



PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE – SECOND ADDENDUM PUBLISHED 26 NOVEMBER 2019

Date: **Tuesday 26 November 2019**

Time: **7.00 pm**

Venue: **Committee Room (B6) - Lambeth Town Hall, Brixton, London, SW2 1RW**

Copies of agendas, reports, minutes and other attachments for the Council's meetings are available on the Lambeth website. www.lambeth.gov.uk/moderngov

Members of the Committee

Councillor Scarlett O'Hara, Councillor Malcolm Clark, Councillor Nicole Griffiths, Councillor Ben Kind, Councillor Joanne Simpson (Vice-Chair), Councillor Becca Thackray, Councillor Clair Wilcox (Chair) and Councillor Timothy Windle

Substitute Members

Councillor Liz Atkins, Councillor Jennifer Brathwaite, Councillor Marcia Cameron, Councillor Joe Corry-Roake, Councillor Nigel Haselden, Councillor Jessica Leigh, Councillor Marianna Masters, Councillor Jennie Mosley, Councillor Mohammed Seedat and Councillor Sonia Winifred

Further Information

If you require any further information or have any queries please contact:
Maria Burton, Telephone: 020 7926 8703; Email: mburton2@lambeth.gov.uk

Members of the public are welcome to attend this meeting. If you have any specific needs please contact Facilities Management (020 7926 1010) in advance.

Queries on reports

Please contact report authors prior to the meeting if you have questions on the reports or wish to inspect the background documents used. The contact details of the report author are shown on the front page of each report.

Digital engagement

We encourage people to use Social Media and we normally tweet from most Council meetings. To get involved you can tweet us @LBLDemocracy.

Audio/Visual Recording of meetings

Everyone is welcome to record meetings of the Council and its Committees using whatever, non-disruptive, methods you think are suitable. If you have any questions about this please contact Democratic Services (members of the press please contact the Press Office). Please note that the Chair of the meeting has the discretion to halt any recording for a number of reasons including disruption caused by the filming or the nature of the business being conducted.

Persons making recordings are requested not to put undue restrictions on the material produced so that it can be reused and edited by all local people and organisations on a non-commercial basis.

Representation

Ward Councillors (details via the website www.lambeth.gov.uk or phone 020 7926 2131) may be contacted at their surgeries or through Party Group offices to represent your views to the Council: (Conservatives 020 7926 2213) (Labour 020 7926 1166).

Security

Please be aware that you may be subject to bag searches and asked to sign in at meetings that are held in public. Failure to comply with these requirements could mean you are denied access to the meeting. There is also limited seating which is allocated on a first come first serve basis, you should aim to arrive at least 15 minutes before the meeting commences. For more details please visit: [our website](#).

Please contact Democratic Services for further information – 020 7926 2170 – or the number on the front page.

Map



AGENDA

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE ORDER OF THE AGENDA MAY BE CHANGED AT THE MEETING

	Page Nos.
8. Second Addendum	1 - 18

PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE (PAC) FAQs - YOUR QUESTIONS ANSWERED

1 Who sits on the PAC?

The Council has established a PAC, which consists of seven Councillors (elected members).

2 Where and when do PAC meetings take place?

Meetings are usually held in Committee Room B6 at Lambeth Town Hall, Brixton Hill, SW2 1RW. The meetings are normally held on a Tuesday evening at 7pm, one or two times a month and are listed on the Council's calendar of meetings at:

<http://www.lambeth.gov.uk/moderngov/mqCalendarMonthView.asp?GL=1&bcr=1>

3 Can I attend PAC meetings?

Yes. All PAC meetings are open to the press and public although on rare occasions the Committee may discuss a matter in private.

4 How can I get a copy of any reports to be considered by PAC?

The officer reports on applications to be considered are circulated to PAC Members and published on the Council's website a week before the meeting. Papers for meetings can be viewed at:

<http://www.lambeth.gov.uk/moderngov/ieListMeetings.aspx?Committeeld=600>

A limited number of hard copies are also available from Democratic Services at the meeting.

5 Can I make written representations to the PAC meeting?

Yes. Written representations, including any letters, petitions or photos should be:

- Sent to the relevant case officer listed on the front page of the officer report preferably by email.
- Sent by **12 noon two clear working days before the meeting**. Meetings are normally on a Tuesday, so the deadline would be 12 noon by the Thursday before the meeting.

6 Can I speak at PAC meetings?

Yes. Up to three supporters (including applicants), three objectors and the Ward Members can address the meeting at the Committee's discretion for a maximum of **two minutes each**.

You must register your wish to speak on any application by telephoning Democratic Services on 020 7926 8703 or emailing democracy@lambeth.gov.uk by **12 noon on the last working day before the meeting**. You will be required to supply in writing an outline of the points you wish to raise at the meeting. If you telephone, Democratic Services will record these points.

Where the number of requests to speak exceeds three, and/or it is clear the speakers wish to make similar points, like-minded speakers will be asked to liaise with each other so that all the points can be raised succinctly.

7 Does the PAC consider applications in the order listed on the agenda?

Not necessarily. The order of business is determined at the meeting taking into consideration:

1. Applications which are withdrawn or which officers recommend should be deferred.
2. Applications where there are no notified speakers present wishing to address the committee and members have no questions to ask the applicant or officers.
3. Applications which have been deferred from a previous meeting or have been the subject of a site visit.
4. Applications for developments which would be in receipt of public funding and which are subject to deadlines affecting delivery and other applications subject to specific deadlines.
5. Applications regarded as a priority due to the large number of people present, or where applicants, objectors or other members of the public have special requirements.

8 What is the process for considering an application at the meeting?

Officers will introduce each application with a brief presentation which will usually include drawings and photographs of the application site. The Committee will then hear from and question all

interested parties. Any registered objectors will speak first with applicants having the right of reply. The merits of the application are considered taking into account the views of the interested parties and planning officers before the committee reaches a decision.

9 What time does the meeting come to an end?

The meeting will be conducted in a business-like fashion and the Committee will endeavour to deal with reports as quickly as possible.

However if there is a lot of outstanding business at 9.00pm the Chair will advise the meeting if and how the timetable for the meeting has to be revised, in order to deal with remaining business and finish the meeting at 10.00pm. At 10.00pm, if the meeting has not ended, the meeting will decide which business can be completed by 10.45pm and any business not reached by that time will be deferred to the next meeting.

10 What are site visits?

Site visits are arranged by Planning Officers to allow members of the PAC to observe the site and gain a better understanding of the impact of the proposal. The decision of whether to have a site visit is made by the Chair of the committee in consultation with the Director of Planning and Development.

11 When do site visits take place and can I attend?

Site visits will normally take place on the Saturday morning immediately prior to the committee which will consider the application. An alternative date can be arranged with the agreement of the Chair. Other than for reasons of access, the arrangements for site visits will not normally be publicised or made known to applicants, agents or third parties except in exceptional circumstances. Objectors are not invited to site visits except in exceptional circumstances.

For more information please see the 'Protocol for Members of the Planning Applications Committee' in the Council Constitution.

12 If I am unable to attend the PAC meeting, how can I find out the decision?

Decisions will be posted on Twitter from @lbdemocracy immediately as the decision is taken. You can also contact Democratic Services by telephone or email. The minutes from the meeting will also be available on the Council's website approximately five clear working days after the meeting. Planning officers will send the applicant and any interested parties who have made written representations formal notification of the Committee decision.

