policies for town centres and places and neighbourhoods will take precedence over this policy. Where necessary and justified, the Council will use conditions and/or planning obligations to limit uses consented within Class E in order to achieve the objectives of this policy and to avoid the proliferation of main town centre uses outside of town centres.</u></b>	MM58
PC178	141	ED6(a)	<i>Amend clause (a) as follows:</i> The use of railway arches within London Plan Opportunity Areas and major, district and local centres for <del>A, D, B1</del> <b><u>commercial, business, service, leisure and community uses</u></b> and appropriate <i>sui generis</i> uses will be supported. <u>B2 micro-breweries will also be supported in town centre locations, subject to assessment and mitigation of potential harm to neighbouring uses.</u> Within town centres, the requirements of other relevant <u>Local Plan</u> policies, including places and neighbourhoods policies, will apply.	MM59
PC029	141	ED6(b)	<i>Amend clause (b) and introduce new clause (d) as follows:</i> (b) Elsewhere, <del>industrial (B2), storage and distribution (B8), business (B1) and appropriate sui generis uses</del> <b><u>industrial uses</u></b> will be supported. <u>Proposals for nightclubs in railway arches outside of town centres will not be permitted. Proposals for cChange of use of railway arches from commercial <del>B2, B8, B1 and appropriate sui generis</del> industrial uses to other commercial or community uses will not be permitted be considered against other development plan policies relevant to the proposed use. Proposals for contiguous sets of railway arches are encouraged to enable a comprehensive approach.</u>	MM60

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<b><u>(d) Class C and residential sui generis uses will not be permitted in railway arches.</u></b>	
PC033	141	6.51	<i>Add as last sentence to para 6.51:</i> <b><u>Applicants are strongly encouraged to bring forward proposals for contiguous sets of railway arches, to enable a comprehensive approach and more effective consideration against the wider place-shaping objectives set out in the policies for places and neighbourhoods in section 11 of the Local Plan.</u></b>	MM61
PC034	143	ED7(c)	<i>Amend 1<sup>st</sup> part of clause (c) and (iii) as follows:</i> Development in town centres <b><u>and Central Activities Zone retail clusters</u></b> will be supported if:  iii) the mix of uses is in line with the places and neighbourhoods policies and policies <del>ED7</del> <u>ED8</u> (evening economy and food and drink uses), <del>ED9</del> <u>ED10</u> ( <b><u>A2 uses betting shops and payday loan shops</u></b> ) and <del>ED10</del> <u>ED11</u> (local centres). Active frontage uses will be required at ground floor level. Exceptions will be allowed only outside of the primary shopping area where the nature of the development does not allow for this and/or it can be shown that a fully serviced active-frontage use is unlikely to be let, and it can be demonstrated that the proposal would contribute to enhancing the vitality and viability of the centre.	MM62
PC180	144	ED7(d)	<i>Add as last sentence to clause (d):</i> <b><u>Where necessary and justified, the council will use conditions and/or planning obligations to limit uses consented within Classes E, F1 or F2 in order to achieve the objectives of this policy and to avoid the proliferation of main town centre uses outside of town centres.</u></b>	MM63
PC181	144	ED7(f)	<i>Amend clause (f) as follows:</i> <u>In accordance with London Plan policy E9, major development proposals should provide an appropriate mix of unit sizes. Large-scale commercial development</u>	MM64

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<u>proposals (containing over 2,500sqm gross <b>A-Class shop, service and food and drink</b> floorspace) should consider the provision of small shops.</u>	
PC182	144	6.54	<i>Amend first part of paragraph 6.54 as follows:</i> Lambeth's town centres are listed in Annex 3 and town centre boundaries are shown on the Local Plan Policies Map. The primary shopping area of a town centre is an area with a concentration of retail <b>(A1)</b> uses as defined in the NPPF.	MM65
PC183	145	6.55	<i>Insert new para 6.55a after para 6.55 as follows:</i> <b><u>6.55a When considering whether a development is of an appropriate scale, consideration will be given to factors such as the size and amount of town centre floor-space being provided and where this is appropriate when considering the size, role and function of the centre and its catchment in relation to the town centre network set out in Annex 1 of the London Plan and Annex 3 of the Local Plan.</u></b>	MM66
PC184	145	6.56	<i>Amend para 6.56 as follows:</i> Active frontage uses in a town centre are those that bring activity to the street frontage through a flow of people entering and leaving the premises at ground floor level and a glazed façade through which activity can be seen from the street. <u>This natural surveillance also has potential to discourage crime and anti-social behaviour.</u> <b><u>All commercial, business and service uses, learning and non-residential institutions, local community This includes all A and D class</u></b> uses and appropriate <i>sui generis</i> uses such as beauty salons or nail bars; launderettes; nightclubs; <b><u>cinemas; bingo halls; concert halls; dance halls; live music venues; public houses, wine bars and drinking establishments; hot food takeaways</u></b> and theatres <b><u>at ground floor will be expected to be designed to provide an active frontage.</u></b> Amusement arcades are not considered to provide an active frontage when the interior cannot be viewed from the street. Mini-cab and private hire vehicle offices are considered appropriate <i>sui generis</i> uses within town centres, subject to the requirements of <u>Local Plan</u> policy T9. Residential use of ground floor premises in the middle of a town centre shopping parade can detract from the vitality and viability of the parade or centre and can raise safety concerns.	MM67
PC185	145	6.58	<i>Amend first sentence of paragraph 6.58 as follows:</i>	MM68

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			Centre-specific policies which clarify the approach to managing the proportions of <del>retail (A1) shops</del> and food and drink ( <del>A3/4/5</del> ) uses in major and district centres and the Lower Marsh/The Cut <del>Waterloo</del> CAZ <del>retail clusters</del> frontage are set out in the Places and Neighbourhoods section of the Plan.	
PC186	146	6.59	<i>Amend paragraph 6.59 as follows:</i> In applying the centre-specific approaches to managing the proportions of town centre uses set out in the Places and Neighbourhoods policies, the calculation of the proportion of <del>retail</del> units in <del>a particular use A1 use and the percentage of A3/A4/A5 uses</del> should be made on the basis of ground floor units as originally constructed. It should also take account of unimplemented planning permissions for change of use; <del>for example, a unit for which change of use from A1 to A3 has been granted but not implemented, and where the planning permission is still valid, should be counted as A3 for the purpose of the calculation.</del>	MM69
PC187	146	6.61	<i>Amend para 6.61 as follows:</i> The Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended) <del>and the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended) establish use classes and permitted development rights for some changes of use between classes the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (as amended) established use classes and permitted development rights for some changes of use between classes.</del> Planning permission is not needed when both the present and proposed uses fall within the same use class (such as within the <del>A1-retail E</del> use class) or if a change of use is permitted to another specified class. <u>Where necessary and justified, the council will use conditions and/or planning obligations to limit uses consented within Class E to achieve the objectives of this policy and to maintain the function of primary shopping areas and ensure an appropriate mix of uses in town centres. The council will monitor the impact of the changes to the Use Class Order on the function of the town centre network. Further guidance may be provided in the form of a Supplementary Planning Document.</u> <del>Some permitted development rights are subject to a prior approval process. For the purposes of the prior approval process, 'key shopping area' is defined as being a designated town centre or CAZ</del>	MM70