13 Can I listen to PAC online?

Yes! You can now listen to the any meeting of the PAC live via the council's website. Simply go to the specific meeting webpage (via the [main PAC webpage](#)) and tune in from the start of the meeting. You can also listen back to previous meetings via the same method.

14 What is the addendum?

Sometimes planning officers are required to make amendments or add further information to planning reports after the main agenda pack has been published. These changes will be documented in an addendum (published the Friday before the meeting), and if necessary a second addendum (published the day of the meeting). All addenda can be reviewed online as soon as it is published and hard copies are made available for members of the public at the meeting.

15 Where can I get further information or advice?

If you would like further information or advice, please contact:

- (a) Town Planning Advice Desk: Tel: 020 7926 1180, Email: planning@lambeth.gov.uk
- (b) Town Planning Webpage: <https://www.lambeth.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control>
- (c) Democratic Services: Tel: 020 7926 8703, Email: democracy@lambeth.gov.uk

Guide to Use Classes Order in England.

The table below is intended as a general guide. Reference needs to be made to the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended) and the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended)

Class	Summary of Use/Description	<u>Permitted Changes</u>
Class A1 Shops/Retail	Shops, post offices, hairdressers, sale of tickets/travel agency, sale of cold food for consumption off the premises, funeral directors, domestic hire shops, dry cleaners, internet café (where the primary purpose is to provide facilities to access the internet)	<p>Permitted change to or from a mixed use as A1 or A2 and up to 2 flats;</p> <p>Permitted change of A1 or mixed A1 and dwellinghouse to C3 (subject to prior approval, max 150m²);</p> <p>Permitted change to A2;</p> <p>Permitted change to A3 (subject to prior approval, max 150m²);</p> <p>Permitted change to D2 (subject to prior approval, max 200m²)</p> <p>Temporary permitted change (2 years) to A2, A3, B1 (interchangeable with notification to the Council, max 150m²)</p>
Class A2 Financial and Professional Services	Banks, building societies, estate agents, employment agencies, professional services.	<p>Permitted change to A1 where there is a display window at ground floor level.</p> <p>Permitted change to or from a mixed use for any purpose within A2 and up to 2 flats and for A1 and up to 2 flats, where there is a display window at ground floor level.</p> <p>Permitted change from A2 or mixed A2 and dwellinghouse to C3 (subject to prior approval, max 150m²)</p> <p>Permitted change to A3 (subject to prior approval, max 150m²)</p> <p>Permitted change to D2 (subject to prior approval, max 200m²)</p> <p>Temporary permitted change (2 years) to A2, A3, B1 (interchangeable with notification</p>

		to the Council, max 150m2)
Class A3 Restaurants and Cafes	The sale of food and drink for consumption on the premises	Permitted change to Class A1 and Class A2 Temporary permitted change (2 years) to A2, A3, B1 (interchangeable with notification to the Council, max 150m2)
Class A4 Drinking Establishments	Public House, wine bar or other drinking establishment	Permitted change to drinking establishment with expanded food provision.
Class A5 Hot food takeaways	The sale of hot food for consumption off the premises.	Permitted change to A1, or A2 or A3. Temporary permitted change (2 years) to A1, A2, B1 (interchangeable with notification to the council, max 150m2)
Class B1 Business	B1(a) - Office other than a use within Class A2. B1(b) - Research and development of products or processes B1(c) - Light industrial uses that can be carried out in residential areas	Permitted B1 change to B8 (max 500m2) Temporary permitted change (2 years) to A1, A2, A3 (interchangeable with notification to the council, max 150m2) Permitted B1 change to state-funded school or registered nursery (subject to prior approval)
Class B1(a) Office	Office other than a use within Class A2	B1(a) office permitted change to C3 (to be completed within a period of 3 years from prior approval date)
Class B1(c) Light Industrial	Light industrial uses that can be carried out in residential areas such as joinery workshops, tailors, printworks, commercial kitchen, workshops	Permitted change (from 1 October 2017 until 30 September 2020 only) from B1(c) to C3 subject to prior approval (max 500m2), completion within 3 years of prior approval date.
Class B2 General Industrial	Industrial process other than one falling within class B1.	Permitted change to B1 and B8 (max 500m2)
Class B8	Storage or as a distribution centre	Permitted change to B1 (max

Storage/Distribution		500m2) Permitted change to C3 (subject to prior approval, max 500m2) until 15 April 2018.
Class C1 Hotels	Hotel or as a boarding/guest house here no significant element of care provided	Permitted change to state-funded school or registered nursery (and back to previous lawful use) (subject to prior approval)
Class C2 Residential institutions	Residential accommodation and care to people in need of care (other than C3), hospital/nursing home, residential school, college or training centre	Permitted change to state-funded school or registered nursery (and back to previous lawful use) (subject to prior approval)
Class C2A Secure residential institutions	Prisons, young offenders' institutions, detention centres, custody centres, secure training centres, secure hospitals, secure local authority accommodation, military barracks	Permitted change to state-funded school or registered nursery (and back to previous lawful use) (subject to prior approval)
Class C3 Dwellinghouses	Dwellinghouse occupied by a single person or by people to be regarded as a single household, not more than 6 residents living together as a single household where care is provided or not provided (other than a use in C4)	Permitted change to C4
Class C4 Houses in multiple occupation	Use by not more than 6 residents as a HMO	Permitted change to C3
Class D1 Non-residential institutions	Medical/health services, crèche, day nursery or day centre, provision of education, display of works of art, museum, public library, public hall/exhibition hall, public worship or religious instruction, law court.	Temporary permitted change (2 years) to A1, A2, A3, B1 (interchangeable with notification to the Council, max 150m2)
Class D2 Assembly and Leisure	Cinema, concert hall, bingo hall, dance hall, swimming bath, skating rink, gymnasium, area for indoor or outdoor sports or recreations (not involving motor vehicles or fire arms)	Permitted change to state-funded school or registered nursery (and back to previous lawful use)(subject to prior approval) Temporary permitted change (2 years) to A1, A2, A3, B1 (interchangeable with notification

		to the council, max 150m2)
Sui Generis Uses that do not fall within a specified class	Includes large HMO, hostel, theatre, amusement arcade/funfair, launderette, sale of fuel for motor vehicles, sale of motor vehicles, taxi business/hire of motor vehicles, scrapyards, waste disposal installation, nightclub, casino, betting office, pay day loan shop.	<p>Casino to A2 (subject to prior approval); Casino to D2; Amusement centre of casino to C3 (subject to prior approval, max 150m2); Betting office or payday loan shop to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A1 or mixed use A1 and up to 2 flats (if a display window at ground floor level), A2 or A2 mixed use and up to 2 flats, - A3 (max 150m2), - D2 (subject to prior approval, max 200m2), - C3 (subject to prior approval, max 150m2), or - mixed use betting office or pay day load shop and up to two flats <p>Use as a betting office, pay day loan shop or launderette to C3 (subject to prior approval, max 150m2); Mixed use betting office, pay day load shop or launderette and dwellinghouse to C3 (subject to prior approval, max 150m2); Mixed use betting office and up to two flats to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A1 (if a display window at ground floor level) - A2 or betting office or pay day loan shop <p>Temporary permitted change (2 years, max 150m2) from betting office or pay day loan shop to A1, A2, A3, or B1.</p>

Please note: Permitted development rights can be removed by an Article 4 Direction. Further details of Article 4 Directions can be found at <https://www.lambeth.gov.uk/consultations/article-4-direction>

Abbreviations

ADF	Average Daylight Factor
AQA	Air Quality Assessment
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area
BNPP	BNP Paribas (Financial Viability Advisors)
BRE	British Research Establishment
BREEAM	Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method
BSPD	Brixton Supplementary Planning Document
CAS	Conservation Area Statement
CHP	Combined Heat and Power
CIL	Community Infrastructure Levy
CEMP	Construction and Environmental Management Plan
MHCLG	Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government
DD	Daylight Distribution
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ES	Environmental Statement
ESP	Employment and Skills Plan
EVCP	Electric Vehicle Charging Point
HE	Historic England
FTE	Full Time Equivalent
FVA	Financial Viability Assessment
HSE	Health and Safety Executive
GLA	Greater London Authority
LLP	Lambeth Local Plan 2015
LVMF	London View Management Framework
MALP	London Plan 2016 (formerly Minor Alterations to The London Plan)
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
NPPG	National Planning Practice Guidance
OAPF	Opportunity Area Planning Framework
PAC	Planning Applications Committee
PPA	Planning Performance Agreement
PTAL	Public Transport Accessibility Level
PV	Photovoltaic
RICS	Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors
RSS	Regulatory Support Service – Environmental Health advisors
S106	Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990
SPD	Supplementary Planning Document
SPG	Supplementary Planning Guidance
TA	Transport Assessment
TfL	Transport for London
TLRN	Transport for London Road Network
TVIA	Townscape and Visual Impact Assessment

VSC	Vertical Sky Component
VSPD	Vauxhall Supplementary Planning Document
WSPD	Waterloo Supplementary Planning Document

Approach to Heritage Assets

Legislative Framework

Section 66(1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 (“PLBCAA”) provides that in considering whether to grant planning permission for development which affects a listed building or its setting, the local planning authority shall have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which it possesses.

Section 72(1) of the PLBCAA provides that in the exercise, with respect to any buildings or other land in a conservation area, of any functions under or by virtue of (amongst others) the planning Acts, special attention shall be paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the conservation area.

The South Lakeland District Council v Secretary of State for the Environment case and the Barnwell Manor case (East Northamptonshire DC v SSCLG) establish that “preserving” in both s.66 and s.72 means “doing no harm”.

National Policy

Paragraph 8 of the NPPF sets out 3 overarching objectives, contained within the planning system, in order to achieve sustainable development. These objective are interdependent and need to be pursued in mutually supportive ways and include the following (with detail provided on the most relevant objective to this section);

- a) *an economic objective*
- b) *a social objective*
- c) *an environmental objective –to contribute to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment.*

The NPPF defines a “heritage asset” as:

“A building, monument, site place, area or landscape identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions, because of its heritage interest”.

The definition includes both designated heritage assets (of which, Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas are relevant here) and assets identified by the local planning authority (including local listing)

“Significance” is defined within the NPPF as being:

“the value of a heritage asset to this and future generations because of its heritage interest. That interest may be archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic. Significance derives from a heritage asset’s physical presence, but also from its “setting”.

Paragraph 190 of the NPPF requires local planning authorities to identify and assess the particular significance of any heritage asset that may be affected by a proposal (including by development affecting its setting), taking account of the available evidence and any necessary expertise. That assessment should then be taken into account when considering the impact of the proposal on the heritage asset, to avoid or minimise conflict between the heritage asset’s conservation and any aspect of the proposal.

Paragraphs 192 and 193 of the NPPF provide as follows:

192. In determining planning applications, local planning authorities should take account of:

- a) the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets and putting them to viable uses consistent with their conservation;*
- b) the positive contribution that conservation of heritage assets can make to sustainable communities including their economic vitality; and*
- c) the desirability of new development making a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness.*

193. When considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight should be given to the asset’s conservation (and the more important the asset, the greater the weight should be). This is irrespective of whether any potential harm amounts to substantial harm, total loss or less than substantial harm to its significance.

194. Any harm to, or loss of, the significance of a designated heritage asset (from its alteration or destruction, or from development within its setting) should require clear and convincing justification. Substantial harm to or loss of: a) grade II listed buildings, or grade II registered parks or gardens, should be exceptional; b) assets of the highest significance, notably scheduled monuments, protected wreck sites, registered battlefields, grade I and II listed buildings, grade I and II* registered parks and gardens, and World Heritage Sites, should be wholly exceptional.*

Paragraph 195 of the NPPF deals with substantial harm to or total loss of significance of a designated heritage asset.

Paragraph 196 of the NPPF provides that where a development proposal will lead to less than substantial harm to the significance of the designated heritage asset, this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal, including securing its optimum viable use.

Paragraph 197 of the NPPF deals with non-designated heritage assets as follows:

197. The effect of an application on the significance of a non-designated heritage asset should be taken into account in determining the application. In weighing applications that affect directly or indirectly non designated heritage assets, a balanced judgement will be required having regard to the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset.

Paragraphs 200 and 201 of the NPPF are as follows:

200. Local planning authorities should look for opportunities for new development within Conservation Areas and World Heritage Sites, and within the setting of heritage assets, to enhance or better reveal their significance. Proposals that preserve those elements of the setting that make a positive contribution to the asset (or which better reveal its significance) should be treated favourably.

201. Not all elements of a Conservation Area or World Heritage Site will necessarily contribute to its significance. Loss of a building (or other element) which makes a positive contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area or World Heritage Site should be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 195 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 196, as appropriate, taking into account the relative significance of the element affected and its contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area or World Heritage Site as a whole.

Officers have also had regard to the National Planning Practice Guidance in respect of conserving and enhancing the historic environment.

Approach to Daylight, Sunlight and Overshadowing

Where a proposed development may impact upon the sunlight and daylight received by an adjoining property, planning applications are often accompanied by a Sunlight and Daylight Assessment. These should be undertaken following the guidelines published by the Building Research Establishment 'Site Layout Planning for Daylight and Sunlight' (BRE Guidelines). This is a good practice guide which provides advice on site layout for good natural lighting within a new development, safeguarding of daylight and sunlight within existing buildings nearby a development and the protection of daylighting of adjoining land for future development. The guide is advisory and whilst it provides numerical target values, these need also to be considered holistically with the needs of the development and its surrounding context.

Two methods of measurement are recommended be used to measure daylight impacts: (1) Vertical Sky Component (VSC); and (2) Daylight Distribution (DD). VSC assesses the quantum of skylight falling on a vertical window and DD (also referred to as No Sky Line) the distribution of direct skylight in a room space.

VSC is calculated from the centre of a window on the outward face and measures the amount of light available on a vertical wall or window following the introduction of visible barriers, such as buildings. The maximum VSC value is almost 40% for a completely unobstructed vertical wall or window. The BRE guidance suggests that if the VSC is greater than 27%, enough skylight should

still be reaching the window of the existing building. Any reduction below this level should be kept to a minimum. Should the VSC with development be both less than 27% and less than 0.8 times its former value, occupants of the existing building shall notice a reduction in the amount of skylight they receive. The guide says: “the area lit by the window is likely to appear gloomier, and electric lighting will be needed more of the time”.

The DD method is a measure of the distribution of daylight at the ‘working plane’ within a room. For the DD assessment the ‘working plane’ means a horizontal ‘desktop’ plane 0.85m in height for residential properties. The DD divides those areas of the working plane which can receive direct sky light from those which cannot. If a significant area of the working plane receives no direct sky light, then the distribution of daylight in the room will be poor and supplementary electric lighting may be required. The BRE Guidelines state that if the area of a room that does receive direct sky light is reduced by more than 20% of its former value, then this would be noticeable to its occupants.