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			retail cluster. A3 (food and drink), A4 (drinking establishments) and A5 (hot food takeaways) can all change use to A1 (retail) without applying for planning permission. In addition, under new permitted development rights introduced in May 2013, units under 150m2 in A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, B1, D1 or D2 use can change to a flexible use falling within either uses classes A1, A2, A3 or B1 for a single continuous period of up to two years, subject to a prior approval process.	
PC188	148	ED8(d)	<i>Amend first part of clause (d) as follows:</i> The use of outdoor areas including garden areas, <u>rooftops</u> , forecourts and pavements in association with food and drink <del>(A3/A4/A5)</del> uses will be supported only where:...	MM71
PC189	148	ED8(e)	<i>Amend first sentence of clause (e) as follows:</i> Proposals for hot food takeaways <del>(A5 uses)</del> will not be <del>supported</del> <u>permitted</u> if proposed within 400 metres of the boundary of a primary or secondary school.	MM72
PC190	148	ED8(f)	<i>Amend first sentence of clause (f) as follows:</i> <u>Applications for <del>A3/A4/A5</del> food and drink uses where home delivery of food is proposed should include a Delivery and Servicing Plan providing details of the scale of operation, delivery collection points, locations for parking of delivery vehicles/bikes and hours of operation, to enable assessment of impacts.</u>	MM73
PC191	148	6.62	<i>Amend para 6.62 as follows:</i> Food and drink uses <u>include cafes and restaurants, public houses, wine bars, drinking establishments and hot food takeaways normally fall within the A3 (restaurants and cafes), A4 (drinking establishments) and A5 (hot food takeaways) use classes.</u> Evening economy uses include food and drink uses <del>(A3/A4/A5)</del> , night clubs, live music and/or dance venues and other entertainment activities <del>(primarily D2)</del> . <u>Where necessary and justified, the council will use conditions and/or planning obligations to limit uses consented within Class E in order to achieve the objectives of this policy, to manage the impact of food and drink uses on amenity and to avoid the proliferation of main town centre uses outside of town centres.</u>	MM74
PC035	148	6.64	<i>Amend this extract from paragraph 6.64 and add new last sentence as follows:</i>	MM75

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><u>'many of Lambeth's town centres'</u></p> <p><b><u>The council will monitor the impact of the changes to the Use Class Order on evening and food and drink uses, including any emerging harmful concentrations of these uses. Further guidance may be provided in the form of a Supplementary Planning Document.</u></b></p>	
PC192	148	6.66	<p><i>Amend fourth sentence of para 6.66 as follows:</i></p> <p><u>In accordance with London Plan policy E9, proposals for <b>A5 hot food takeaway</b> uses may be conditioned to require the operator to achieve and operate in compliance with the Healthier Catering Commitment standard.</u></p>	MM76
PC193	150	ED9(b)	<p><i>Amend clause (b) as follows:</i></p> <p>Changes of use <del>to non-A or D class uses</del> will only be acceptable where the criteria set out in part (a) of the policy above are met and there is no reasonable prospect in the medium term of re-use or refurbishment for an alternative <del>A or D class use</del> <b><u>commercial, business and service, community or appropriate sui generis use in town centres; and or for an alternative D class use social infrastructure use outside of town centres.</u></b> <del>This should be</del> demonstrated through marketing evidence. <b><u>Where necessary and justified, the council will use conditions and/or planning obligations to limit uses consented within Classes E, F1 and F2 in order to achieve the objectives of this policy and to avoid the proliferation of main town centre uses outside of town centres.</u></b></p>	MM77
PC194	151	6.67	<p><i>Amend paragraph 6.67 as follows:</i></p> <p>Over recent years many pubs in Lambeth have been lost to alternative uses, or have closed and are currently vacant. <b><u>Changes to the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended) mean pubs are now a sui generis use.</u></b> <del>Changes to the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development)</del></p>	MM78

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<del>(England) Order 2015 have removed permitted rights that previously allowed pubs and bars to change to shops, financial and professional services, restaurants and cafes without planning permission. This offers greater protection for public houses and also introduces permitted development rights for a new mixed use (A3/A4) which provides flexibility to enhance the food offer in public houses.</del>	
PC195	152	6.73	<p><i>Amend paragraph 6.73 as follows:</i></p> <p>Where public houses are located in town centres, relevant town centre and places and neighbourhoods' policies will also apply. Where the evidence demonstrates that the public house is not economically viable and is no longer required to meet the needs of the local community, the council will seek alternative <b>uses that can provide an active frontage uses (A class, D class and appropriate sui generis uses) (commercial, business and service, learning and non-residential institutions, local community or appropriate sui generis uses)</b> in line with policy. Outside of town centres, appropriate A or <b>D social infrastructure</b> uses will be preferred and evidence of marketing for alternative A or <b>D class social infrastructure</b> uses will be required before a change of use outside of these use classes will be accepted.</p>	MM79
PC196	154	ED10	<i>Amend policy ED10 title as follows: <b>A2 uses, betting Betting</b> shops and payday loan shops</i>	MM80
PC197	154	ED10	<i>Delete clause (a) and renumber clauses accordingly</i>	MM81
PC198	154	ED10(c)	<i>Amend part of existing clause (c) (new clause (b)) as follows:</i>	MM82
			<u>The approach to <b>A2 uses, betting shops and payday loan shops</b> in Waterloo, Brixton, Streatham and West Norwood/Tulse Hill is set out in their respective Places and Neighbourhoods policies.</u>	
PC199	155	6.76	<i>Amend paragraph 6.76 as follows:</i>	MM83

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><del>Whilst A2 uses are an appropriate use in town centres, significant numbers of betting shops, pawn brokers and money shops within the A2 use class can dominate some centres. Too many betting shops, and payday loan shops, pawnbrokers or money shops in one area can lead to a negative impact on the vitality and viability of a town centre, discourage other investors and affect the quality of life of those living nearby. This can result in the perception that a centre's retail offer is weak and that the centre is in decline. Betting shops and payday loan shops are sui generis uses. Pawnbrokers and money shops are A2 uses.</del></p>	
PC200	155	6.79	<p><i>Amend paragraph 6.79 as follows:</i></p> <p>The calculation of the proportion of units in <b>A2, payday loan shop or betting shop</b> use should be made on the basis of original ground floor units within the whole of the town centre. This calculation should also take account of unimplemented planning permissions for change of use.</p>	MM84
PC201	156	ED11	<p><i>Amend policy ED11 as follows:</i></p> <p>a) The council will support and protect the role of local centres and local shops in meeting the day to day needs of communities. Active frontage uses, and particularly retail <del>(A1)</del> uses, will be encouraged and protected. <b><u>Where new ground floor units are created, generally 50 per cent of this ground floor floorspace should be in commercial, business and service use. Where necessary and justified, the council will use conditions/and or planning obligations to limit uses consented within Class E to achieve the objectives of this policy.</u></b></p> <p>b) To ensure the vitality, viability and function of local centres, the change of use of ground floor <del>shops units</del> will be supported only where the use proposed is an active frontage use and an appropriate mix and balance of uses is retained, <b>as follows.</b></p>	MM85



Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><del>i) The proportion of retail (A1) units should not fall below 40 per cent. Exceptions may be allowed where it is demonstrated through marketing evidence that the unit is unlikely to be let for A1 use, and the proposal would contribute to enhancing the vitality and viability of the centre.</del></p> <p>ii) The requirements of <u>Local Plan</u> policies <del>ED7</del> <u>ED8</u>, and <del>ED9</del> <u>ED10</u> also apply.</p> <p>Exceptionally, the loss of active frontage uses within local centres will be allowed where it is demonstrated that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) the premises have been actively marketed over a continuous period of at least one year for an active frontage use compatible with the function of the centre, and it has not been possible to secure an occupier; and</li> <li>ii) the proposal would not detrimentally affect the character of the centre.</li> </ul> <p>The loss of dispersed local shops (<del>A class uses</del>) outside of local centres will only be supported where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) the premises have been actively marketed over a continuous period of at least one year for an alternative <b><u>A or D shop or social infrastructure class</u></b> use compatible with the location, and it has not been possible to secure an occupier; and</li> </ul>	

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			ii) there is a town centre or accessible provision of essential daily goods within reasonable walking distance (within 400m).	
PC202	157	6.81 to 6.83	<p><i>Amend paragraphs 6.81 to 6.83 as follows:</i></p> <p>6.81 The council wishes to ensure that the retail and service function of local centres is maintained and enhanced. The planning powers available to local authorities to ensure that local centres fulfil this function are restricted; the council is unable to specify what types of <b>retail (A1) shop</b> uses locate in local centres and cannot control changes of occupier within the <b>A1 E</b> use class as planning permission is not required. However, the council <del>can prevent the loss of retail (A1) shops to non-retail uses and will</del> seek to ensure that local centres provide a good mix of appropriate <b><u>A and D class commercial, business, service, community, learning and non-residential institution</u></b> uses.</p> <p>6.82 Changes of use be managed to promote a good quality retail offer within local centres and a variety of local shops and services that meet local needs. The loss of ground floor <b><u>active frontages shops</u></b>, particularly where this results in a break in the continuity of frontages, can undermine the vitality and viability of a centre or parade and will not be <del>supported</del> <u>permitted</u> unless it is demonstrated through marketing evidence that the unit is unlikely to be let for an active-frontage use (see paragraph- 6.26). The provision of community uses may be appropriate to support the viability and vitality of the local centre in preference to a change of use to residential.</p> <p>6.83 The aim of retaining <b><u>shop active frontage</u></b> units should not result in long-term vacancies, particularly where there is no prospect of achieving an occupier.</p>	MM86