Typically, it is recommended that VSC and DD are utilised for consideration on daylight losses resulting from the proposal to existing neighbouring residential since it is a comparative test.

Sunlight is measured using Annual Probable Sunlight Hours (APSH). Sunlight is measured using a sun indicator which contains 100 spots, each representing 1% of APSH. Where no obstruction exists, the total APSH would amount to 1486 hours and therefore each spot equates to 14.86 hours (for London) of the total annual sunlight hours. The number of spots is calculated for the Baseline and Proposed Development scenarios during the whole year and also during the winter period and a comparison made between the two. This provides a percentage of APSH for each of the time periods for each window assessed.

The 2011 BRE Guidelines note that sunlight is valued in living rooms at any time of day but especially in the afternoon. It is viewed as less important in bedrooms and in kitchens (the latter where people prefer it in the morning rather than the afternoon. All main living rooms of dwellings...should be checked if they have a window facing within 90° of due south. If the main living room to a dwelling has a main window facing within 90° of due north, but a secondary window facing within 90° of due south, sunlight to the secondary window should be checked.

With regard to existing surrounding receptors, the BRE Guidelines provide that a window may be adversely affected if a point at the centre of the window receives - Less than 25% of the APSH during the whole year, of which 5% APSH must be in the winter period; and - Receives less than 0.8 times its former sunlight hours in either time period; and - Has a reduction in sunlight for the whole year more than 4% APSH.

Overshadowing is assessed through transient overshadowing plots which comprise an illustrative tool showing the changing levels of direct sunlight received by amenity space throughout the day on the dates assessed. The BRE ‘test’ for a development’s overshadowing impacts relates to the area of an amenity space that receives more than two hours of sunlight on 21 March (the Spring Equinox). The guide states: “...for it to appear adequately sunlit throughout the year, at least half of a garden or amenity area should receive at least two hours of sunlight on 21 March. If, as a result of new development, an existing garden or amenity area does not meet the above, and the

area which can receive two hours of sun on 21 March is less than 0.8 times its former value, then the loss of sunlight is likely to be noticeable”.

Approach to Air Quality

There is a Lambeth wide Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and Lambeth is also covered by the Non-Road Mobile Machinery (NRMM) Low Emission Zone.

The NPPF states within paragraph 181;

...decisions should sustain and contribute towards compliance with relevant limit values or national objectives for pollutants, taking into account the presence of Air Quality Management Areas and Clean Air Zones, and the cumulative impacts from individual sites in local areas. Opportunities to improve air quality or mitigate impacts should be identified, such as through traffic and travel management, and green infrastructure provision and enhancement...Planning decisions should ensure that any new development in Air Quality Management Areas and Clean Air Zones is consistent with the local air quality action plan.

London Plan policy 7.14 states that development proposals should minimise increased exposure to existing poor air quality and make provision to address local problems of air quality, promote sustainable design and construction to reduce emissions from the demolition and construction of buildings, be at least air quality neutral, ensure that where provision needs to be made to reduce emissions from a development, this is normally made on-site, and where the development requires a detailed air quality assessment and biomass boilers are included, the assessment should forecast pollutant concentrations.

This page is intentionally left blank

PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE 26 NOVEMBER 2019
ADDENDUM: AMENDMENTS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON AGENDA ITEMS

Page / paragraph no

REPORT CHANGES

DECISION LETTER CHANGES

<u>ITEM 2:</u> Ashmole housing estate (ref. 19/00744/FUL)		
Page/ paragraph no.	Report changes	Decision changes
Page 53	<p>Condition 38 is revised as follows:</p> <p>38 Tenant's hall operation hours</p> <p>The temporary and permanent tenant's halls (use class D1) hereby permitted shall not operate other than within the following times:</p> <p>08:00 Hours to 23:00 Hours – Monday through to Saturdays. 10:00 Hours to 18:00 Hours – Sundays, Bank Holidays or Public Holidays.</p> <p>The courtyard to the tenant's hall shall only be used within the following times, outside of these hours the doors shall be closed:</p> <p>10:00 Hours to 20:00 Hours – Monday through to Saturdays. 10:00 Hours to 18:00 Hours – Sundays, Bank Holidays or Public Holidays.</p> <p>Reason: To protect the amenities of adjoining occupiers and the surrounding area (policy Q2 of the London Borough of Lambeth Local Plan (2015)).</p>	<p>Yes, changes to condition 38</p>

This page is intentionally left blank

PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE 26TH NOVEMBER 2019
SECOND ADDENDUM: AMENDMENTS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON AGENDA ITEMS

<u>ITEM 4</u>		
Page Number	Report Changes	Decision Letter Changes
Page 118	<p>Paragraph 9.32 Residual Flood Risks</p> <p>The GLA does not object in principle to the proposals although has sought clarification from the applicant in respect to flood resilience and emergency planning measures and has also requested an update to the submitted FRA to ensure it demonstrates compliance with the drainage hierarchy. As reported the applicant has provided this information. The GLA and officers are confident that these will be resolved as part of the Stage 2 referral process. For avoidance of doubt, the Environment Agency has not objected to the proposals on flood risk grounds.</p>	No
Pages 127-130	<p>Paragraphs 10.5.31 to 10.5.38 and tables in Appendix 4 in relation (202-230 Wandsworth Road) substituted by Schroeders Begg Addendum Report Ref 2089/M rev 01, November 2019.</p> <p>The council's daylight and sunlight consultant has noted minor discrepancies in the analysis of daylight impacts at 202-230 Wandsworth Road although the original effects originally reported remain largely unchanged. For completeness and ease of reading, the consultant's updated review of the impacts relating to this building has been attached to this addendum.</p>	No
Page 142	<p>Paragraph 12.4 Be Lean Stage Target</p> <p>The proposed site-wide energy strategy for the proposed development exceeds the current adopted policy requirements. Officers acknowledge the Draft New London Plan proposes a higher target rate for the 'Be Lean' stage to be achieved and therefore recommend that this is secured via an appropriately worded planning condition should Members resolve to grant planning permission.</p>	Yes

PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE 26TH NOVEMBER 2019
SECOND ADDENDUM: AMENDMENTS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON AGENDA ITEMS

<p>Page 142</p>	<p>Paragraph 12.8 - Update on BREEAM</p> <p>The applicant has provided a BREEAM pre-assessment for Block A confirming that it would achieve a score of 71.68% (or Excellent). The council's sustainability consultant has therefore advised the following additional conditions be included as follows:</p> <p><i>Within six months of work starting onsite, a BREEAM Design Stage certificate and summary score sheet for Block A should be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority demonstrating that a rating of 'Excellent' can be achieved.</i></p> <p><i>Reason: To ensure that the development has an acceptable level of sustainability (Policy EN4 of the Lambeth Local Plan September 2015).</i></p> <p><i>Within six months of first occupation, a BREEAM Post Construction certificate and summary score sheet for Block A should be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority demonstrating that a rating of 'Excellent' has been achieved.</i></p> <p><i>Reason: To ensure that the development has an acceptable level of sustainability (Policy EN4 of the Lambeth Local Plan September 2015).</i></p> <p>With regard to Blocks B, C and D, as the final detailed design is not yet known for each building it has been difficult for the applicant to provide any meaningful information in relation to how they could comply at this early stage. However, the applicant has committed to achieve a policy compliant BREEAM rating of 'Excellent' and agrees to accept a planning condition to that effect. Officers agree to this approach and recommend the following conditions:</p> <p><i>Before commencement of work onsite for phases 2, 3 and 4, a BREEAM Design Stage certificate and summary score sheet for the relevant phase should be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority demonstrating that a rating of 'Excellent' can be achieved.</i></p> <p><i>Reason: To ensure that the development has an acceptable level of sustainability (Policy EN4 of the Lambeth Local Plan September 2015).</i></p> <p><i>Prior to occupation for phases 2, 3 and 4, a BREEAM Post Construction certificate and summary score sheet for the relevant phase should be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority demonstrating that a rating of 'Excellent' has been achieved.</i></p>	<p>Yes</p>
-----------------	--	------------

PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE 26TH NOVEMBER 2019
SECOND ADDENDUM: AMENDMENTS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON AGENDA ITEMS

	<p><i>Reason: To ensure that the development has an acceptable level of sustainability (Policy EN4 of the Lambeth Local Plan September 2015).</i></p>	
	<p>New objection received from London Borough of Wandsworth Council Planning on 21/11/19. Their concerns are two-fold:</p> <p>1. The height of Block C would result in the introduction of an incongruous and obtrusive development both in the immediate and wider context of the VNEB OA without justification and also cites that high quality detailing cannot be secured given that it is in outline only;</p> <p>Officer Response: This particular point has been dealt with in sections 8.5-8.7 and 8.8-8.12 (pages 100 to 101) of the report. In summary, the application site is located in the VENB OA and therefore in principle supports the greater optimisation of new and/or previously developed sites. The VNEB OAPF has not identified the site as ‘unsuitable’ for tall buildings. In addition, officers have assessed the impacts caused by the height of Block C in terms of local and strategic views, heritage assets and the amenity of residential occupiers and consider that no adverse harm would be caused.</p> <p>2. It has not been demonstrated that the noise and activity of the 24 hour operation at NCGM and its impact upon residential amenity of student living in close proximity has been fully addressed to ensure that the long term continued use of the business is not compromised including any mitigation that is required to respond to the high levels of noise being predicted.</p> <p>Officer Response: The noise impacts on the future student accommodation in Block C from the activities at the NCGM have been acknowledged by both applicant and officers (section 9 of report, page 115). The noise advice indicates that appropriate mitigation will be required to be incorporated within the fabric of the building, e.g., mechanical ventilation and high performance acoustic rated glazing, to ensure that an acceptable living standard can be achieved for the future student accommodation use. This approach is not unusual for new residential developments in noisy locations. The specific details of suitable noise mitigation has been secured by planning condition (see recommended Condition 31) and officers will expect to see this detail presented at the reserved matters application stage.</p>	<p>No.</p>

PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE 26TH NOVEMBER 2019
SECOND ADDENDUM: AMENDMENTS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON AGENDA ITEMS

	<p>New objection received from Patmore Cooperative on 21/11/19. Their concerns are summarised as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Impacts of diminished light on residents of several blocks on Belmore Street unacceptable; and 2. Notification of planning application poor/piecemeal. <p>Officer Response:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The daylight and sunlight impacts of the proposals on the nearest blocks within the Patmore Estate (off Belmore Street) are considered in paragraphs 10.5 to 10.5.30 of the PAC report including amended commentary for McConnell House attached to the 'First Addendum'. 2. The application has also been widely consulted upon with approximately 1315 notification letters sent to neighbouring residents, 4 no. site notices and a press advertisement (see paragraph 5.4.1, page 90 of PAC report. Members should also be aware that the period of statutory consultation was extended from 21 days (3 weeks) to 42 days total (6 weeks). The council has not previously received a request from Patmore Cooperative to be included on its planning application consultation list although officers have now added them. 	No
	<p>Further representations received from the Crimsworth and Thorparch Resident's Association (CTRA) on the 21/11/19 and 25/11/19. The additional new concerns to those already reported in the PAC report are as follows:</p> <p>-Consultation process has been deeply flawed; and -Affected neighbours were not consulted;</p> <p>Officer Response: See point 2 response above in relation to Patmore Cooperative concerns.</p> <p>-No neighbourhood buildings were visited;</p> <p>Officer Response: Officers carried out its own site visit at the outset of the application being made.</p> <p>-Concerns raised by the CTRA and other residents have not been dealt with or ignored;</p> <p>Officer Response: Concerns of all respondents have been summarised clearly by relevant issue at</p>	No

PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE 26TH NOVEMBER 2019
SECOND ADDENDUM: AMENDMENTS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON AGENDA ITEMS

	<p>paragraphs 5.3 and 5.4 of PAC report (see pages 88 to 93).</p> <p>-Previously submitted objections relating to Block C (see ref.16/05435/FUL) are still relevant in that detrimental effects have not been mitigated;</p> <p>Officer Response: The previous scheme whilst similar is a wholly different in form and nature to that being proposed e.g., the 2015/6 scheme included residential and hotel uses and a much taller building to that now proposed as Block C. Members should also note that the previous scheme was withdrawn before its determination by the council.</p> <p>-Not enough time (only 3-4 days given) to provide further input prior to Planning Committee meeting;</p> <p>Officer Response: The council provides 5 clear working days for objectors to make further representations and until 12 noon on the day of the committee meeting.</p> <p>- The comments of LB Wandsworth has not been included in list of external statutory consultees;</p> <p>Officer Reponse: LB Wandsworth's comments were not received at the time of the PAC report being published. These have now been received and are reported in this addendum (see above).</p> <p>The CTRA has also provided a further summary of objections (which includes names and dates of comments) including emails between residents and the applicant's consultants regarding their poor engagement during the pre-application submission consultation process. Many of the comments that are considered material planning considerations have already been reported by officers in the PAC report.</p> <p>A further two online petitions (one with comments included) and a separate hard copy petition have been submitted by the CTRA. In total these comprise a total of <u>1,020</u> signatures. The comments raised in one of the two online petitions submitted raise no new material planning considerations to those already reported in the PAC report or subsequent addenda's.</p>	
--	---	--

PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE 26TH NOVEMBER 2019
SECOND ADDENDUM: AMENDMENTS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON AGENDA ITEMS

Page 90	<p>Correction at paragraph 5.4.2:</p> <p>The total original number of objectors has been incorrectly reported. This should have read <u>117</u> letters.</p>	
	<p>Further update to no. of representations received:</p> <p>2 further notices of objection and 1 further notice of support have been received. No new issues have been raised that have not been reported already.</p>	No
	<p>Update: Ward Councillor (Cllr Lucy Caldicott) representation received 26/11/19. Concerns are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Supports residents' concerns in relation to height of main tower (Block C); and -Ensure resident's concerns are heard by the committee and the level of objections received under petitions. 	No



ADDENDUM
STATEMENT

**INDEPENDENT
REVIEW ON
DAYLIGHT,
SUNLIGHT &
OVERSHADOWING
REPORT**

relating to proposed
redevelopment at

**LAMBETH COLLEGE,
VAUXHALL CENTRE,
BELMORE STREET,
LONDON SW8 2JY**

**(Hybrid Planning ref :
19/02643/OUT)**

Prepared by:

Schroeders Begg (UK) LLP

Vox Studies, Unit 410

1-45 Durham Street

London

SE11 5JH

Tel No: **0207 582 8800**

www.sbegg.co.uk

NOVEMBER 2019

Ref 2089/M rev 01

1.0 ADDENDUM STATEMENT

Further to Schroeders Begg prepared Independent Review on Daylight & Sunlight & Overshadowing Report (dated September 2019 ref 2089/M rev 01), from the executive summary, the following correction is applicable:-

OMIT

3. In respect of 202 – 230 Wandsworth Road, there are adverse daylight analysis results to 43 No rooms / not meeting the default BRE Guide target criteria. However, our review of these has sought to consider these in more practical terms (retain levels of daylight in the proposed scenario, urban context etc) and we conclude that this would reduce to 24 No rooms (18 No living/kitchen/diners and 6 No bedrooms) with adverse impact to daylight. Whilst the theoretical analysis of 'without balconies' does not really make a significant reduction to the impact to these particular 24 No rooms (the majority would still not meet BRE Guide target criteria) the inherent design of balconies / recessed windows does at least form a partial factor to sensitive / extent of daylight reduction from the proposal.