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><del>The council recognises that, although the population of the borough is increasing, the proportion of people shopping locally has declined, so some shops outside larger centres may not continue to find an occupier.</del> Nevertheless, the council would need to be satisfied that every effort has been made to let premises for an active-frontage use (<u>as defined under Local Plan policy ED7, excluding hot food takeaways in close proximity to schools A class, excluding A5 uses in close proximity to schools, or D D1 class or appropriate sui generis uses, as defined under Local Plan policy ED6 ED7</u>) before accepting the loss of units to other uses such as residential. Evidence of active and appropriate marketing over a continuous period of at least one year will be required to support any claim that there is no demand for retail space or other appropriate <b>A or D active frontage use</b>. This should include evidence that premises have been marketed at an independently assessed market value price by an agent that specialises in commercial land sales. Applications must also demonstrate that proposals will, through careful design, provide a high standard of amenity for residential occupants.</p>	
PC203 and MF12	163	ED14 (a)	<p><del>Outside the Waterloo CAZ boundary and Vauxhall Opportunity Area and CAZ boundaries</del> Visitor accommodation (C1) will be supported in <b>major and district town centres</b>, where it does not cause unacceptable harm to local amenity and the balance and mix of local land uses. <b>Outside town centres, proposals for new visitor accommodation will be assessed against the sequential test for main town centre uses in accordance with national planning policy.</b> <del>In these all locations, visitor accommodation should be of an appropriate scale for the proposed location and should not unacceptably harm the balance and mix of uses in the area, including services for the local residential community. Additional visitor accommodation outside town centres will not be permitted.</del></p>	MM87

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
MF13	164	ED14 (c)	<p><i>Amend clause (c) as follows:</i></p> <p><del>No</del> <u>Additional visitor accommodation (C1) will only be permitted in Waterloo within the boundary of the Central Activities Zone CAZ) <b>where it does not cause unacceptable harm to local amenity or the balance and mix of local land uses.</b></u></p>	MM88 See MM87 above
PC038	164	ED14 (d) and (e)	<p><i>Amend clauses (d) and (e) as follows:</i></p> <p>d) <u>Proposals to extend existing visitor accommodation will only be supported in the locations set out <b>in clauses a) and b) above,</b> subject to the other requirements of this policy being met.</u></p> <p>e) <u>In all locations set out <b>in clauses a) and b) above,</b> proposals for visitor accommodation (C1) will be acceptable only where it can be demonstrated that the development does not compromise a site's capacity to meet the need for conventional dwellings, especially affordable family homes.</u></p>	MM89
PC204	166	6.101	<p><del><b>In major and district town centres, when</b></del> <u>When considering whether a proposal is of and appropriate scale, regard will <b>be</b> had to the location of the site and local circumstances. 'Balance and mix of uses' will be assessed on a site by site basis having regard to the characteristics of an individual locality, including existing concentrations of visitor accommodation, and the nature and scale of the proposed development. Generally, two uses of this nature will not be permitted on adjacent sites. This assessment will take account of the visitor management plan provided and the potential to effectively mitigate negative impacts on the local area through planning obligations.</u></p>	MM90
MF14	166	6.102	<p>In accordance with the London Plan, strategically important visitor accommodation will only be supported in the parts of Waterloo and the Vauxhall Nine Elms Opportunity Areas that are in the CAZ.</p>	MM91 See MM87

## Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
MF15	167	6.103	<i>Delete paragraph</i>	MM92 See MM87
PC040	167	6.108	<i>Amend 1<sup>st</sup> sentence of para as follows:</i> <u>To ensure that hotels provide facilities for business visitors, new hotels will be expected to provide ancillary <del>business facilities spaces</del> such as meeting rooms and flexible working areas, <b>unless it is demonstrated not to be feasible because the hotel is too small to accommodate them.</b></u>	MM93
PC205	169	ED15(b)	<i>Amend as follows:</i> <u>Applications for major development must include a site-specific Employment and Skills Plan (ESP) and the developer will be expected to agree to deliver the commitments secured in the ESP. The ESP should <del>as a minimum</del> address, in detail, how the developer intends to deliver the following requirements:</u>	MM94
PC206	169	ED15(b)(i)	<i>Delete as it duplicates PC041 below.</i>	
PC041	169	ED15(b)(i)	<i>Amend as follows:</i> <u>A <del>minimum target</del> of 25 per cent of all jobs created by the development (in both the construction phase and <b>net additional jobs</b> for the first two years of end-use occupation of the development) to be secured by the council for local residents.</u>	MM95
PC207	170-1	6.113	<i>Add new sentence to end of para 6.113 as follows:</i> <u>Skills levels are a key determinant of a sustainable local economy, but they also have an impact on employment opportunities and thus an individual's economic prosperity. Improving the skills of the local labour force will be key to maintaining its economic competitiveness. Securing obligations for employment training of local people will help to ensure that local residents are given access to the right skills training so they can take advantage of opportunities created by new development.</u> <u><b>The Council works with other London boroughs on programmes which can involve the sharing of job vacancies across boroughs. Opportunities secured from developments in Lambeth may be made available through these programmes.</b></u>	MM96
PC042	171	6.117	<i>Amend 1<sup>st</sup> sentence of para as follows:</i>	MM97

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<u>The ESP will need to contain realistic estimates of the number and types of jobs the developer envisages being created by the construction <b>phase, and the number and types of net additional jobs created by the</b> and-end-use phases, and actual figures will need to be supplied through 6-monthly monitoring reports.</u>	
PC043	174	7.4	<p><i>Amend para as follows:</i></p> <p><u>Local Plan policies Policies S1 and S2 apply to facilities <b>in the D1 and D2 use classes</b> such as healthcare, childcare, higher, and further and adult education, training, community halls and meeting spaces, libraries, indoor play, <b>indoor</b> recreation and sports facilities and places of worship. They also apply to other public service uses (such as fire, ambulance, policing, criminal justice and community safety facilities) which are not in a given use class and are categorised <i>sui generis</i>. Local shops, cafes, pubs, post offices and markets are covered by the policies in section 6 of this plan, <b>as they fall within the ‘A’ use classes. Outdoor sport facilities and playing fields will be considered under Local Plan policy EN1.</b></u></p>	MM98
PC209	176	7.7	<p><i>Add as last sentence to paragraph 7.7 as follows:</i></p> <p><b><u>Where necessary and justified, the council will use conditions and/or planning obligations to limit uses consented within Classes E, F1 or F2 in order to achieve the objectives of this policy.</u></b></p>	MM99
PC210	176	7.9	<p><i>Amend part of paragraph 7.9 as follows:</i></p> <p>In order to demonstrate that there is no further need or demand for a building in <b>D1, D2 or relevant sui generis</b> use for social infrastructure, applicants should undertake the following measures as a minimum:</p>	MM100
PC211	179	7.15	<i>Add as last sentence to paragraph 7.15 as follows:</i>	MM101

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<b><u>Where necessary and justified, the Council will use conditions and/or planning obligations to limit uses consented within Class E, F1 or F2 in order to achieve the objectives of this policy and to avoid the proliferation of main town centre uses outside of town centres.</u></b>	
PC212	179	7.16	<i>Amend part of paragraph 7.16 as follows:</i>  Proposals for change of use to a place of worship ( <del>D1 use</del> ) will be supported, subject to the tests set out in policy S2 (a) above and other relevant policies relating to the existing use of the land.	MM102
PC213	180	7.20	<i>Amend first sentence of paragraph 7.20 as follows:</i>  Community facilities ( <del>D1/D2 uses and relevant sui generis uses</del> ) will not be permitted in Key Industrial and Business Areas (see <u>Local Plan</u> policy <del>ED4</del> ED3).	MM103
PC214	183	S3(b)	<i>Amend clause (b) as follows:</i>  <del>Under permitted development rights, new state-funded schools can locate on land in existing community use (D1/D2), hotel use (C1), business use (B1) and residential institution use (C2).</del> <b><u>Where planning permission is required, P</u></b> proposals for new schools on sites in other uses will be considered against the relevant policies for those uses. The loss of existing residential accommodation (C3) in order to provide additional school places will only be supported if it is clearly demonstrated that the places are required to meet unmet demand for state-funded places in the borough and no alternative, suitable site is available.	MM104
PC044	185	7.25	<i>Add as new para:</i> <b><u>Proposals involving the loss of school playing fields will be considered under Local Plan policy EN1.</u></b>	MM105
PC046	197	T2(c)	<i>Add additional sentence to para as follows:</i>	MM106