ADD

3. In respect of 202 – 230 Wandsworth Road, there are adverse daylight analysis results to 47 No rooms having impacts beyond close to / meeting the default BRE Guide target criteria. However, our review of these has sought to consider these in more practical terms (retain levels of daylight in the proposed scenario, urban context etc) and we conclude that this would reduce to 27 No rooms (21 No living/kitchen/diners and 6 No bedrooms) with adverse impact to daylight. Whilst the theoretical analysis of 'without balconies' does not really make a significant reduction to the impact to these particular 27 No rooms (the majority would still not meet BRE Guide target criteria) the inherent design of balconies / recessed windows does at least form a partial factor to sensitive / extent of daylight reduction from the proposal.

The above amendment also accounts for an error in data transposing in forming Tables 1, 2, 3 & 4A, B, C & D which has information inter-related. Within this Addendum Statement, these Tables have been corrected and the narrative on pages 21 & 22; items a)-f) inclusive and summary have correspondingly been amended and re-presented as within Appendix A of this Addendum Statement. Whilst the Tables and narrative incorporates various changes (as re-presented within Appendix A), you will note as above, the outcome of the Executive Summary does not significant change to that previously reported within Schroeders Begg original report dated September 2019, for this neighbouring property.

APPENDIX A

Tables 1, 2, 3 & 4A, B, C & D amended and re-submitted along with corresponding update on narrative

Table 1 – 'WESTERN END' (OPPOSITE PROPOSED Block D) analysis review for Daylight

FLOOR	Window Ref.	VSC			Room ref.	Room Use	Daylight Distribution			ADF		Category Rating
		EXTG	PROP	% LOSS			EXTG	PROP	% LOSS	E	P	
GRD	1.1.1	0.55	1.22	Gain	1.1	LKD	26%	35%	Gain			
	1.2.1	11.29	21.17	Gain	1.2	Bedroom	23%	45%	Gain			
	1.3.1	12.00	20.43	Gain	1.3	Bedroom	24%	37%	Gain			
	2.2.1	0.00	0.04	Gain	2.2	Bedroom	0%	20%	Gain			
	2.1.2	0.00	0.36	Gain	2.1	LKD	3%	20%	Gain			
	2.1.1	0.01	0.04	Gain								
	3.1.1	0.00	0.23	Gain	3.1	LKD	15%	20%	Gain			
	3.1.2	0.16	0.06	64%								
	4.2.1	0.87	0.04	95%	4.2	Bedroom	84%	20%	76%	0.35	0.10	2.
	4.3.1	1.16	0.08	93%	4.3	Bedroom	92%	29%	69%	0.42	0.11	2.
	4.3.2	1.16	0.05	96%								
	4.4.1	1.06	0.07	94%	4.4	Bedroom	95%	22%	76%	0.42	0.12	2.
	5.1.1	0.19	0.00	100%	5.1	LKD	25%	13%	47%	0.21	0.09	2.
	6.1.1	0.35	0.16	53%	6.1	LKD	20%	17%	13%	0.14	0.09	5.
	6.2.1	20.39	16.45	19%	6.2	Bedroom	59%	50%	16%			
	7.2.1	20.76	15.88	24%	7.2	Bedroom	82%	46%	45%	0.87	0.73	2.
	7.1.1	1.23	0.18	86%	7.1	LKD	72%	15%	79%	0.40	0.09	2.
1st	2.2.1	1.18	2.96	Gain	2.2	Bedroom	64%	84%	Gain			
	2.3.2	1.87	4.51	Gain								
	2.3.1	21.63	24.34	Gain	2.3	Bedroom	99%	96%	3%			
	3.3.1	26.42	22.69	14%	3.3	Bedroom	99%	97%	2%			
	3.3.2	1.18	3.70	Gain								
	3.2.1	6.43	2.12	67%	3.2	Bedroom	100	74%	26%	1.88	0.82	1.
	4.2.1	27.13	22.15	18%	4.2	Bedroom	99%	86%	14%			
	4.2.2	1.50	0.00	100%	4.3	Bedroom	100	85%	15%	1.72	0.82	1.
	4.3.1	6.31	1.88	70%								
	5.2.1	7.26	3.52	51%	5.2	Bedroom	100	87%	13%	1.91	1.13	4.
	5.3.2	1.56	2.05	Gain	5.3	Bedroom	100	93%	6%	2.48	1.89	3.
	5.3.1	31.30	20.90	33%								
	5.4.1	31.46	20.43	35%	5.4	Bedroom	99%	94%	5%	2.47	1.80	3.
	5.5.1	30.95	19.81	36%	5.5	Bedroom	100	89%	11%	2.53	1.72	3.
	5.5.2	2.74	0.03	99%								
	5.1.1	8.62	3.15	64%	5.1	LKD	82%	44%	44%	1.11	0.59	2.
	6.1.1	7.98	1.70	79%	6.1	LKD	100	41%	59%	1.53	0.65	1.
	6.2.2	4.28	2.31	46%	6.2	Bedroom	97%	93%	4%	2.95	2.12	3.
	6.2.1	28.39	17.69	38%								

FLOOR	Window Ref.	VSC			Room ref.	Room Use	Daylight Distribution			ADF		Category Rating
		EXTG	PROP	% LOSS			EXTG	PROP	% LOSS	E	P	
2nd	2.1.1	8.21	7.64	7%	2.1	LKD	100	99%	1%			
	2.1.3	2.70	2.82	Gain								
	2.1.2	34.11	27.67	19%								
	3.1.1	9.48	6.07	36%	3.1	LKD	100	95%	4%	2.56	1.95	3.
	3.1.3	2.27	2.82	Gain								
	3.1.2	36.93	26.00	30%								
	4.1.1	37.33	25.30	32%	4.1	LKD	100	96%	3%	2.73	1.88	3.
	4.1.3	4.88	0.47	90%								
	4.1.2	10.79	5.76	47%								
	5.2.1	10.08	5.46	46%	5.2	Bedroom	100	92%	8%	2.16	1.47	3.
	5.3.2	2.49	2.37	5%	5.3	Bedroom	99%	97%	3%	2.81	2.03	3.
	5.3.1	37.20	24.11	35%								
	5.4.1	39.96	23.62	36%	5.4	Bedroom	99%	99%	0%	2.79	1.95	3.
	5.5.1	36.45	22.74	38%	5.5	Bedroom	99%	78%	22%	2.91	1.89	3.
	5.5.2	3.70	0.05	99%								
5.1.1	11.19	4.90	56%	5.1	LKD	82%	73%	11%	1.40	0.87	2.	
6.1.1	11.17	4.57	59%	6.1	LKD	100	100	0%	1.86	1.12	1.	
6.2.2	2.71	1.94	29%	6.2	Bedroom	99%	83%	17%	3.26	2.29	3.	
6.2.1	33.56	20.47	39%									
3rd	2.1.1	11.27	9.49	16%	2.1	LKD	100%	100%	0%			
	2.2.2	2.84	2.84	0%	2.2	Bedroom	99%	99%	0%			
	2.2.1	39.19	30.42	meets								
	2.3.1	11.30	4.80	58%	2.3	Bedroom	100%	100%	0%	2.87	1.75	3.
	3.2.1	39.11	29.04	meets	3.2	Bedroom	100%	100	0%			
	3.3.1	39.05	28.54	meets	3.3	Bedroom	99%	99%	0%			
	3.3.2	2.45	0.00	100%								
	3.1.1	11.69	4.87	58%	3.1	LKD	100%	96%	4%	1.85	1.16	1.
	4.1.1	11.79	4.99	58%	4.1	LKD	100	97%	3%	1.86	1.19	1.
	4.2.2	5.47	4.40	20%	4.2	Bedroom	99%	99%	0%			
	4.2.1	38.73	27.27	meets								
	4.3.1	38.46	26.49	31%	4.3	Bedroom	99%	80%	19%	2.72	1.99	3.
	5.2.1	38.18	25.78	32%	5.2	Bedroom	99%	89%	10%	3.30	2.35	3.
	5.2.2	1.41	0.18	87%								
	5.1.1	11.38	4.00	65%	5.1	LKD	100	73%	27%	1.81	1.07	1.
	6.1.1	11.29	3.40	70%	6.1	LKD	100	63%	37%	1.73	0.93	1.
	6.2.2	5.59	4.23	24%	6.2	Bedroom	99%	94%	5%	3.67	2.65	3.
	6.2.1	36.37	23.47	35%								

Table 2 – 'MID-SECTION' (OPPOSITE PROPOSED Block B) analysis review for Daylight

FLOOR	Window Ref.	VSC			Room ref.	Room Use	Daylight Distribution			ADF		Category Rating
		EXTG	PROP	% LOSS			EXTG	PROP	% LOSS	E	P	
1st	7.2.1	0.00	0.00	0%	7.2	Bedroom	0%	0%	0%			
	8.2.1	0.00	0.00	0%	8.2	Bedroom	0%	0%	0%			
	8.1.1	0.00	0.00	0%	8.1	Kitchen	0%	18%	Gain			
	9.2.1	0.00	0.23	Gain	9.2	Bedroom	0%	14%	Gain			
	9.1.1	0.00	0.30	Gain	9.1	Kitchen	0%	19%	Gain			
	10.2.1	0.00	0.86	Gain	10.2	Bedroom	0%	52%	Gain			
	10.1.1	0.37	1.27	Gain	10.1	Kitchen	27%	51%	Gain			
	11.2.1	1.32	1.80	Gain	11.2	Bedroom	63%	67%	Gain			
	11.1.1	1.55	1.57	Gain	11.1	Kitchen	83%	83%	0%			
	12.2.1	1.71	1.61	6%	12.2	Bedroom	85%	84%	1%			
	12.1.1	0.82	0.65	22%	12.1	Kitchen	78%	77%	1%			5.
	2nd	7.2.1	0.00	0.00	0%	7.2	Bedroom	0%	3%	Gain		
8.2.1		0.00	0.00	0%	8.2	Bedroom	0%	0%	0%			
8.1.1		0.00	0.00	0%	8.1	Kitchen	0%	23%	Gain			
9.2.1		0.00	0.29	Gain	9.2	Bedroom	0%	14%	Gain			
9.1.1		0.00	0.39	Gain	9.1	Kitchen	0%	20%	Gain			
10.2.1		0.00	1.04	Gain	10.2	Bedroom	4%	53%	Gain			
10.1.1		0.46	1.53	Gain	10.1	Kitchen	29%	53%	Gain			
11.2.1		1.50	2.14	Gain	11.2	Bedroom	63%	68%	Gain			
11.1.1		2.01	2.01	0%	11.1	Kitchen	85%	84%	1%			
12.2.1		2.29	2.06	10%	12.2	Bedroom	85%	84%	1%			
12.1.1		1.25	0.93	26%	12.1	Kitchen	80%	79%	1%			5.
3rd		7.1.1	0.00	0.00	0%	7.1	Studio	41%	40%	3%		
	7.1.2	8.03	8.03	0%								
	8.1.1	0.00	0.09	Gain	8.1	LKD	52%	48%	8%			
	8.1.2	9.76	9.76	0%								
	9.1.1	0.00	0.24	Gain	9.1	LKD	59%	61%	Gain			
	9.1.2	10.52	10.52	0%								
	10.1.1	0.01	1.21	Gain	10.1	LKD	60%	70%	Gain			
	10.1.2	11.61	11.61	0%								
	11.1.1	0.53	0.53	0%	11.1	LKD	77%	84%	Gain			
	11.1.2	11.56	11.56	0%								
	12.1.1	2.38	1.98	17%	12.1	LKD	93%	91%	2%			
	12.1.2	9.24	9.24	0%								
13.1.1	2.27	1.75	23%	13.1	LKD	89%	85%	4%			5.	
13.1.2	7.43	7.43	0%									

Table 3 – ‘EASTERN END’ (OPPOSITE END OF PROPOSED Block B) analysis review for Daylight

FLOOR	Window Ref.	VSC			Room ref.	Room Use	Daylight Distribution			ADF		Category Rating			
		EXTG	PROP	% LOSS			EXTG	PROP	% LOSS	E	P				
1st	13.2.1	15.50	13.22	15%	13.2	Bedroom	95%	69%	27%	1.84	1.64	5.			
	13.2.2	0.19	0.07	63%											
	13.1.1	1.90	1.03	46%	13.1	LKD	99%	30%	70%	0.61	0.38	2.			
	14.2.1	0.08	1.07	Gain	14.3	Bedroom	33%	55%	Gain						
	14.3.2	0.39	0.39	0%					Gain						
	14.3.1	12.10	13.02	Gain					47%	81%	Gain				
	14.4.1	13.33	12.29	8%	14.4	Bedroom	82%	86%	Gain						
	14.4.2	3.86	1.38	64%					Gain						
	14.1.1	3.32	0.75	77%	14.1	LKD	50%	22%	55%	0.61	0.28	2.			
2nd	13.2.1	19.64	16.19	18%	13.2	Bedroom	100%	79%	21%	2.18	1.9	5.			
	13.2.2	0.58	0.05	91%											
	13.1.1	2.56	1.84	28%	13.1	LKD	86%	34%	61%	0.67	0.46	2.			
	14.2.1	0.32	1.59	Gain	14.3	Bedroom	21%	56%	Gain						
	14.3.2	1.02	1.30	Gain					55%	90%	Gain				
	14.3.1	15.24	14.74	3%					Gain						
	14.4.1	16.03	13.96	13%	14.4	Bedroom	84%	93%	Gain						
	14.4.2	4.40	1.74	61%					Gain						
	14.1.1	3.57	0.94	74%	14.1	LKD	51%	23%	55%	0.65	0.30	2.			
3rd	14.2.1	24.47	19.21	21%	14.2	Bedroom	95%	87%	9%	2.61	2.13	5.			
	14.2.2	1.34	0.03	98%											
	14.1.1	4.71	3.46	26%	14.1	LKD	100%	43%	57%	0.91	0.73	2.			
	15.2.1	3.00	3.73	Gain	15.3	Bedroom	59%	83%	Gain						
	15.3.2	2.05	2.05	0%					Gain						
	15.3.1	20.14	16.27	19%					Gain						
	15.4.1	21.13	15.30	28%	15.4	Bedroom	88%	88%	0%	2.61	2.10	5.			
	15.4.2	4.81	1.99	59%					Gain						
	15.1.1	4.79	1.10	77%	15.1	LKD	57%	24%	57%	0.75	0.35	2.			
4th	15	28.57	21.71	24%	13	Bedroom	97%	93%	4%	2.83	2.23	5.			
	16	3.01	0.08	97%											
	17	7.11	3.44	52%	14	LKD	100%	46%	54%	1.15	0.76	2.			
	18	6.15	4.03	34%	16	Bedroom	100%	88%	12%	1.40	1.12	4.			
	19	2.58	2.58	0%					Gain						
	20	26.22	18.49	29%					Gain						
	21	27.46	16.98	38%	17	Bedroom	96%	89%	7%	3.03	2.20	3.			
	22	4.90	2.05	58%					Gain						
	23	8.19	1.15	86%	18	LKD	82%	25%	69%	0.97	0.36	2.			