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><del>In considering development proposals that are likely to generate an increase in the number of walking trips, Lambeth will seek to secure</del> <u>Development proposals should deliver an improved environment for pedestrians, appropriate to the scale and nature of the proposal each development, with particular regard to their accessibility, safety, convenience and directness of movements, including footway widening, provision of new routes and desire lines, and enhancement of existing routes with reference to the Lambeth Healthy Routes Plan. <b>All development should reduce road danger, to help deliver 'Vision Zero' in the borough.</b></u> Major development proposals should identify key routes and destinations and assess existing and predicted pedestrian flows to, <u>through</u> and from the site. They should provide safe, direct, and attractive routes that accommodate these flows and will be expected to provide improvements that will encourage additional walking trips, including, where appropriate, Legible London signage instead of trips by car. Development proposals should facilitate the improvement of footways to minimum standards where these are not currently met, including through the provision of land for adoption as highway, and enable footway widening where increased footfall is expected. <u>Reductions in footway space should normally be maintained and where possible increased will not be permitted.</u></p>	
PC047	200	T3(e)	<p><i>Amend last sentence as follows:</i>  <del><b>Where appropriate, pool bikes should also be provided. In purpose-built student accommodation schemes, part of the required cycle parking provision could be provided as pool bikes. For other types of development, pool bike provision is encouraged in addition to the cycle parking requirement.</b></del></p>	MM107
PC051	201	T3(g)	<p><i>Add new last sentence:</i>  <u>In all developments at least one charge point should be provided to allow for re-charging of electric cycles and a charge point should be provided for a minimum of 1 in 10 cycle parking spaces. <b>Charge points should be accessible to all cycle stand types, particularly those intended to accommodate larger/cargo cycles which may be more likely to require electric assistance.</b></u></p>	MM108
PC216	201	8.17	<p><i>Add to para as follows:</i></p>	MM109



Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p>Lambeth has one of the highest existing and potential rates of cycling in London and so will require enhanced levels of cycle parking as set out in the London Plan. The council considers that the quality of provision is paramount and will not support development that fails to deliver cycle parking to the highest standard 201 Draft Revised Lambeth Local Plan – Proposed Submission Version January 2020 Section 8: Transport and Communications accessible to all. Appropriate and adequate space within developments to allow this must be identified at the outset. <b><u>Pool bikes are a bank of shared cycles available for use free of charge for a defined period. Pool bikes can be particularly beneficial to encourage the take up of cycling where occupiers may be unlikely to have access to their own cycle. For example, many students come to study for a short time and do not have access to their own bike in London. A small proportion of the required student accommodation cycle parking provision can therefore be provided as pool bikes, depending on the nature and location of the student accommodation. Pool bikes may also be beneficial in workplaces for business-related trips but should not form part of the required cycle parking provision for this type of use. Pool bikes should be freely available to use at all times, and demand for pool cycles should be continuously monitored, with any shortfall in provision made up.</u></b></p>	
PC055	203	T4(a)(xii)	<p><i>Amend as follows:</i>  <b><u>potential</u></b> extension of the Croydon Tramlink to Crystal Palace and Streatham;</p>	MM110
PC217	208	8.29	<p><i>Amend para as follows:</i>  <b><u>Developments in proximity proposals close to the Thames should maximise use of water transport by considering using the river for the transportation of construction material and waste as part of the construction management plan. The potential for water transport will be assessed on a case by case basis based on the local characteristics of the site and potential for road access to, including through the use of nearby safeguarded wharves in the neighbouring borough of Wandsworth.</u></b></p>	MM111

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
PC057	211	T7(d)(ii)	<i>Amend clause as follows:</i> <u>be permit free where the development <b>has a PTAL of 4-6 and/or where the development</b> falls within an existing or planned controlled parking zone.</u>	MM112
PC060	213	8.35	<i>Amend para as follows:</i> Lambeth will expect car-free development in <u>most</u> areas, with <u>no general parking at all in areas with excellent, very good or good public transport <b>accessibility (PTAL 4,5 and 6)</b></u> and <u>limited aim to maximise parking elsewhere.</u> <u>Lambeth's standards in areas with lower access to public transport reflect already low levels of car ownership in such areas, as well as projected modal shift to sustainable modes and the need to accommodate growth while decreasing car usage.</u> Refer to 'Lambeth Car Parking Standards Supplementary Information' paper for more details. Public transport accessibility is assessed using Transport for London's Public Transport Accessibility Levels (PTAL), <b><u>which measures access to public transport.</u></b>	MM113
PC061	213	8.36	<i>Add two new sentences to end of para as follows:</i> <u>Non-residential parking for people with disabilities should be provided in line with London Plan standards, which require at least one bay, and state that 'adequate provision' should be made for people with disabilities. The appropriate level of parking for people with disabilities will depend on local factors including the nature of the development, site constraints, and existing provision. The availability of step-free access to public transport is also a relevant consideration, and where appropriate the developer will be expected to facilitate improvements to this. <b><u>Wherever possible, the minimum initial requirement for parking for people with disabilities should be provided on-site in order to ensure convenient access and effective allocation of spaces to users of the development. A more flexible approach may be considered in meeting future demand over and above the initial requirement, subject to highway constraints.</u></b></u>	MM114
PC071	228	9.5	<i>Amend 1<sup>st</sup> sentence of para as follows:</i> Existing <u>public and private</u> open space includes Metropolitan Open Land, common land, historic parks and gardens, district and local parks, nature conservation areas, play areas and adventure playgrounds, outdoor sports facilities <b><u>and playing fields,</u></b>	MM115

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			allotments, cemeteries and burial space, amenity <del>land</del> <u>areas</u> within housing estates, communal squares and gardens, <u>roofs that are fully accessible to the public as open space (not private amenity space)</u> , areas of water, and the River Thames Foreshore and Thames Path in accordance with London Plan policy.	
PC218	229	9.8	<i>Amend and add to the end of paragraph 9.8 as follows:</i> <u>...Arrangements for the maintenance and management of new, improved or replacement open space will also need to be demonstrated and <del>would</del> will be secured through a s106 legal agreement. These arrangements will be considered on a case by case basis having regard to factors such as the anticipated maintenance and management costs for the space in question, the anticipated level of usage and the nature of any existing maintenance and management regimes.</u>	MM116
PC219	230	9.13	<i>Add the following to the end of para 9.13:</i> <u>In accordance with London Plan policy G5, industrial and warehouse developments are not required to meet the target score of 0.3 but they will be expected to set out what measures they have taken to achieve urban greening on site and to quantify their UGF score.</u>	MM117
PC073	250	EN7(a)	<i>Insert new additional sub-clause (ii):</i> <u>Contributing to the Mayor’s target for London’s waste capacity and net self-sufficiency by identifying sufficient capacity and land to meet Lambeth’s identified waste needs, including the borough’s apportionment target.</u>	MM118
PC074	250	EN7(a)	<i>Amend sub-clause (iii) as follows:</i> safeguarding existing waste transfer and management sites for waste management use <del>unless</del> <u>and encouraging the intensification of capacity on existing sites where appropriate.</u> The loss of a waste site for other uses will only be supported <u>where appropriate compensatory provision is made capacity is provided</u> in appropriate locations elsewhere in the borough. Compensatory provision should <u>be</u> at or above the same level of the waste hierarchy of that which is lost and <del>normally</del>	MM119