5th	15	32.71	24.52	25%	13	Bedroom	100%	85%	15%	3.30	2.67	5.
	16	4.51	0.31	93%								
	17	5.65	1.50	73%	14	LKD	100%	38%	62%	1.12	0.61	2.
	18	5.75	1.43	75%	15	LKD	100%	54%	46%	1.13	0.59	2.
	19	3.08	2.94	5%	16	Bedroom	100%	86%	14%	3.32	2.45	3.
	20	32.94	20.82	37%								
	21	33.74	19.39	43%	17	Bedroom	100%	88%	12%	3.34	2.22	3.
	22	5.51	1.68	70%								
	23	6.98	0.97	86%	18	LKD	99%	54%	46%	1.28	0.48	2.

From Tables 1,2 & 3, we now present summary tables of the impact upon daylight on a 'room use' basis within the following Tables:-

Table 4A – Room use : Living/Kitchen/Diner

Table 4B – Room use : Bedroom

Table 4C – Room use : Kitchen

Table 4D – Room use – summary for impact to all rooms within Tables 4A, 4B, 4C

Table 4 A – Summary on Room use : Living /Kitchen /Diner

Category	Table 1 (western)	Table 2 (mid)	Table 3 (eastern)	TOTAL
1.	6	-	-	6
2.	4	-	11	15
3.	2	-	-	2
4.	-	-	-	-
5.	1	1	-	2
TOTAL	13	1	11	25

Table 4 B – Summary on Room use : Bedrooms

Category	Table 1 (western)	Table 2 (mid)	Table 3 (eastern)	TOTAL
1.	2	-	-	2
2.	4	-	-	4
3.	13	-	3	16
4.	1	-	1	2
5.	-	-	7	7
TOTAL	20	-	11	31

Table 4 C – Summary on Room use : Kitchens

Category	Table 1 (western)	Table 2 (mid)	Table 3 (eastern)	TOTAL
1.	-	-	-	-
2.	-	-	-	-
3.	-	-	-	-
4.	-	-	-	-
5.	-	2	-	2
TOTAL	-	2	-	2

Table 4 D – Room USE : ALL (habitable rooms) – summary for impact to all rooms within Tables 4A, 4B, 4C

Category	Table 1 (western)	Table 2 (mid)	Table 3 (eastern)	TOTAL
1.	8	-	-	8
2.	8	-	11	19
3.	15	-	3	18
4.	1	-	1	2
5.	1	3	7	11
TOTAL	33	3	22	58

In reference to Tables 4A, B, C & D, we can consider that:

- a) We have considered the applicant's analysis and for all windows / rooms reviewed / considered applicable and as summarised within the aforementioned Tables 1, 2, 3 (and Tables 4 A-D), in terms of due consideration to VSC and DD, 61 No rooms effectively meet (i.e. 50 No meeting BRE Guide target plus 11 No within Category 5 i.e. very close to BRE Guide target criteria). Thus 47 No rooms have impacts beyond being close / meeting the default BRE Guide target criteria.
- b) For the 47 No of rooms with impacts beyond close to / meeting the default BRE Guide target criteria, in examining adversity, a view could also be taken to considering Category ratings 3. & 4. as being acceptable when considering the impact / retained levels as a whole / seeking to reach a professional opinion. This is on the basis that for category 3, despite their being noticeable reductions to VSC and /or daylight distribution, the respective rooms will still be left with an ADF (supplementary review) that still meets minimum target for the given room use / to modern standards and so such reductions could be considered less significant (when compared with Category 1 or 2). For Category 3, this is applicable to 18 No rooms and retained daylight could still

be considered acceptable / not dis-similar to daylight target within new-build design for a given room use.

- c) For the category 4, this really takes account that minimal levels of VSC exists at present and whilst the data provides 'theoretical' noticeable reductions, in practical terms, there is no significant loss to these rooms / there is already an anticipation of some reliance on artificial lighting that exists currently and will not change significantly in the proposed scenario; this is applicable to 2 No rooms.
- d) Allowing for adjustment to omit category 3. & 4. and in consideration of impact to daylight as being more adverse, this relates to 27 No rooms (we have classed as categories 1 & 2).
- e) From these 27 No rooms (relating to category 1. & 2.), we identify these as 21 No living/kitchen/diners and 6 No bedrooms. However, we would highlight it is evident that for those within category 2., there is already some inherent sensitivity / daylight did not meet ADF targets in the existing design; from the 19 No (within category 2) this relates to 15 No living/kitchen/diners and 4 No bedrooms.
- f) We highlight that from these 27 No rooms (category 1 & 2) , all except 1 No room, have balconies and in consideration of the theoretical analysis of 'without balconies' i.e. balcony soffits removed for analysis for VSC and Daylight Distribution, then 7 No rooms would then meet target for VSC (albeit those 7 No rooms still not meeting target for daylight distribution) and a further 4 No rooms for daylight distribution (although conversely, those 4 No rooms not meeting target for VSC). Thus this is only a relatively small proportion of the 27 No rooms that analysis supports that the adversity is more proportionally the inherent balcony soffit restricting daylight / increased sensitivity then the proposal, however, the presence of the balconies will have some influence generally. Ultimately, there is a level of impact to this neighbouring property from the proposal and a reasonable proportion has been rationalised as being acceptable; daylight is not a consideration of mandatory 'pass' or 'fail'; and all other aspects of the proposal will need to be considered in a holistic view.

Summary: In respect of 202 – 230 Wandsworth Road, there are adverse daylight analysis results to 47 No rooms have impacts beyond close to / meeting the default BRE Guide target criteria. However, our review of these has sought to consider these in more practical terms (retain levels of daylight in the proposed scenario, urban context etc) and we conclude that this would reduce to 27 No rooms (21 No living/kitchen/diners and 6 No bedrooms) with adverse impact to daylight. Whilst the theoretical analysis of 'without balconies' does not really make a significant reduction to the impact to these particular 27 No rooms (the majority would still not meet BRE Guide target criteria) the inherent design of balconies / recessed windows does at least form a partial factor to sensitive / extent of daylight reduction from the proposal.