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			meet or exceed the maximum <u>achievable</u> throughput of that the site <u>over the last five years</u> <del>could have achieved</del> ;	
PC076	252	9.67	<i>Amend 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> sentences of para as follows:</i> The shortfall in capacity equates to <b>around 136,600 tonnes by 2036 2.8 hectares by 2031</b> . Lambeth already has a number of waste facilities which can help towards managing its waste <b>and, where appropriate, the intensification of these sites is encouraged.</b>	MM120
PC077	253	9.68	<i>Amend title of table in para as follows:</i> <u>Capacity gap (tonnes) and <b>indicative equivalent</b> land take (hectares)</u>  <i>And amend last row 1<sup>st</sup> column of table as follows:</i> <b><u>Equivalent Indicative land take</u></b>	MM121
PC078	253	9.69	<i>Amend para as follows:</i> The council has undertaken an assessment of locations and sites <u>suitable</u> for additional waste management facilities in the borough. This is set out in the Waste Evidence Base 2013 <u>2019</u> . This identifies the borough's designated Key Industrial and Business Areas (KIBAs) as particularly appropriate for new waste management uses. <b><u>Waste management and recycling facilities are one of the priority uses for Lambeth's industrial land (see Policy ED3)</u></b> . KIBAs represent Lambeth's main stock of safeguarded industrial land. The benefits of directing new waste facilities <u>towards KIBAs includes co-location with other industrial uses. This helps to reduce the risk of waste facilities being located next to more sensitive development, such as residential. KIBAs have already been assessed for their suitability for industrial uses and are safeguarded for these uses. KIBAs also tend to have access which is wide enough for larger vehicles and are well-connected to main road networks.</u>	MM122
PC220	255	9.77	<i>Amend para 9.77 as follows:</i> All proposals for new or improved waste management facilities will be assessed against the criteria London Plan policy SI8 5.17 <del>(or its successor in any alterations to</del>	MM123

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<del>the London Plan</del> ) and in national waste planning policy. These criteria include protection of water resources, land instability, visual intrusion, nature conservation, historic environment and built heritage, traffic and access, air emissions including dust, odours, vermin and birds, noise and vibration, litter and potential land use conflict. <b><u>Proposals will also be assessed against all other relevant Local Plan policies, including in relation to transport, amenity and quality of the built environment.</u></b>	
PC079	256	9.81	<i>Add as new para 9.81 the following:</i> <b><u>Monitoring indicators IND24, IND25 and IND26 will report on new waste capacity, loss of waste sites and compensatory capacity, and waste exports. Where monitoring demonstrates that waste management capacity to meet the apportionment target is unlikely to be achieved by 2026, in line with the London Plan net self-sufficiency target, the council will work with the GLA to proactively engage with operators to encourage delivery of additional waste management capacity in the borough.</u></b>	MM124
PC080	270	Q7(x)	<i>Amend point (x) as follows:</i> (x) it does not prejudice the optimum future development of, or access to, adjoining sites <b><u>by omitting openings on party walls and avoiding direct overlooking, unacceptable overshadowing or undue sense of enclosure.</u></b>	MM125
PC082	284	Q13(a)(vii)	<i>Add new sub-clause (vii)</i> <b><u>vii) Be fully compliant with the London Cycling Design Standards.</u></b>	MM126
PC085	285	10.58	<i>Amend para as follows:</i> In order to encourage the increased use of cycling for sustainable transport, health and wellbeing (see <u>Local Plan</u> policy T3), the council will require all development to provide safe, covered and secure cycle storage <u>of the highest quality that is accessible to all users preferably in the form of individual bike lockers.</u> <del>Vertical wall mounted storage is discouraged as it requires the bike to be lifted up which is not easy for all cyclists. It will only be allowed where it can be demonstrated that there is no other alternative. Within the cycle storage a minimum of 25 per cent of provision</del>	MM127

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p>in the form of single-height horizontal stands ('Sheffield' type stands) is required in all developments. <b>A minimum of 40 per cent of these stands (10 per cent of overall provision) 5 per cent of the total cycle parking provision</b> should be spaced sufficiently far apart to accommodate adapted or cargo cycles. For the remaining provision, less accessible solutions, such as two tiered racks and 'walk in' vertical wall mounted storage should only be considered where it can be demonstrated that available space requires this. Vertical storage where the cycle must be lifted off the floor will not be accepted. Only options where it is possible to lock the frame and wheel of the cycle to the stand are acceptable. Communal bike stores should provide charging facilities for e-bikes. The council sets a premium on the quality of parking provided and, where space is limited, will consider a flexible approach allowing for the introduction of more space efficient options after first occupation. All cycle parking should be provided in accordance with contemporary cycle parking standards as contained within the London Plan, with reference to the London Cycle Design Standards.</p>	
PC086	302	10.97(ii)	<p><i>Amend point (ii) in para as follows:</i>  <u>support the principle of climate change mitigation alterations and adaption responses and <b>sustainable design and construction</b> within the historic built environment in accordance with established conservation best practice;</u></p>	MM128
PC087	307	10.112	<p><i>Amend para as follows:</i>            New work should preserve significance, reinforce appropriate local characteristics and, where possible, secure enhancement of the listed building. High-quality craftsmanship will be required to ensure that authentic detailing is achieved using original materials. Authenticity is essential in this respect. Inferior modern materials and inferior modern detailing are not considered acceptable for the replacement or reinstatement of historic fabric or features. <del>With window replacements all glazing should be single glazed with a putty finish in the traditional manner.</del>  <b><u>The acceptability of double glazing will be judged on a case by case basis using best-practice guidance from Historic England. See also Policy EN4.</u></b></p>	MM129

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number								
PC222	313	Q23(c)	<p>Move supporting text para 10.128 into the policy as (c) new sub-section (iv) as follows:</p> <p><b><u>iv) In accordance with London Plan Policy HC1, the council will require applicants for proposals which involve excavation or ground works on sites of archaeological potential to:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b><u>submit an archaeological assessment and evaluation of the site, including the impact of the proposed development</u></b></li> <li>○ <b><u>preserve, protect, safeguard and enhance archaeological monuments, remains and their settings in development, and seek a public display and interpretation where appropriate</u></b></li> <li>○ <b><u>undertake proper investigation and recording of archaeological remains as an integral part of a development programme, and publication and archiving of results to advance understanding.</u></b></li> </ul>	MM130								
PC224	322	Q26	<p>Delete supporting text para 10.147 and move table into body of Q26 policy before clause (a) as follows:</p> <p><b><u>Tall buildings are those that are substantially taller than their surroundings, cause a significant change to the skyline or are larger than the threshold sizes set for the referral of planning applications to the Mayor. In accordance with London Plan policy D9A and to inform the application of this policy the following definitions of building heights are defined for Lambeth:</u></b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="622 1086 1509 1358"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><b><u>Low rise</u></b></th> <th><b><u>Mid rise</u></b></th> <th><b><u>Tall (this policy applies to these buildings)</u></b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><b><u>South Lambeth</u></b></td> <td><b><u>Up to 9m</u></b></td> <td><b><u>Between 9m and 25m</u></b></td> <td><b><u>Above 25m</u></b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		<b><u>Low rise</u></b>	<b><u>Mid rise</u></b>	<b><u>Tall (this policy applies to these buildings)</u></b>	<b><u>South Lambeth</u></b>	<b><u>Up to 9m</u></b>	<b><u>Between 9m and 25m</u></b>	<b><u>Above 25m</u></b>	MM131
	<b><u>Low rise</u></b>	<b><u>Mid rise</u></b>	<b><u>Tall (this policy applies to these buildings)</u></b>									
<b><u>South Lambeth</u></b>	<b><u>Up to 9m</u></b>	<b><u>Between 9m and 25m</u></b>	<b><u>Above 25m</u></b>									

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number								
			<table border="1" data-bbox="622 331 1509 762"> <tr> <td data-bbox="622 331 831 491"><u>(south of the South Circular Road)</u></td> <td data-bbox="831 331 1039 491"></td> <td data-bbox="1039 331 1301 491"></td> <td data-bbox="1301 331 1509 491"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="622 491 831 762"><u>Middle and north Lambeth (north of the South Circular Road)</u></td> <td data-bbox="831 491 1039 762"><u>Up to 15m</u></td> <td data-bbox="1039 491 1301 762"><u>Between 15m and 45m</u></td> <td data-bbox="1301 491 1509 762"><u>Above 45m</u></td> </tr> </table> <p data-bbox="622 799 1738 986">a) <u>Having particular regard to the international obligation to preserve the OUV of the Westminster World Heritage Site and taking into account the desirability of preserving the settings of heritage assets, proposals for tall buildings will be supported where they are in locations identified as appropriate for tall buildings in Annex 11 and where:</u></p>	<u>(south of the South Circular Road)</u>				<u>Middle and north Lambeth (north of the South Circular Road)</u>	<u>Up to 15m</u>	<u>Between 15m and 45m</u>	<u>Above 45m</u>	
<u>(south of the South Circular Road)</u>												
<u>Middle and north Lambeth (north of the South Circular Road)</u>	<u>Up to 15m</u>	<u>Between 15m and 45m</u>	<u>Above 45m</u>									
PC225	323	Q26(a)(iv)	<p data-bbox="622 1027 1738 1161"><i>Amend sub-clause (a)(vi) as follows:</i>  <u>it can be shown that the site can accommodate the uses and quantum of development proposed in terms of meeting acceptable standards of amenity, access, <b>transport</b> accessibility and servicing.</u></p>	MM132								
PC226	323	Q26(b)	<p data-bbox="622 1161 1738 1390"><i>Amend clause (b) as follows:</i>  <u>Outside the <b>locations identified in Annex 11 or as identified in site allocations, locations</b> there is no presumption in favour of tall building development. Should tall buildings be proposed outside the locations identified in Annex 11 <b>or as identified in site allocations</b>, the applicant will be required to provide a clear and convincing justification and demonstrate the appropriateness of the site for a tall building having</u></p>	MM133								



Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><u>regard to the impact on heritage assets, the form, proportion, composition, scale and character of the immediate buildings and the character of the local area (including urban grain and public realm/landscape features <del>etc.</del>) and ensure points (a) (i)-(vi) are met. In addition:</u></p> <p>i) <u>proposals for tall buildings will only be considered acceptable in established low rise residential neighbourhoods where they are part of a comprehensive scheme which integrates will with the locality.</u></p>	
PC227	324	10.147	Delete paragraph 10.147	MM134
PC228	327	Q27(a)	<p>Amend clause (a) as follows:</p> <p>c) <u>The council will support basement and associated development (light wells, basement area excavation, access ramps etc.) where applicants can demonstrate that no unacceptable impacts will result to:</u></p> <p>i) <u>subterranean ground water flow (ground water);</u>            ii) <u>slope stability (land stability);</u>            iii) <u>surface flow and flooding (see also Local Plan policy EN5 and Annex 5);</u>            iv) <u>the ability of trees and soft landscaping (existing and proposed) to thrive without irrigation;</u>            v) <u>cumulative effects of basement development in <b>the</b> locality;</u>            vi) <u>waste to land fill and carbon emissions; and</u>            vii) <u>designated and non-designated heritage assets (<b>including archaeology</b>).</u></p>	MM135
PC229	328	Q27(d) to (g)	<p>Amend clauses (d) to (g) as follows:</p> <p>(d) <u>Full (as opposed to semi) basement extensions beyond the ground floor footprint of a <b>residential</b> building, and any associated basement level outdoor space and steps, will be supported where the proposal:</u></p>	M136

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p>i) <u>is limited to the rear;</u></p> <p>ii) <u>has a roof treatment level with ground level which allows it to continue to provide amenity space for the host building;</u></p> <p>iii) <b><u>the basement footprint does not exceed the existing footprint of the house to the side or at the front; has a basement floor area footprint that does not exceed that of the ground floor footprint of the host building (as originally built); and</u></b></p> <p>iv) <u>generally retains no less than 70 per cent of the rear garden area free of <b>basement subterranean</b> development and at its original ground level.</u></p> <p>(e) <u>In front and side gardens, basement lightwells <del>basement area excavations</del> (on existing buildings or with new build) should:</u></p> <p>i) <u>only be provided where required <del>to</del> for outlook and daylight for the accommodation they serve;</u></p> <p>ii) <u>not entail the inappropriate alteration of existing basement areas and enclosures;</u></p> <p>iii) <u>not result in the excavation or loss of front or side garden space which would harm the integrity of the host building <del>or</del> the character of the locality (especially on heritage assets);</u></p> <p>iv) <u>minimise the visual impact through good design (in many cases, especially conservation areas, this is likely to mean lightwells with pavement grilles rather than open basement areas enclosed with balustrades); and</u></p>	

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p>v) <u>ensure existing parking bays are not shortened to below the minimum standard (where this occurs the council will seek the removal of the parking bay);</u></p> <p>(f) <b><u>For major new-build schemes In the case of wholly non-residential buildings,</u></b> it may be possible to have a non-residential basement greater than one storey in <b>height depth</b> if it can be robustly demonstrated that no unacceptable impacts will <b>result in accordance with part (a) of this policy. In addition, the proposed scale and quantum of development must be appropriate to the site and its context and all other planning policy requirements should be addressed to the satisfaction of the Council.</b></p> <p>(g) <u>Applicants for basement proposals <del>will generally be required to</del> should submit a Stage 1 (Screening) Basement Impact Assessment, undertaken by a qualified professional, which captures all issues relevant to the proposal including:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) <u>subterranean ground water flow (ground water);</u></li> <li>ii) <u>slope stability (land stability);</u></li> <li>iii) <u>surface flow and flooding (see also Local Plan policy EN5 and Annex 5);</u></li> <li>iv) <u>cumulative effects of basement development in area;</u></li> <li>v) <u>waste to land fill and carbon emissions; and</u></li> <li>vi) <u>designated and non-designated heritage assets.</u></li> </ul>	
PC230	329	10.155	<p><i>Amend para as follows:</i>  <u>Basement excavation can affect ground conditions, <b>sustainable urban drainage,</b> biodiversity, heritage assets, local character and garden settings. Excavation in a dense urban environment is more complex than standard residential extensions and</u></p>	MM137

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><u>if it is poorly constructed, or has not properly considered geology and hydrology, has the potential to cause structural damage to existing and neighbouring properties and infrastructure and irreversibly alter ground conditions.</u></p>	
PC233	339	PN1(c)	<p><i>Amend clause (c) as follows:</i></p> <p><u>c) optimising the area’s potential for the full range of central London and town centre activities consistent with its Central Activities Zone retail cluster status to enable it to compete effectively, securing significant inward investment for the benefit of the local community and more widely for the borough, including safeguarding and promoting the role of Lower Marsh/The Cut/Leake Street Special Policy Area as a centre for local needs and specialist independent retailing. This will be done by:</u></p> <p>i) <u>requiring at least 50 per cent of <del>original</del> ground floor units in the Lower Marsh/The Cut/Leake Street Special Policy Area to be in <b>A1</b> retail use, and ;</u></p> <p><del>ii) requiring</del> <u>at least 30 per cent of <del>original</del> ground floor units in Lower Marsh to be in <b>A3 food and drink</b> use. ; <b>Where necessary and justified to achieve the objectives of this policy, the council will use conditions and/or planning obligations in new development proposals to limit uses within Class E;</b></u></p> <p>iii) <u>not permitting in the Lower Marsh/The Cut/Leake Street Special Policy Area the conversion of two or more retail units into larger retail units;</u></p> <p>iv) <u>supporting improvements to Lower Marsh street market; and</u></p>	MM138

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<u>v) not permitting proposals for additional betting shops in the Central Activities Zone retail cluster.</u>	
PC095	342	PN1(h)	<i>Amend last sentence as follows:</i> <b><u>Management, Maintenance and servicing requirements (including for sustainable watering)</u></b> should be planned and designed from the outset. <b><u>Supporting infrastructure and Servicing arrangements</u></b> should not harm the openness of the MOL <b>and should address Local Plan policy EN1(a)(iii)</b> . There should continue to be provision of public toilets in Jubilee Gardens. <b><u>Where it is demonstrated that major developments in the vicinity of Jubilee Gardens and its extension will result in a significant increase in visitor numbers to the open space, planning obligations will be sought to mitigate the impact on management and maintenance of the Gardens.</u></b>	MM139
PC234	348	Site 6	<i>Delete reference to B1 under preferred uses:</i> Mixed-use employment-led development: <b>B1</b> office, residential ....	MM140
PC235	356	Site 9	<i>Delete reference to B1 under preferred uses:</i> Mixed-use including <b>B1</b> offices, residential ....	MM141
PC236	364	PN2(b)	<i>Amend clause (b) as follows:</i> <u>Promoting the new retail cluster, Vauxhall Cross, which will increase the vitality of the area and form a growth pole in keeping with the CAZ designation; creating opportunities for affordable retail; and focusing active-frontage uses to support the retail cluster and underpin its viability, ensuring that the diverse evening economy offer is appropriately managed. At least 25 per cent of ground floor units within the retail cluster should be in <b>A1</b> retail use to meet the needs of local residents and workers. <b>Where necessary and justified to achieve the objectives of this policy, the council will use conditions and/or planning obligations in new development proposals to limit uses consented within Class E.</b></u>	MM142

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
PC105	385 and 386	PN3 Maps	<i>Correct town centre boundary</i>	NA – Relates to Illustrative map for PN3.
PC106	386	PN3 Map	<i>Remove conservation areas from the map</i>	NA – Relates to Illustrative map for PN3.
PC237		PN3	<p><i>Amend policy PN3 as follows:</i></p> <p><u>Brixton’s role as a distinctive, multicultural and diverse major town centre will be safeguarded and promoted through careful and sensitive regeneration, recognising its local distinctiveness and historic built environment, and supporting economic, social and environmental sustainable development. A key objective of the Brixton Economic Action Plan is to develop a broader and more resilient local economy.</u></p> <p><b><u>Where necessary and justified to achieve the objectives of this policy, the council will use conditions and/or planning obligations in new development proposals to limit uses consented within Class E.</u></b></p> <p><u>This will be achieved by:</u></p> <p>a) requiring, in the primary shopping area, that the proportion of retail <b>(A1)</b> units does not fall below 60 per cent and that the proportion of food and drink uses <b>(A3/4/5)</b> does not exceed 25 per cent, taking account of unimplemented planning permissions for change of use. In addition, no more than 2 in 5 consecutive ground floor units in the primary shopping area should be in food and drink use <b>(A3/4/5)</b> at one time. No more betting shops or payday loan shops will be supported within the centre as a whole.</p>	MM143

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p>b) <u>requiring, in the indoor markets (as shown on the Policies Map), that no less than 50 per cent of floorspace should be in <b>A1 shop</b> use and no more than 50 per cent floorspace should be in <b>A3 cafe and restaurant</b> use within each indoor market (Brixton Village, Market Row, Reliance Arcade), subject to a management plan being in place that is agreed between the council and the managers of the indoor markets. The thresholds for <b>A1 and A3 shop and café/restaurant</b> floorspace for each indoor market relate to ground floor units and connected upper floors that share the same access for each indoor market. <b>A4 uses Public houses, wine bars and drinking establishments (including with expanded food provision)</b> in the indoor markets will not be permitted on both the ground floor and upper floors. All independently accessed upper floorspace in each indoor market currently in <b>D1 or B1 use community, office, research and development use or light industrial use</b> will be protected.</u></p> <p>c) <u>implementing an evening economy management zone which will seek to support the growth and diversification of the evening and night-time economy whilst managing its impact on local residents and the local environment. In the evening economy management zone, the proportion of units in <b>food and drink, evening and night-time economy uses (A3/A4/A5 and nightclubs)</b> should not exceed 40 per cent, taking account of unimplemented planning permissions for change of use. No more than 10 per cent of ground floor units in the evening economy management zone should be in <b>A4 public house, wine bar, or drinking establishment (including with expanded food provision)</b> use. In addition, no more than 3 in 5 consecutive ground floor units should be in <b>food and drink, evening and night-time economy uses (A3/A4/A5 and nightclubs)</b>, taking account of unimplemented planning permissions for change of use. There will be a presumption against the use of rooftops and terraces for <b>food and drink,</b></u></p>	

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><u>evening and night-time economy uses (<del>A3/A4/A5 and nightclubs</del>) in the evening economy management zone unless it can be robustly demonstrated there will be no harmful impact as a result of these uses.</u></p> <p>d) <u>outside the primary shopping area, indoor markets (as shown on the Policies Map) and evening economy management zone, ground floor uses should be in <b>A, D or B commercial, business, service, learning, non-residential institution, local community or appropriate sui generis use.</b> The proportion of units in <b>A4 public house, wine bar, drinking establishment (including with expanded food provision)</b> and nightclub use should not exceed 5 per cent and no more than 2 in 5 consecutive ground floor units should be in <b>A4 public house, wine bar, drinking establishment (including with expanded food provision)</b> or nightclub use. This should take account of unimplemented planning permissions for change of use.</u></p> <p>e) <u>supporting the Creative Enterprise Zone (CEZ) through the promotion and growth of the existing cluster of creative and digital industries active in Brixton and complementing the wider aspirations for Brixton’s economy. Applications within the CEZ for development including <b>B4 office, research and development and light industrial</b> floorspace will be supported where they: .....</u></p>	
PC238	409	PN4	<p><i>Amend policy PN4 as follows:</i>  <u>Streatham’s role as a major town centre in South London will be supported and enhanced to create a vibrant and viable town centre with a diverse economy including retail, leisure, offices, hotels and housing. Investment in public realm, green infrastructure and public transport will ensure it is an attractive place to live, work and visit and is well connected to neighbouring centres and to Central London. <b>Where necessary and justified to achieve the objectives of this policy, the council will</b></u></p>	MM144



Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><b><u>use conditions and/or planning obligations in new development proposals to limit uses consented within Class E.</u></b></p> <p><u>The vision for Streatham will be delivered by:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) <u>supporting development which enhances the vitality and viability of the town centre by bringing forward new housing, retail, leisure, offices and workspace for creative and digital industries, whilst being sensitive to the centre’s conservation area status and valued heritage assets.</u></li> <li>b) <u>safeguarding retail uses the two primary shopping areas (Streatham Hill and Streatham Central) and supporting appropriate new retail uses. Within the major centre as a whole, no fewer than 60 per cent of ground floor units in each of the two primary shopping areas (Streatham Hill and Streatham Central) are to be in <b>A1 retail</b> use and within each area no more than 25 per cent food and drink use and no more than 2 in 5 consecutive food and drink uses. Outside of the two primary <b>shopping</b> areas ground floor <b>uses units</b> should be <b>in commercial, business, service, learning, non-residential institution, local community or appropriate sui generis use A, D or B1 use class.</b> No more betting shops or payday loan shops will be supported across the centre as a whole.</u></li> </ul>	
PC240	417	PN5	<p><i>Amend policy PN5 as follows:</i></p> <p>The council will support the role of Clapham High Street as a district centre by safeguarding and encouraging retail, other town-centre uses, housing and workspace. It will seek to enhance its distinctive character associated with the Old Town, its historic environment, Clapham Common and its popularity for leisure and entertainment, and will encourage provision in particular for cultural, creative, visual</p>	MM145

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p>and performing arts, street markets and other forms of community innovation, while managing the level of food and drink uses and the impact on amenity of the evening economy. <b><u>Where necessary and justified to achieve the objectives of this policy, the council will use conditions and/or planning obligations in new development proposals to limit uses consented within Class E.</u></b></p> <p>The council will seek to address this by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) <u>supporting appropriate opportunities to deliver additional housing within the district centre and nearby neighbourhoods;</u></li> <li>b) <u>requiring no less than 50 per cent of <del>original</del> ground-floor units in the primary shopping area to be in <b>A1</b> retail use;</u></li> <li>c) <u>requiring no more than 25 per cent of ground floor units should be in food and drink use <del>(A3/A4/A5)</del> across the centre as a whole and with no more than 2 in 5 consecutive units in food and drink use.</u></li> <li>d) <u>permitting no additional <del>A4 (pubs and bars)</del> public house, wine bar or <b>drinking establishment (including with expanded food provision) and A5</b> <del>( or hot food takeaway)</del> uses;</u></li> </ul>	
PC241	423	PN6(a)	<p><i>Amend clause (a) as follows:</i> Safeguarding and encouraging retail uses: within the primary shopping area, no fewer than 50 per cent of <b>original</b> ground-floor units should be in <b>A1</b> retail use. <b><u>Where necessary and justified to achieve the objectives of this policy, the council will use conditions and/or planning obligations in new development proposals to limit uses consented within Class E;</u></b></p>	MM146
PC242	430	PN7	<p><i>Amend clause (b) as follows:</i></p>	MM147

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><u>The council will promote the district centre as a hub of community life and retail, commercial and cultural activity and will ensure that development enhances the viability and vitality of the centre. Shopping uses will be safeguarded and encouraged; within the primary shopping area, all ground floor units should be in active-frontage uses and <b>no fewer than 50 per cent of ground floor units are to be in A1 shop use</b>. Throughout the centre as a whole, there should be no more than 15 per cent and no more than 2 in 5 consecutive <b>hot food takeaway A5</b> uses. No more betting shops will be permitted in the town centre.</u></p> <p><i>Add the following sentence to the end of policy PN7 as it applies to several clauses:</i>  <b><u>Where necessary and justified to achieve the objectives of this policy, the council will use conditions and/or planning obligations in new development proposals to limit uses consented within Class E.</u></b></p>	
PC244	445	PN9(a)	<p><i>Amend clause (a) as follows:</i>  <u>safeguarding and encouraging retail uses and other appropriate town-centre activities, including housing within the primary shopping area, all ground-floor units should be in active-frontage use with no less than 50 per cent of <del>original</del> ground-floor units in <b>A1</b> retail use and no more than 25 per cent in <del>A3/4/5</del> food and drink use; and outside of the primary shopping area, no more than 2 in 5 consecutive <del>original</del> ground-floor units should be in <b>A3/4/5 food and drink use. Where necessary and justified to achieve the objectives of this policy, the council will use conditions and/or planning obligations in new development proposals to limit uses consented within Class E.</b></u></p>	MM148
PC116	447	11.135a	<p><i>Add as new para after 11.135:</i>  <b><u>The Denmark Hill campus of King’s College Hospital is primarily located in Lambeth. The Hospital Foundation Trust wishes to reconfigure its estate on the Denmark Hill campus. The Council will work with King’s College Hospital Foundation Trust to consider this through the preparation of The Trusts masterplan and a site allocation policy in the Site Allocations DPD. The</u></b></p>	MM149

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<b><u>emerging masterplan will be a material consideration in the determination of planning applications within King’s College Hospital’s Denmark Hill estate.</u></b>	
PC117	447	11.139	<i>Amend para as follows:</i> <u>Loughborough Junction remains an industrial area and within the wider Loughborough Junction area there are <del>six</del> seven Key Industrial and Business Areas, providing an important source of the borough’s light industrial and creative employment space. Industrial and employment uses in the area include waste management uses, a number of motor repair workshops, artists’ studios, recycling centres and an electricity sub-station. Light industrial units are particularly prominent and are located within a number of yards with distinct characteristics. The creation of additional employment and training opportunities, both in existing and new businesses, particularly for young people, is key to the regeneration of the area. This requires space for local businesses to start up and grow, good transport connections and programmes to support people to find work.</u>	MM150
PC119	448	11.143	<i>Add new last sentence to para as follows:</i> <u>Loughborough Junction has one of the lowest levels of car ownership in the country. Many residents walk and cycle to get around the area and use public transport to access other areas. The council’s Healthy Route Network responds to this and identifies the need for improved walking and cycling links to adjacent areas. There is also an aspiration to open up additional routes through and around the railway viaducts to improve the permeability and accessibility of the area as part of an extension to the Low Line project. <b>Expansion of London Cycle Hire will be explored with TfL, to be funded through developer contributions.</b></u>	MM151
PC245	451	Map	<i>Amend map to show the locations of the Grove Adventure Playground and Marcus Lipton Youth Centre. (The local significance of these facilities is already referenced in the text in paragraphs 11.134 and 11.135.)</i>	NA - Relates to illustrative map for PN9.
PC123	453	PN10(b)	<i>Amend clause (b) as follows:</i> <b><u>When considering proposals affecting existing community facilities, in addition to the requirements of Local Plan policies S1 and/or EN1, The the</u></b>	MM152

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p><u>council will ensure <b>the maintenance of</b> an adequate supply of <b>community facilities in the area to meet the need of local users, including</b> supervised facilities for children and young people, <b>as well as other community facilities to meet local needs.</b></u></p>	
PC246	453	PN10(f)	<p><u>Amend clause (f) as follows:</u>  <u>An opportunity exists to comprehensively refurbish and convert the Rathgar Road railway arches and associated public realm to achieve a mix of space for small businesses, that include <b>B1</b> workspace and maker space; community facilities; and cafes and restaurants that complement the nearby local town centre and are supported by environmental and accessibility improvements. The council will support proposals that achieve these objectives as part of a comprehensive approach, subject to the following requirements:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="680 839 1738 951">i) <u>no fewer than six railway arches are for <b>office, research and development and light industrial/social infrastructure uses B/D class use</b> and no more than six railway arches are for <b>café and restaurant A-class</b> uses;</u></li> <li data-bbox="680 999 1738 1142">ii) <u>it is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the council that proposed <b>café and restaurant A-class</b> uses in the arches would complement the existing local centre and they could not be provided within existing vacant premises in the local centre;</u></li> <li data-bbox="680 1190 1738 1302">iii) <u>it is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the council that appropriate engagement with and support for existing tenants would be provided, including consideration of alternative locations;</u></li> </ul>	MM153

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<p>iv) <u>potential harm to neighbouring residential uses is assessed and appropriately mitigated; including through controls on opening hours;</u></p> <p>v) <u>the proposal would deliver appropriate public realm improvements along Rathgar Road;</u></p> <p>vi) <u>improve connectivity to the local centre and through railway arches where possible and support integration with and connectivity to the local centre; and</u></p> <p>vii) <u>the proposal would include improvements to the back of the arches facing the LJ works site, including façade and lighting improvements.</u></p> <p><u>Proposals for the Rathgar Road arches that do not achieve these objectives in a comprehensive way will be assessed against all other relevant Local Plan policies, including ED6 Railway arches. <b>Where necessary and justified to achieve the objectives of this policy, the council will use conditions and/or planning obligations in new development proposals to limit uses consented within Class E.</b></u></p>	
PC247	460	PN11	<p><i>Add the following sentence to the end of the introductory paragraph:</i>  <u><b>Where necessary and justified to achieve the objectives of this policy, the council will use conditions and/or planning obligations in new development proposals to limit uses consented within Class E.</b></u></p> <p><i>Amend clause (a) as follows:</i>  <u>safeguarding and encouraging retail uses: within the primary shopping area, no less than 50 per cent of <del>original</del> ground-floor units should be in <b>A1</b> retail use; all ground-</u></p>	MM154

Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications

Ref no.	Page no. in DRLLP PSV	Policy/ paragraph number	Potential change	Main Modification number
			<u>floor units should be in active-frontage use and no more than 25 per cent in <del>A3/A4/A5</del> food and drink use;</u>	
PC128	460	PN11(b)	<i>Amend clause as follows: supporting the <b>potential</b> extension of the Tramlink to Crystal Palace and/or improvements to rail frequencies on the Beckenham Junction corridor to provide improved access for the area; Gipsy Hill station is only fully accessible to one platform and development should seek to enable step-free access to both platforms;  And subsequently amend Key of Map on page 459 as follows: <b>Potential</b> Tramlink extension</i>	MM155
PC248	489	Annex 8	<i>Replace references to old use class with names of uses to be monitored (e.g. office)</i>	MM156
PC249	491	Annex 8	<i>Amend to target for IND16 from 85% to 90%.</i>	MM157
PC251	492	Annex 8	<i>Insert new indicator IND29 to cover the up-to-date London Plan homes and jobs targets for the Waterloo and Vauxhall Nine Elms Battersea Opportunity Areas.</i>	MM158
PC252	494	Annex 9	<i>Amend policy ED10 title as follows: <del>A2 uses, betting Betting</del> shops and payday loan shops</i>	MM159
MF16	499	Annex 10	<i>Delete first part, relating to monetary contributions in lieu of Affordable Housing Provision on Minor Sites (10 dwellings or below).</i>	MM160 See MM11
PC253	511	Annex 12	<i>Amend policy ED10 title as follows: <del>A2 uses, betting Betting</del> shops and payday loan shops</i>	MM161
PC254	419-529	Annex 13	<i>Replace the tables and charts showing the housing trajectory over ten years with those set out in Topic Paper 10a.</i>	MM162

## Appendix 1 – Schedule of Main Modifications